

~~SECRET~~

Final Report

Covering the Period January 1974 through February 1975

PERCEPTUAL AUGMENTATION TECHNIQUES Part Two: Research Report

By: HAROLD E. PUTHOFF and RUSSELL TARG
Electronics and Bioengineering Laboratory

Classification Determination Pending.
Protect as though classified SECRET.

Certain individual pages of this document are UNCLASSIFIED. However, the association and compilation of this material may indicate defense information the unauthorized disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause serious damage to the national security; hence, an overall classification of SECRET is warranted.

This document consists of 153 pages.

58
Copy No.



STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
Menlo Park, California 94025 • U.S.A.

~~SECRET~~



STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
Menlo Park, California 94025 · U.S.A.

Final Report

December 1, 1975

Covering the Period January 1974 through February 1975

PERCEPTUAL AUGMENTATION TECHNIQUES

Part Two--Research Report

By: Harold E. Puthoff and Russell Targ
Electronics and Bioengineering Laboratory

SRI Project 3183

Classification Determination Pending.
Protect as though classified SECRET.

Approved by:

Earle Jones, Director
Electronics and Bioengineering Laboratory

Bonnar Cox, Executive Director
Information Science and Engineering Division

Certain individual pages of this document are UNCLASSIFIED. However, the association and compilation of this material may indicate defense information, the unauthorized disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause serious damage to the national security; hence, an overall classification of SECRET is warranted.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

| RESEARCH REPORT | | Page |
|---|--|------|
| Illustrations | | iii |
| Tables | | iv |
| I ABSTRACT | | 1 |
| II PROGRAM RESULTS--APPLIED RESEARCH EFFORT | | 3 |
| A. Remote Viewing | | 3 |
| 1. Long-Distance Remote Viewing: Sponsor-Designated Targets (Exploratory Research) | | 3 |
| a. West Virginia Site (S3) | | 4 |
| b. West Virginia Site (S1) | | 6 |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 15px; margin: 2px 0;"></div> | | 7 |
| d. Summary of Exploratory Research | | 8 |
| <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 550px; height: 30px; margin: 2px 0;"></div> | | 8 |
| 3. Long-Distance Remote Viewing: SRI-Designated Targets (Exploratory Research, Costa Rica Series) | | 12 |
| 4. Short-Distance Remote Viewing (Cipher Machine Analog) | | 15 |
| 5. Short-Distance Remote Viewing (Technology Series) | | 17 |
| B. Detection of Secret Writing Target Material | | 27 |
| III PROGRAM RESULTS--BASIC RESEARCH EFFORT | | 35 |
| A. Screening Tests | | 35 |
| 1. Remote Viewing of Natural Targets Under Standard Protocol Conditions | | 36 |
| a. Subject S1 (Experienced) | | 38 |
| b. Subject S4 (Learner/Controls) | | 40 |
| c. Subjects S2 and S3 (Experienced) | | 46 |
| d. Subjects S5 and S6 (Learner/Controls) | | 49 |
| e. Sponsor Subjects (Learner/Controls) | | 49 |
| f. Summary of Remote-Viewing Experiments (Standard Protocol) | | 53 |
| 2. Four-State Electronic Random Number Generator | | 66 |
| a. Machine Description | | 67 |
| b. Data from Experiments | | 69 |
| B. Identification of Measurable Characteristics Possessed by Gifted Subjects | | 76 |
| 1. Medical Evaluation | | 76 |

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 2. Psychological Evaluation | 79 |
| a. Evaluation by Clinical Psychologist Administering Tests | 80 |
| b. Evaluation by Chief Clinical Psychologist | 83 |
| 3. Neuropsychological Evaluation | 88 |
| C. Identification of Neurophysiological Correlates That Relate to Paranormal Activity | 94 |
| 1. Remote Strobe Experiment | 97 |
| 2. Mid-Experiment Monitoring of Physiological Parameters During Routine Experimentation in Remote Viewing | 103 |
| D. Identification of the Nature of Paranormal Phenomena and Energy | 106 |
| 1. Experiments with Physical Apparatus | 106 |
| a. Experiments with Geiger Counter | 106 |
| b. Experiments with Laser-Monitored Torsion Pendulum | 107 |
| c. Experiments with Superconducting Differential Magnetometer (Gradiometer) | 108 |
| d. Discussion of Physical Perturbation Effects | 113 |
| 2. Discussion of Possible "Mechanisms" in Remote Viewing | 117 |
| 3. Communication Theory Approach to Channel Utilization | 121 |
| 4. Soviet Efforts | 129 |
| 5. Conclusions | 130 |
| IV PROGRAM SUMMARY | 132 |
| REFERENCES | 135 |
| APPENDICES | |
| A. Remote Viewing Transcript for Subject S6, Learner/Control, First Experiment | A-1 |
| B. Instructions to Subject: EEG Experiment | B-1 |
| C. Universal Randomization Protocol | C-1 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

ILLUSTRATIONS

1. Maps of West Virginia Site Drawn by Subject S3
2. Map and Detail of Site Drawn by Subject S1
3. Artist's Rendering of Site
4. Costa Rica Site Drawings and Photographs
5. Abacus/Clock Drawings and Photograph
6. Video Terminal
7. Typewriter
8. Xerox Machine
9. Drill Press
10. Procedure for Card Sorting by Sequential Sampling
11. Swimming Pool Complex (S1)
12. Pedestrian Overpass (S4)
13. Bicycle Shed (S4)
14. Tennis Court (S2)
15. Palo Alto City Hall (S3)
16. White's Plaza, Stanford University (S6)
17. Merry-Go-Round
18. Windmill
19. Four-State Random Number Generator Used in This Experiment
20. Data Summary for Subject S2
21. Drawings and Interpretations by Associative Visual Agnosia Patients
22. Shielded Room Used for EEG Experiments
23. Occipital EEG Frequency Spectra of Subject S4
24. Polygraph Data from Subject S4
25. Superconducting Differential Magnetometer (Gradiometer)
26. Gradiometer Data
27. Enhancement of Signal-to-Noise Ratio by Sequential Sampling Procedure
28. Operating Characteristic Curve for Sequential Sampling Procedure
29. Average Sample Number for Sequential Sampling Procedure

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLES

1. Subject, Target Selection Procedure, and Figure Numbers for Technology Series.
2. Rank Ordering Match of Drawings to Target Locations (Blind Judging, Technology Series)
3. Critical Values of Sums of Ranks for Preferential Matching
4. The Probability of M Correct Guesses of N Distinct Items
5. Key for Secret Writing Experiment
6. Raw Data Call Sheet for Secret Writing Experiment
7. Distribution of Rankings of Transcripts Associated with Each Target Selection for Subject S1, Experienced.
8. Distribution of Rankings of Transcripts Associated with Each Target Location for Subject S4, Learner/Control
9. Distribution of Rankings of Transcripts Associated with Each Target Location for Subjects S2 and S3, Experienced
10. Distribution of Rankings of Transcripts Associated with Each Target Location for Subjects S5 and S6, Learner/Control
11. Distribution of Rankings of Transcripts Associated with Each Target Location for Sponsor Subjects, Learner/Control
12. Summary--Remote Viewing of Natural Targets
13. Four-State Electronic Random Number Generator Summary
14. Randomness Tests for Machine M1 Output during Successful Experimental Series
15. Randomness Tests for Machine M2 Output during Successful Experimental Series
16. Randomness Tests for Entire Machine Output During Successful Experimental Run
17. Subject S2 Selections on Machine M1 during Successful Experimental Series
18. Subject S2 Selections on Machine M2 during Successful Experimental Series
19. Neuropsychology Test Battery
20. EEG Data for Subject S4
21. Geiger Counter Experiment Summary
22. Five-Bit Code for Alphanumeric Characters

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

I ABSTRACT

As a result of exploratory research on human perception carried out in SRI's Electronics and Bioengineering Laboratory, we initiated a program to investigate a perceptual channel whereby individuals can access by means of mental imagery and describe randomly chosen sites remote from their physical location. This ability appeared to be sufficiently well developed in certain individuals to allow them to at times describe correctly---often in great detail---geographical or technical material, such as buildings, roads, laboratory apparatus, and the like. In this final report (Part Two--Research Report[†]), we document in detail the 12-month study at SRI of this human information-accessing capability which we call "remote viewing," the characteristics of which appear to fall outside the range of well-understood perceptual or information-processing abilities. This phenomenon is one of a broad class of abilities of certain individuals to access, by means of mental processes, and describe information sources blocked from ordinary perception and generally accepted as secure against access.

The phenomenon we investigated most extensively was the ability of individuals to view remote geographical locations (up to several thousand kilometers away), given only coordinates (latitude and longitude) or a person at a location on whom to target. The development at SRI of successful experimental procedures to elicit this capability has evolved to the point where (a) visiting personnel of the sponsoring organization without any previous exposure to such concepts have performed well under controlled laboratory conditions (that is, generated target descriptions of sufficiently high quality to permit blind matching of descriptions to targets by independent judges), and (b) subjects trained over a two-year period have performed well under operational conditions (that is, provided data of operational significance later verified by independent sources). Our accumulated data thus indicate that both specially selected and unselected persons can be assisted in developing remote perceptual abilities to a level of useful information transfer. The primary achievement of the SRI program was thus the elicitation of high-quality remote viewing by

[†] For summary, see Part One--Executive Summary

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

individuals who agreed to act as subjects.

In carrying out this program we concentrated on what we considered to be our primary responsibility--to resolve under unambiguous conditions the basic issue of whether this class of paranormal perception phenomenon exists. At all times the researchers and SRI management took measures to prevent sensory leakage and subliminal cueing and to prevent deception, whether intentional or unintentional. All experiments were carried out under protocols in which target selection at the beginning of experiments and blind judging of results at the end of experiments were handled independently of the researchers involved in carrying out the experiments, thus assuring evaluations independent of the belief structures of both experimenters and judges.

The program was divided into two categories of approximately equal effort--applied research and basic research. In Section II we summarize the results of the applied research effort in which the operational utility of the above perceptual abilities was explored. In Section III we summarize the results of the basic research effort, which was directed toward identification of the characteristics of individuals possessing such abilities and the determination of neurophysiological correlates and basic mechanisms involved in such functioning. With an eye toward selection of future subjects, individuals possessing a well-developed natural ability in the area under investigation underwent complete physical, psychological, and neuropsychological profiling, the results of which suggest some hypotheses for developing a screening procedure. The program summary is presented in Section IV.

With regard to understanding the phenomenon, the precise nature of the information channel that couples remote locations is not yet understood. However, its general characteristics are compatible with both quantum theory and information theory as well as with recent developments in research on brain function. Therefore, our working assumption is that the phenomenon of interest does not lie outside the purview of modern physics and with further work will yield to analysis and specification.

Finally, it is concluded by the research contractor (SRI) that the development of experimental procedures and the accrual of experience in

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

three years of successful effort constitutes an asset that could be utilized in the future, both for operational needs and for training others in the development and use of the remote-sensing capability.

II PROGRAM RESULTS---APPLIED RESEARCH EFFORT

A. Remote Viewing

As mentioned in the Abstract, the phenomenon we investigated most extensively was the ability of individuals to view remote geographical locations (up to several thousand kilometers away), given only coordinates (latitude and longitude) or a person at a location on whom to target. Individuals exhibiting this faculty include not only SRI participants but also visiting staff members of the sponsoring organization who participated as subjects so as to critique the protocol.

As observed in the laboratory, the basic phenomenon appears to cover a range of subjective experiences variously referred to in the literature as autoscopy (in the medical literature); exteriorization or disassociation (psychological literature); simple clairvoyance, traveling clairvoyance, or out-of-body experience (parapsychological literature); or astral projection (occult literature). We choose the term "remote viewing" as a neutral descriptive term free of occult assumptions or bias as to the mechanisms involved.

We begin our report in subsections 1 and 2 with experiments under the control of the sponsor. These experiments were designed to provide a vehicle whereby the sponsor could establish independently of SRI, some degree of confidence as to the existence of the long-distance remote viewing faculty.

1. Long-Distance Remote Viewing: Sponsor-Designated Targets (Exploratory Research)

So as to subject the remote-viewing phenomena to a rigorous long-distance test under external control, a request for geographical coordinates of a site unknown to subject and experimenters was forwarded to the sponsor's group responsible for threat analysis in this area. In response, an SRI experimenter received a set of coordinates identifying

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

what is hereafter referred to as the West Virginia Site. The SRI experimenter then carried out remote-viewing experiments with two subjects on a double-blind basis, that is, with target content blind to experimenter as well as to subjects. (Following the experiment both subjects claimed unfamiliarity with the West Virginia area.) The experiment had as its goal the determination of the utility of remote viewing under conditions approximating an operational scenario.

a. West Virginia Site (S3)*

Date: 29 May 1973, 1634 to 1640 hours, Menlo Park, California. Protocol: Coordinates 38°23'45"N to 48"N, 79°25'00"W, described simply as being in West Virginia, were relayed to experimenter Dr. H.E. Puthoff by telephone, who then relayed this information to subject S3 to initiate experiment. No maps were permitted, and the subject was asked to give an immediate response. The session was recorded on video tape. The oral response is reproduced here from the tape:

This seems to be some sort of mounds or rolling hills. There is a city to the north (I can see the taller buildings and some smog). This seems to be a strange place, somewhat like the lawns that one would find around a military base, but I get the impression that there are either some old bunkers around, or maybe this is a covered reservoir. There must be a flagpole, some highways to the west, possibly a river over to the far east, to the south more city.

The map in Figure 1(a) was drawn by the subject.

On the following morning, S3 submitted a written report of a second reading, dated 30 May 1973, 0735 to 0758 hours, Mountain View, California:

Cliffs to the east, fence to the north. There's a circular building (a tower?), buildings to the south. Is this a former Nike base or something like that? This is about as far as I could go without feedback, and perhaps guidance as to what was wanted. There is something strange about this area, but since I don't know particularly what to look for within the scope of the cloudy ability, it is extremely difficult to make decisions on what is there and what is not. Imagination seems to get in the way. (For example, I seem to get the impression of something

* S3 identifies a subject. A key to numerical designations for subjects is available from the sponsor's Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 1 MAPS OF WEST VIRGINIA SITE DRAWN BY SUBJECT S3

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

underground, but I'm not sure.) However, it is apparent that on first sighting, the general location was correctly spotted.

The map in Figure 1(b) also was drawn by the subject.

b. West Virginia Site (S1)

As a backup test, the coordinates were given to a second subject, S1. The task was presented to the second subject independently of the first subject, both to prevent collaboration and to prevent any sense of competition.

Date: 1 June 1973, 1700 hours, Menlo Park, California.
Protocol: Coordinates 38°23'45" to 48"N, 79°25'00"W were given (with no further description) by experimenter Dr. H.E. Puthoff to subject S1 by telephone to initiate experiment.

On the morning of 4 June 1973, S1's written response (dated 2 June 1973, 1250 to 1350 hours, Lake Tahoe, California) was received in the mail:

Looked at general area from altitude of about 1500 ft above highest terrain. On my left forward quadrant is a peak in a chain of mountains, elevation approximately 4996 ft above sea level. Slopes are greyish slate covered with variety of broad-leaf trees, vines, shrubbery, and undergrowth. I am facing about 3° to 5° west of north. Looking down the mountain to the right (east) side is a roadway--freeway, country style--curves then heads ENE to a fairly large city about 30 to 40 miles distant. This area was a battleground in civil war--low rolling hills, creeks, few lakes or reservoirs. There is a smaller town a little SE about 15 to 20 miles distant with small settlements, village type, very rural, scattered around. Looking across the peak, 2500 to 3000 ft mountains stretch out for a hundred or so miles. Area is essentially wooded. Some of the westerly slopes are eroded and gully washed--looks like strip mining, coal mainly.

Weather at this time is cloudy, rainy. Temperature at my altitude about 54°F--high cumulo nimbus clouds to about 25,000 to 30,000 ft. Clear area, but turbulent, between that level and some cirro stratus at 46,000 ft. Air mass in that strip moving WNW to SE.

1318 hours--Perceived that peak area has large underground storage areas. Road comes up back side of mountains (west slopes), fairly well concealed, looks deliberately so. It's cut under trees where possible--would be very hard to detect flying over area. Looks like former missile site--bases for launchers still there, but area now houses record storage area, microfilm, file cabinets; as you go into underground area

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

through aluminum rolled up doors, first areas filled with records, etc. Rooms about 100-ft long, 40-ft wide, 20-ft ceilings, with concrete supporting pilasters, flare-shaped. Temperature cool--fluorescent lighted. Personnel, Army 5th Corps Engineers. M/Sgt. Long on desk placard on grey steel desk--file cabinets security locked--combination locks, steel rods through eye bolts. Beyond these rooms, heading east, are several bays with computers, communication equipment, large maps, display type, overlays. Personnel, Army Signal Corps. Elevators.

1330 hours--Looked over general area from original location again--valleys quite hazy, lightning about 30 miles north along mountain ridge. Temperature drop about 6°F, it's about 48°F. Looking for other significances: see warm air mass moving in from SW colliding with cool air mass about 100 miles ESE from my viewpoint. Air is very turbulent--tornado type; birds in my area seeking heavy cover. There is a fairly large river that I can see about 15 to 20 miles north and slightly west; runs NE then curves in wide valley running SW to NE; river then runs SE. Area to east: low rolling hills. Quite a few Civil War monuments. A marble colonnade type: 'In this area was fought the battle of Lynchburg where many brave men of the Union and Confederate Armys (sic) fell. We dedicate this area to all peace loving people of the future--Daughters G.A.R.'

On a later date S1 was asked to return to the West Virginia site with the goal of obtaining information on code words, if possible. In response, S1 supplied the following information:

Top of desk had papers labeled "Flytrap" and "Minerva".

File cabinet on north wall labeled "Operation Pool..." (third word unreadable).

Folders inside cabinet labeled "Cueball", "14 Ball", "4 Ball", "8 Ball", and "Rackup".

Name of site vaguely seems like Hayfork or Haystack. Personnel: Col. R.J. Hamilton, Maj. Gen. George R. Nash, Major John C. Calhoun (??).



25X1

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~


25X1

The two reports for the West Virginia Site, and the report [redacted] were verified by personnel in the sponsor organization as being substantially correct. The results of the evaluation are contained in a separate report filed with the COTR.

25X1


d. Summary of Exploratory Research

The observation of such unexpectedly high-quality descriptions early in our program led to a large-scale study of the phenomenon under secure double-blind conditions (i.e., target unknown to experimenters as well as subjects), with independent random target selection and blind judging. The results, presented later, provide strong evidence for the robustness of this phenomenon, one whereby complex remote stimuli can be detected by a human perceptual modality of extreme sensitivity. Before discussing these results, however, we consider further examples of both operational and operational-analog experiments.



25X1

To determine the utility of remote viewing under operational conditions, a long-distance remote viewing experiment was carried out on



25X1

This experiment, carried out in three phases, was under direct control of the COTR. To begin the experiment, the COTR furnished map coordinates in degrees, minutes, and seconds. The only additional information provided was the designation of the target as [redacted]. The experimenters then closeted themselves with subject S1, gave him the

25X1

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

map coordinates and indicated the designation of the target [REDACTED] 25X1

[REDACTED] A remote-viewing experiment on the target was then carried out. 25X1
This activity constituted Phase I of the experiment.

Figure 2(a) shows the subject's graphic effort for building layout; Figure 2(b) shows the subject's particular attention to a multi-story gantry crane he observed at the site. Both results were obtained by the experimenters on a double-blind basis before exposure to any additional COTR-held information, thus eliminating the possibility of cueing. These results were turned over to the client representatives for evaluation. For comparison an artist's rendering of the site as known to the COTR (but not to the experimenters until later) is shown in Figure 3(a), with crane detail shown in Figure 3(b).


Were the results not promising, the experiment would have stopped at this point. Description of the multistory crane, however, a relatively unusual target item, was taken as indicative of possible target acquisition. Therefore, Phase II was begun, defined by the subject being made "witting" (of the client) by client representatives who introduced themselves to the subject at that point; Phase II also included a second round of experimentation [REDACTED] with direct participation of client representatives in which further data were obtained and evaluated. As preparation for this phase, client representatives purposely kept themselves blind to all but general knowledge of the target site to minimize the possibility of cueing. The Phase II effort was focused on the generation of physical data that could be independently verified by other client resources, thus providing a calibration of the process. 25X1

The end of Phase II gradually evolved into the first part of Phase III, the generation of unverifiable data concerning [REDACTED] 25X1 site not available to the client, but of operational interest nonetheless. Several hours of tape transcript and a notebook full of drawings were generated over a two-week period.


The data describing [REDACTED] site were evaluated by 25X1 the sponsor, and are contained in a separate report. In general, several details concerning the [REDACTED] site ap- 25X1 peared to dovetail with data from other sources, and a number of specific

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED



(a) SUBJECT EFFORT AT BUILDING LAYOUT




(b) SUBJECT EFFORT AT CRANE CONSTRUCTION


FIGURE 2 MAP AND DETAIL OF SITE DRAWN BY SUBJECT S1

UNCLASSIFIED


~~SECRET~~



25X1



25X1



(b) CRANE COMPARISON

FIGURE 3

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

large structural elements were correctly described. The results contained noise along with the signal, but were nonetheless clearly differentiated from the chance results that were generated by control subjects in comparison experiments carried out by the COTR.

3. Long-Distance Remote Viewing: SRI-Designated Targets (Exploratory Research, Costa Rica Series)

The experimental procedures of Subsections 1 and 2 were designed to provide a vehicle whereby the client could establish, independently of SRI, some degree of confidence as to the existence of a long-distance remote viewing faculty. Although the results were indicated to be positive, from the standpoint of SRI personnel who could not participate in the evaluation phase, it was considered necessary to supplement the above experiments with a similar set under SRI control. Therefore, SRI-controlled experiments were undertaken to enable the experimenters to participate directly in the evaluation phase of the remote-viewing experiments.


Two subjects (S1 and S4) were asked to participate in a long-distance experiment focusing on a series of targets in Costa Rica. The subjects said they had never been to Costa Rica.

In this experiment, one of the experimenters (Dr. Puthoff) spent ten days traveling through Costa Rica on a combination business/pleasure trip. This information was all that was known to the subjects about the traveler's itinerary. The experiment called for Dr. Puthoff to keep a detailed record of his location and activities, including photographs, each of seven target days at 1330 PDT. A total of 12 daily descriptions were collected before the traveler's return: six responses from S1, five responses from S4, and one response from an SRI experimenter, who acted as a subject in one experiment on a day in which S4 was not available and the other subject arrived late.


For its illustrative value we consider first the single response submitted by the experimenter filling in as a subject. The response, a drawing submitted for a day in the middle of the series, is shown in Figure 4 together with photographs taken at the site. Although Costa Rica is a mountainous country, the subject unexpectedly perceived the traveler at a beach and ocean setting. With some misgivings, he described an

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



AIRPORT IN SAN ANDRES, COLOMBIA, USED AS REMOTE VIEWING TARGET



SA-3183-20

FIGURE 4 AIRPORT IN SAN ANDRES, COLOMBIA, USED AS REMOTE VIEWING TARGET ALONG WITH SKETCH PRODUCED BY SUBJECT IN CALIFORNIA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

airport on a sandy beach and an airstrip with the ocean at the end (correct). An airport building also was drawn, and shown to have a large rectangular overhang (correct). The traveler had taken a one-day unplanned side trip to an offshore island and at the time of the experiment had just disembarked from a plane at a small island airport as described, 4000 kilometers from SRI. The sole discrepancy was that the drawing showed a Quonset-hut type of building in place of the rectangular structure.

The above description was chosen as an example to illustrate two major points observed a number of times throughout the program. First, in opposition to what might be expected, a subject's description does not necessarily portray what might reasonably be expected to be correct (an educated or "safe" guess) but often runs counter to even the subject's own expectations. Second, individuals other than those with putative "paranormal ability" are able to exhibit a remote viewing faculty.

The remaining submissions provided further examples of excellent correspondences between target and response. (A target period of pool-side relaxation was identified, a drive through a tropical forest at the base of a truncated volcano was described as a drive through a jungle below a large bare table mountain, a hotel room target description, including such details as rug color, was correct, and so on.) So as to determine whether such matches were simply fortuitous, i.e., could reasonably be expected on the basis of chance alone, when Dr. Puthoff returned he was asked to blind match the 12 descriptions to the seven target locations. On the basis of this conservative evaluation procedure, which vastly underestimates the statistical significance of the individual descriptions, five correct matches were obtained (two each of subjects S1 and S4, and the single submission by the experimenter). This number of matches is significant at $p = 0.02$ by exact binomial calculation.*

* The probability of a correct daily match by chance for any given transcript is $p = 1/7$. Therefore, the probability of at least five correct matches by chance out of 12 tries can be calculated from

$$p = \sum_{i=5}^{12} \frac{12!}{i!(12-i)!} \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)^i \left(\frac{6}{7}\right)^{12-i} = 0.02.$$

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Therefore, this pilot study, completely under control of SRI, provided confirmatory data supporting that obtained under sponsor control, indicating the existence of an apparent long-distance remote viewing faculty.

4. Short-Range Remote Viewing (Cipher Machine Analog)

As a further test of operational utility of the remote viewing faculty, the COTR tasked the contractors with an experiment designed to duplicate as closely as possible an operational situation of current interest, the remote viewing of an abacus-type device. During a trip to the East Coast, the experimenters were to proceed to New York, where they were to purchase locally an abacus to be used as a target in a remote viewing experiment. (The abacus was to constitute a target analogous to a cipher machine of particular interest.) Following the purchase they were to contact a subject who lived there (S3) by telephone with a surprise request to come to the experimenters' hotel room later that day to participate in a remote-viewing experiment.


The above steps were carried out in preparation for the experiment. In this case the experimenters knew what the target was, an exception to the double-blind rule followed in all our other work. Therefore, while awaiting the subject's arrival, a preamble for the experiment was prerecorded by one of the experimenters (Targ) and carefully checked to ensure against verbal cueing:

Hal and I have brought a present for you. We wandered around New York this morning and we bought an object. This object is of the type that one interacts with, and Hal will use it for its normal purpose. Today is Friday, September 26, 1974. As in all our remote viewing experiments, we'd like to ask you to describe the object as you see it rather than attempting to give the object a name.

When the subject entered the hotel room, this instruction tape was played by one experimenter (R.T.) while the other experimenter (H.P.) took a large locked suitcase containing the target object into an adjacent room, locked the door, and removed the abacus, shown in Figure 5(a), actions verified earlier as being inaudible. Thus the only available cue was an upper bound on the size.

The subject produced the outline drawing I of Figure 5(b) in approximately one minute. (The large purplish-silver object corresponds

UNCLASSIFIED



SA-3183-1

FIGURE 5



UNCLASSIFIED

to the suitcase interior and is not taken to be evidential.) The experimenter remaining with the subject asked for more detail, and the subject produced the drawing II of Figure 5(b), describing the object as a "game box with little balls." The entire experiment was tape recorded and extreme caution was taken to prevent cueing of any kind. The experiment took place in five minutes total time.

Considering the high-strangeness factor of the target item, and essentially total lack of restriction on the possibilities as far as the subject was concerned, the correlation of subject drawings and target was taken as indicative of a potential utility for remote viewing of technological targets, and resulted in a decision to experiment further in this area.

After the target was shown to the subject, a short follow-up experiment was carried out to determine whether the position of the balls on the abacus could be determined by remote viewing, but this degree of resolution was found to be beyond the subject's capability.

5. Short-Range Remote Viewing (Technology Series)

So as to measure the resolution capability of the remote viewing phenomenon, a series of experiments targeting on remote laboratory equipment within the SRI complex was carried out.

Thirteen experiments were carried out with five different subjects, two of whom were sponsor staff personnel. A subject was told that one of the experimenters would be sent by random protocol to a laboratory within the SRI complex and that he would interact with the equipment or apparatus at the location. It was further explained that the experimenter remaining with the subject was kept ignorant of the contents of the target pool to prevent cueing during questioning. (The remaining experimenter only knew that from time to time, on a random basis, previously used targets would be reinserted into the target pool to provide an opportunity for multiple responses to a given target, and that during sponsor visits the targets might be selected by sponsor staff personnel rather than by the established random protocol procedures.) The subject was asked to describe the target both verbally (tape recorded) and by means of drawings during the time-synchronized 15-minute interval in which the outbound experimenter interacted in an appropriate manner with the equipment in the

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

target area.


In the 13 experiments, eight targets were used: a drill press, computer-driven flight simulator (Link trainer), Xerox machine, video terminal, chart recorder, four-state random target generator (used in screening tests described later), typewriter, and machine shop. Three of these were used twice (drill press, video terminal, and typewriter) and one (Xerox machine) came up three times. As an example of drawings generated by subjects, all of the subject outputs generated for the latter three (video terminal, typewriter, and Xerox machine) are shown in Figures 6, 7, and 8. A summary of subject and target selection procedure is given in Table 1.

As is apparent from the illustrations alone, certain of the experiments provide circumstantial evidence for an information channel of useful bit rate. This includes experiments (Experiments 4 and 13) in which sponsor staff personnel participated as subjects to observe the protocol.


To obtain independent objective judgment of the quality of the remote viewing of technological targets, various analyses based on blind judging were employed.

In the first judging procedure, a judge was asked to blind-match the drawings alone (i.e., without tape transcripts) to the targets. Multiple subject responses to a given target were stapled together, and thus there were seven subject-drawing response packets to be matched to the seven different targets for which drawings were made. (No drawings were made for the Link trainer.) The judge did not have access to our photographs of the target locations, used for demonstration only (as in Figures 6 through 8), but rather proceeded to each of the target locations by list. While standing at each target location, the judge was required to rank order the seven subject-drawing response packets (presented in random order) on a scale one to seven (best to worst match), as shown in Table 2. The statistic of interest is the sum of ranks on the diagonal, lower values indicating better matches. For seven targets, the sum of ranks could range from seven to forty-nine. The probability that a given sum of ranks s or less will occur by chance is given by:¹

UNCLASSIFIED



TARGET: VIDEO MONITOR FOR TEXT EDITING (TECHNOLOGY SERIES)





SUBJECT (S4) DRAWING OF "BOX WITH LIGHT COMING OUT
OF IT . . . PAINTED FLAT BLACK AND IN
THE MIDDLE OF THE ROOM"

SA-3183-8

FIGURE 6 DRAWING BY TWO SUBJECTS OF A VIDEO MONITOR TARGET


UNCLASSIFIED



**TECHNOLOGY SERIES
TYPEWRITER TARGET**


*Seems to resolve into 2 parts
one sitting on top of the other -
a machine in 2 parts.
white on the side.
see the floor now - large*

1123



*The right hand is inside
a green circuit.*

SUBJECT S3 RESPONSE




SUBJECT S4 RESPONSE

TA-760525-5

FIGURE 7 DRAWINGS OF A TYPEWRITER TARGET BY TWO SUBJECTS


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



TARGET LOCATION: XEROX MACHINE
(TECHNOLOGY SERIES)

TO ADD INTEREST TO TARGET
LOCATION EXPERIMENTER WITH
HIS HEAD BEING XEROXED



TA-760525-6

FIGURE 8 DRAWINGS BY THREE SUBJECTS (S2, S3, AND V3) FOR XEROX MACHINE TARGET

When subject (V3) was asked to describe the square at upper left, the subject said, "There was this predominant light source which might have been a window, and a working surface which might have been the sill, or a working surface or desk." Earlier the subject had said, "I have the feeling that there is something silhouetted against the window." Shown at right.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 1
SUBJECT AND TARGET SELECTION PROCEDURE
FOR TECHNOLOGY SERIES

| Experiment | Target | Target Selection Procedure* | Subject |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Link trainer | a | SRI-S4 |
| 2 | Video terminal | a | SRI-S4 |
| 3 | Drill press | a | SRI-S4 |
| 4 | Xerox machine | b | Sponsor-V1 |
| 5 | Xerox machine | b | SRI-S2 |
| 6 | Random number generator | c | SRI-S4 |
| 7 | Machine shop | b | SRI-S4 |
| 8 | Typewriter | b | SRI-S4 |
| 9 | Typewriter | c | SRI-S3 |
| 10 | Chart recorder | c | SRI-S3 |
| 11 | Xerox machine | c | SRI-S3 |
| 12 | Drill press | c | SRI-S3 |
| 13 | Video terminal | c | Sponsor-V2 |

*Target selection procedures

- a. Outbound SRI experimenter selects target site arbitrarily after leaving subject area.
- b. Visiting sponsor staff member selects target site arbitrarily after leaving subject area.
- c. Standard protocol, in which a target is issued to outbound experimenter by division director who selects the target by random number technique from a target pool stored in a secure safe.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 2

RANK ORDERING MATCH OF SUBJECT-DRAWING RESPONSE PACKETS
TO TARGET LOCATIONS (BLIND JUDGING, TECHNOLOGY SERIES)

| Place Visited \ Subject Drawing Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Video terminal | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Random number generator | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Xerox machine | 6 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Machine shop | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Drill press | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Typewriter | 3 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Chart recorder | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 |

Note on judging procedure: When standing at target locations shown on left, each of the seven subject-drawing response packets (originally labeled in random order) are rank ordered one to seven (best to worst match) by the judge. Statistic of interest is the sum of ranks on the diagonal, lower values indicating better matches (see text). The sum in this case (18) is significant at $p < 0.04$.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

$$\text{Prob}(s \text{ or less}) = \frac{1}{N^n} \sum_{i=n}^s \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{n}{\ell} \binom{i-N\ell-1}{n-1},$$

where s = obtained sum of ranks

N = number of assignable ranks

n = number of occasions on which rankings were made

ℓ takes on values from zero to the least positive integer k in $(i-n)/n$.

Table 3 is a table to enable easy application of the above formula to those cases in which $N = n$. The sum in this case (18) is significant at $p < 0.04$.

In the second judging procedure, another judge was given 12 subject-response packages, which included drawings and tape transcripts, and asked to blind match, without replacement, the 12 response packages to 12 target locations, which he visited. (The thirteenth location, the machine shop, included in the first judging, was left out of this judging by an oversight.) In the forced-choice matching without replacement, (that is, each response packet used only once), the judge obtained four direct hits, the Link trainer (Experiment 1), video terminal (Experiment 2), drill press (Experiment 3) and Xerox machine (Experiment 5). (The Link trainer, for which no drawings were made, was matched on the basis of tape-recorded transcript alone. It is a standard computer-controlled flight simulator that resembles the cockpit of an aircraft. It was cramped quarters for the outbound experimenter who flew the trainer according to a printed flight plan book laid over his arm. The windows were frosted and translucent. Subject S4 gave a description of the experimenter crowded into a very small space illuminated by gray diffuse light and with a long paper, such as a waiter's towel, over his arm. Although not unambiguous, the subject's description was nevertheless essentially devoid of incorrect statements.)

As indicated by Table 4, the probability of obtaining by chance four direct hits out of 12 matches is $p = 0.015$; thus, this judging procedure also indicates that, from a statistical standpoint, there is significant evidence of useful information transfer.

In a third judging procedure the COTR arbitrarily selected the

UNCLASSIFIED

| Number of Assignable Ranks (N) | Probability (One-Tailed) that the Indicated Sum of Ranks or Less Would Occur by Chance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.0005 | 10 ⁻⁴ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁷ |
| 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| 6 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | | | |
| 7 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 8 | | |
| 8 | 29 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| 9 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| 10 | 46 | 42 | 39 | 38 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 13 |
| 11 | 56 | 51 | 48 | 47 | 45 | 41 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 17 |
| 12 | 67 | 61 | 58 | 56 | 54 | 49 | 47 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 22 |

Source: R. L. Morris¹

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 4

The Probabilities of M Correct Guesses of N Distinct Items

| M \ N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| 0 | ... | .5000 | .3333 | .3750 | .36667 | .36806 | .36786 | .367882 | .367879 | .367879 | .3678794 | .3678794 |
| 1 | 1.000 | ... | .5000 | .3333 | .37500 | .36667 | .36806 | .367857 | .367882 | .367879 | .3678795 | .3678794 |
| 2 | | .5000 | ... | .2500 | .16667 | .18750 | .18333 | .184028 | .183929 | .183941 | .1839396 | .1839397 |
| 3 | | | .1667 | ... | .08333 | .05556 | .06250 | .061111 | .061343 | .061310 | .0613137 | .0613132 |
| 4 | | | | .0417 | ... | .02083 | .01389 | .015625 | .015278 | .015336 | .0153274 | .0153284 |
| 5 | | | | | .00833 | ... | .00417 | .002778 | .003125 | .003056 | .0030671 | .0030655 |
| 6 | | | | | | .00139 | ... | .000694 | .000463 | .000521 | .0005093 | .0005112 |
| 7 | | | | | | | .00020 | ... | .000099 | .000066 | .0000744 | .0000728 |
| 8 | | | | | | | | .000025 | ... | .000012 | .0000083 | .0000093 |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | .000003 | ... | .0000014 | .0000009 |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | .000000 | ... | .0000001 |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | .0000000 | ... |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | .00000000 |

Source: N. Feller²

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

data of Experiment 3 (drill press/S4) as a test case. An analyst of the sponsor organization, blind as to the target and given only the subject's taped narrative and drawings (Figure 9), was able, from the subject's description alone, to correctly classify the target as a "man-sized vertical boring machine."

In general, it appears that use of multiple-subject responses to a single target provides better signal-to-noise ratio than target identification by a single individual. Further, our observation is that most of the correct information is of a nonanalytic nature pertaining to shape, form, color, and material rather than to function or name. That is, we often observe the correct description of basic elements and patterns coupled with incomplete or erroneous analysis of function. As a result, we have learned to urge our subjects simply to describe what they see as opposed to interpreting the perceived data. One should not infer that analytic functioning in the remote viewing mode is never observed, however, as indicated by codeword retrieval in the West Virginia Site experiment discussed in Subsection 1-B above, and by the sponsor-staff-member-generated response of Figure 6.


B. Detection of Secret Writing (SW) Target Material

To determine whether documents containing secret writing (SW) could be differentiated from other documents, SRI carried out a double-blind experiment under sponsor control. Twenty-seven numbered envelopes containing target drawings of variable content and preparation, sealed and specially secured by the sponsor, were submitted to SRI researchers for sorting. The goal was the differentiation of the 12 envelopes containing the SW drawings from the envelopes containing either pencil drawings (6) or blanks (9). This distribution was the only datum given to researchers and subject. The key, shown in Table 5, remained under sponsor control until the experiment was completed and the data were submitted to the COTR.


A series of sorting runs to detect SW material was carried out with SRI subject S1. The series consisted of 24 runs through the 27 cards, choosing 12 cards each run, the goal being to choose the 12 SW cards. Thus, each run consisted of a sort into one of two binary channels, non-SW or SW, say (0,1). The numbered envelopes containing the target

~~SECRET~~


UNCLASSIFIED



**TARGET: DRILL PRESS
(TECHNOLOGY SERIES)**



**BELT DRIVE FOR DRILL
PRESS (CAN BE SEEN ONLY
FROM ABOVE MACHINE)**



SA-3183-7

FIGURE 9

**SUBJECT (S4) DRAWING OF DRILL PRESS SHOWING BELT DRIVE, STOOL AND
"VERTICAL GRAPH THAT GOES UP AND DOWN"**

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

TABLE 5

KEY FOR SECRET WRITING EXPERIMENT

(Kept blind to experimenters until experiment completion)

| Card No. | Target Material | Target Content |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1 | Pencil | Large X |
| 2 | Pencil | Small Δ |
| 3 | Pencil | Large Δ |
| 4 | Blank | Blank |
| 5 | Blank | Blank |
| 6 | Pencil | Large 0 |
| 7 | Pencil | Small x |
| 8 | Pencil | Small o |
| 9 | Blank | Blank |
| 10 | Blank | Blank |
| 11 | CD-294 | Small Δ |
| 12 | CD-294 | Large X |
| 13 | CD-294 | Large Δ |
| 14 | CD-294 | Small o |
| 15 | Blank | Blank |
| 16 | CD-294 | Small x |
| 17 | Blank | Blank |
| 18 | CD-294 | Large 0 |
| 19 | CD-175 | Large 0 |
| 20 | Blank | Blank |
| 21 | CD-175 | Large X |
| 22 | CD-175 | Small Δ |
| 23 | Blank | Blank |
| 24 | Blank | Blank |
| 25 | CD-175 | Small o |
| 26 | CD-175 | Large Δ |
| 27 | CD-175 | Small x |

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

material were randomized before each run and placed inside unnumbered opaque envelopes before being presented to the subject for sorting.

The appropriate analysis technique for a binary sort (0,1) is the method known as sequential sampling.³ The sequential method gives a rule of procedure for making one of three possible decisions for each card following a given binary sort: accept 1 as the bit being carried by the card; reject 1 as the bit being carried by the card (i.e., accept 0); or continue sampling of the card under consideration. The sequential sampling procedure differs from fixed-length statistical analysis procedures in that the number of sorts required to reach a final decision on a card bit is not fixed before sampling, but depends on the results accumulated with each sampling run. The primary advantage of the sequential sampling procedure as compared with the other methods is that, on the average, fewer sorts per final decision are required for an equivalent degree of reliability.

Use of the sequential sampling procedure requires the specification of parameters that are determined on the basis of the following considerations. Assume that a labeling bit (0 or 1) is being carried by each card. From the standpoint of the sorter, the probability of correctly identifying the bit being carried is some value p_c because of chance alone. An operative sensing channel could then be expected to alter the probability of correct identification to a higher value $p = p_c + \psi$. Good psi functioning on a repetitive task is observed to result in $\psi = 0.12$, as reported by Ryzl.⁴ Therefore, let us assume a baseline psi parameter $\psi_b = 0.12$.

The question to be addressed in the case of sorting 12 SW cards from among 27 cards is whether a given card is sorted into the SW channel at a low rate p_0 commensurate with the hypothesis H_0 that the card in question is a non-SW card, or at a higher rate p_1 commensurate with the hypothesis H_1 that the card in question is indeed an SW card. The decision-making process requires the specification of four parameters:

- (1) p_0 : The probability of sorting incorrectly a non-SW (0) card into the SW (1) channel. In the sort of 12 SW cards from among 27, the probability of correctly sorting a non-SW (0) card into

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

the non-SW (0) channel is $p = p_c + \psi_b = 15/27 + 0.12 = 0.676$.

Therefore, the probability of a non-SW (0) card being incorrectly sorted into the SW (1) channel is $1 - p = 0.324 = p_o$.

- (2) p_1 : The probability of sorting correctly an SW (1) card into the SW (1) channel. In the sort of 12 SW cards from among 27, the probability of correctly sorting an SW (1) card into the SW (1) channel is $p_1 = p_c + \psi_b = 12/27 + 0.12 = 0.564$.
- (3) α : The probability of rejecting a correct identification for a non-SW (0) card (designated in statistics as a Type I error). We shall take $\alpha = 0.1$.
- (4) β : The probability of accepting an incorrect identification for an SW (1) card (designated in statistics as a Type II error). We shall take $\beta = 0.1$.


(Lower values for α and β result in increased accuracy, but at the expense of requiring longer runs. Therefore, a compromise must be made between the desire to maximize reliability and to minimize redundancy.)

With the parameters thus specified, the sequential sampling procedure provides for construction of a decision graph as shown in Figure 10. A cumulative record of sorts of a given card is compiled run by run until either the upper or lower limit line is reached, at which point a decision is made to label the card as 0 (non-SW) or 1 (SW).

As indicated in Figure 10, during the 24 runs carried out, SW cards 13, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, and 27 correctly emerged through the upper limit line to be labeled SW, along with pencil cards 1 and 2 and blank card 17, the latter three incorrectly. We note that five of the six CD-175 cards ended up correctly sorted. With regard to the lower limit line, pencil cards 3, 6, and 8, and blank cards 4, 20, and 23 correctly emerged through the lower limit line to be labeled non-SW, along with (incorrectly) SW cards 12, 16, and 19. Thus, of the 19 cards that emerged through the limit lines, 13 are correct. Although we cannot rule out the possibility of obtaining 13 correct choices out of 19 labelings by chance ($p = 0.09$ by

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



TA-760582-23R

FIGURE 10 PROCEDURE FOR CARD SORTING BY SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING

 $p_0 = 0.324, p_1 = 0.564, \alpha = 0.1, \beta = 0.1$

BROKEN LINE SHOWS SAMO SAMPLING SEQUENCE FOR CARD NO. 25

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

exact binomial calculation*), the result indicates a tendency toward correct labeling that could be explored further. With an increased number of runs, the probabilities for α and β errors can be reduced while still permitting a large percentage of labelings to be made. (For completeness we include the raw data call sheet as Table 6.)

A second shorter series of 18 sorting runs through the 27 cards to choose the six pencil cards yielded chance results.

* Recognizing that the probability of a correct choice by chance is the probability that an SW card is sorted into the SW channel, or a non-SW card is sorted into the non-SW channel, we have

$$p(\text{corr}) = \frac{12}{27} \times \frac{12}{27} + \frac{15}{27} \times \frac{15}{27} = 0.506.$$

From this the probability of at least 13 correct choices by chance out of 19 tries can be calculated from

$$p = \sum_{i=13}^{19} \frac{19!}{i!(19-i)!} (0.506)^i (0.494)^{19-i} = 0.09$$

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 6

RAW DATA CALL SHEET FOR SECRET WRITING EXPERIMENT

(SELECT 12 PER RUN)

Card Chosen

P P P B B P P P B B SW SW SW SW B SW B SW SW B SW SW B B SW SW SW
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

| Run # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | x | | | | x | | | | x | x | | | | x | x | | | x | | | x | x | | x | | x | x |
| 2 | | x | | | | | | x | | | | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | x | | x | x | x | |
| 3 | | x | | x | x | | x | | | x | | | | | x | | x | | | | | x | x | x | | x | x |
| 4 | | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | x | x | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | x | | x |
| 5 | | x | | x | | | | x | x | | | | x | | x | x | x | | | | | | x | x | | x | x |
| 6 | | x | x | | | | x | | | | x | | x | x | | | | x | | x | x | | | | x | x | x |
| 7 | | x | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | x | x | | | | | | x | x | | | | |
| 8 | x | x | | | | | x | | | | x | | x | | | | | x | x | x | x | | | x | | x | x |
| 9 | x | | x | x | x | | x | | x | x | | | x | | x | | x | | | | | | | x | | | x |
| 10 | | | | x | x | | | | x | | | x | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | | x | | x | x | |
| 11 | x | x | | | x | x | | | x | | | | | x | | x | | | | x | | x | x | | x | x | |
| 12 | x | x | x | x | | | x | x | | | x | | x | | | | x | | | | | x | | | x | x | |
| 13 | x | | | x | x | | | | | | | x | | x | | | x | | x | x | x | | | | x | x | |
| 14 | x | x | | | | | x | | | | x | x | x | x | x | | x | x | | | | x | | x | | | |
| 15 | | | | x | | x | x | | | | x | | | | | | x | x | x | | x | x | | x | x | | x |
| 16 | x | | x | x | | x | | | | x | x | | | | | | | x | x | | x | x | x | | | | x |
| 17 | | | | | x | x | x | x | | | x | | | | x | x | x | | | x | x | | x | x | | | |
| 18 | x | x | | | x | | x | | | | | | x | x | | | x | x | x | | | x | | | | x | x |
| 19 | x | | | x | x | x | x | | | | x | x | x | | | | x | | | | x | x | | | x | | |
| 20 | x | | | | | | | | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | x | | | | x | x | x | x |
| 21 | x | | | | x | | x | | x | | | x | | x | | | x | | x | x | x | | | | x | x | |
| 22 | x | | | x | | | | x | | | x | x | | x | | | x | x | | | x | x | | | x | x | |
| 23 | x | | x | | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | | x | | | | x | x | | | | x | | x | |
| 24 | x | | | x | | x | | x | x | | | | x | x | | | x | | x | | | | | x | x | | x |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

III PROGRAM RESULTS--BASIC RESEARCH EFFORT

In addition to experimentation carried out under conditions appropriate to assessing the operational utility of paranormal abilities, approximately 50 percent of the program effort was devoted to a basic research effort that included:

- (1) Identification of measurable characteristics possessed by gifted individuals,
- (2) Identification of neurophysiological correlates that relate to paranormal activities,
- (3) Identification of the nature of paranormal phenomena and energy.

A. Screening Tests

To meet the above objectives, the first prerequisite was the establishment of criteria capable of differentiating individuals apparently gifted in paranormal functioning from those who were not. This prerequisite was met by carrying out a series of screening tests under fixed protocol conditions. The tests were designed to ensure that all conventional communications channels were blocked, and that the outcomes could be sufficiently unambiguous to determine whether paranormal functioning occurred. Individuals gifted in certain areas of paranormal functioning could then be differentiated from those who were not on the basis of whether their results differed significantly from chance.

Two experimental paradigms were utilized as screening tests on the basis that these tests had been useful for such purposes prior to this program (in the sense that certain apparently gifted individuals did exceedingly well in at least one of these tests, whereas the results of unselected volunteers did not differ significantly from chance expectation). The tests were (a) the remote viewing of natural targets, and (b) the determination of the state of a four-state random target generator. The first type of test constitutes a so-called "free-response" paradigm in which the subject originates freely about contents of his awareness; furthermore, the channel in general may involve both direct perception of the remote site and perception of the mental contents of an observer at the site. In the second type of test, on the other hand, the target

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

is more abstract (an electronic state), the target is blind to all participants, and the subject's choice is precisely constrained.

For the purpose of screening, a result is considered unambiguously paranormal if the a priori probability for the occurrence of the result by chance, under the null hypothesis, is $p < 10^{-6}$. A result with $p < 10^{-2}$ is taken as strongly indicative of paranormal functioning, while a result at $p < 0.05$ is taken as circumstantial evidence for paranormal functioning but requiring further exploration before assessment can be considered secure.

Six subjects were chosen for the study, subjects S1 through S3 considered gifted or experienced, subjects S4 through S6 acting as learners/controls. The dichotomy between gifted and learners/controls was based on the former group having been successful in other studies prior to this program either at SRI or elsewhere; the latter group being naive with regard to paranormal experimentation.

An effort at parity between the two groups was a factor in subject selection. Subject S5 (learner/control), a male, age 54, is matched by age and sex with experienced subject S1, a male, age 55. Learner/control subject S6, a female, age 34, is by age and background matched with experienced subject S2, a male, age 31 (both are research analysts at SRI). Learner/control S4 (female, age 53) and experienced subject S3 (male, age 41) are matched on the basis of similar artistic interests, backgrounds, and occupations (professional photographer and painter, respectively).

1. Remote Viewing of Natural Targets Under a Uniform Standard Protocol

Observations described earlier in this report (Section II-A) suggested the hypothesis that it may be possible for a subject to access and describe, by means of mental imagery, randomly chosen geographical sites located several miles from the subject's position and demarcated by some appropriate means. An experimental series was therefore set up to test this hypothesis under rigorously controlled scientific conditions. The experiment consisted of a series of double-blind tests with local targets in the San Francisco Bay Area so that several independent judges

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

could visit the sites to establish documentation. The protocol was to closet the subject with an experimenter at SRI and at an agreed-on time to obtain from the subject a description of an undisclosed, remote site being visited by a target team. In each of the experiments one of the six program subjects served as remote-viewing subject, and SRI experimenters served as a target demarcation team at the remote location chosen in a double-blind protocol as follows.

In each experiment a target location within 30-minute driving time from SRI was randomly chosen by SRI management from a list of targets kept blind to subject and experimenters and used without replacement. (A set of target locations clearly differentiated from each other had been chosen from a target-rich environment of more than 100 targets of the type used in the experimental series. Before the experimental series began, the Director of the Information Science and Engineering Division, not otherwise associated with the experiment, established the set of locations as the target pool. The target locations were printed on cards sealed and kept in the SRI Division office safe. They were available only with the personal assistance of the Division Director who issued a single randomly selected target card that constituted the traveling orders for that experiment.)

In detail, to begin the experiment, the subject was closeted with an experimenter at SRI to wait 30 minutes before beginning a narrative description of the remote location. A second experimenter then obtained from the Division Director a target location from a set of traveling orders previously prepared and randomized by the Director and kept under his control. The target demarcation team, consisting of two to four SRI experimenters and, occasionally, sponsor staff personnel, then proceeded by automobile directly to the target without any communication with the subject or experimenter remaining behind. The experimenter remaining with the subject at SRI was in ignorance of both the particular target and the target pool so as to eliminate the possibility of subliminal cueing and to allow him freedom in questioning the subject to clarify his descriptions. The demarcation team remained at the target site for an agreed-on 15-

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

minute period following the 30 minutes allotted for travel.* During the observation period, the remote-viewing subject was asked to describe his impressions of the target site into a tape recorder and to make any drawings he thought appropriate. An informal comparison was then made when the demarcation team returned, and the subject was taken to the site to provide feedback.

a. Subject S1 (Experienced)

To begin the series, experienced subject S1 participated as a subject in nine experiments. In general, S1's ability to describe correctly buildings, docks, roads, gardens, and the like, including structural materials, color, ambience, and activity--sometimes in great detail--indicated the functioning of a remote perceptual ability. Nonetheless, the descriptions contained inaccuracies as well as correct statements. A typical example is indicated by the subject's drawing in Figure 11 of one of the targets in which he correctly described a park-like area containing two pools of water: one rectangular, 60 x 89 ft (actual dimensions 75 x 100 ft); the other circular, diameter 120 ft (actual diameter 110 ft). He incorrectly indicated the function, however, as water filtration rather than recreational swimming. As discussed earlier in connection with the technology series, we often observe essentially correct descriptions of basic elements and patterns coupled with incomplete or erroneous analysis of function. As can be seen from his drawing, he also included some elements, such as the tanks shown in the upper right, that are not present at the target site. We also note an apparent left-right reversal, often observed in paranormal perception experiments.

To obtain a numerical evaluation of the accuracy of the remote-viewing experiment, the experimental results were subjected to independent judging on a blind basis by an SRI research analyst not

* The first subject (S1) was allowed 30 minutes for his descriptions, but it was found that he fatigued and had little comment after the first 15 minutes. The viewing time was therefore reduced to 15 minutes for subjects S2 through S6.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

otherwise associated with the research. The subject's response packets, which contained the typed transcripts of the nine tape-recorded narratives along with any associated drawings, were unlabeled and presented in random order. While standing at each target location, visited in turn, the judge was required to blind rank order the nine packets on a scale of one to nine (best to worst match); the results are shown in Table 7. As mentioned in Section II-A-5, where this procedure was used in the judging of the technology series, the statistic of interest is the sum of ranks assigned to the target-associated transcripts, lower values indicating better matches. For nine targets the sum of ranks could range from nine to eighty-one. The actual sum of this case, which included seven direct hits, was 16, a result significant at $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ by exact calculation.

In experiments 3, 4, and 6 through 9, the subject was secured in a double-walled, copper-screen Faraday cage. The Faraday cage provides 120 dB attenuation for plane wave radio frequency radiation over a range of 15 kHz to 1 GHz. For magnetic fields the attenuation is 68 dB at 15 kHz and decreases to 3 dB at 60 Hz. The results of rank order judging (Table 7) indicates that the use of Faraday cage electrical shielding does not prevent high quality descriptions from being obtained.

As a backup judging procedure, a panel of five additional SRI scientists not otherwise associated with the research were asked simply to blind match the unedited typed transcripts (with associated drawings) generated by the remote viewer, against the nine target locations which they independently visited in turn. The transcripts were, of course, unlabeled and presented in random order. A correct match consisted of a transcript of a given date being matched to the target of that date. Instead of the expected number of one match each per judge, the number of correct matches obtained by the five judges was 7, 6, 5, 3, and 3, respectively. Thus, rather than the expected number of five correct matches from the judges, 24 such matches were obtained.

b. Subject S4 (Learner/Control)

Following the first series of nine experiments with experienced subject S1, a nine-target replication series was carried out with learner/control subject S4 who had no previous experience in paranormal functioning.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 7

Distribution of Rankings Assigned to Transcripts Associated
With Each Target Location for Experienced Subject (S1)

| Target Location | Distance (km) | Rank of Associated Transcript |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Hoover Tower, Stanford | 3.4 | 1 |
| Baylands Nature Preserve, Palo Alto | 6.4 | 1 |
| Radio Telescope, Portola Valley | 6.4 | 1 |
| Marina, Redwood City | 6.8 | 1 |
| Bridge Toll Plaza, Fremont | 14.5 | 6 |
| Drive-in Theatre, Palo Alto | 5.1 | 1 |
| Arts and Crafts Plaza, Menlo Park | 1.9 | 1 |
| Catholic Church, Portola Valley | 8.5 | 3 |
| Swimming Pool Complex, Palo Alto | 3.4 | 1 |
| Total sum of ranks | | 16 ($p=2.9 \times 10^{-5}$) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Because of this subject's artistic background, she was capable of drawing and describing visual images that she could not identify in any cognitive or analytic sense, an asset in remote viewing. (Subjects are encouraged to make drawings of anything they visualize and associate with the remote location, since drawings made by subjects are in general more accurate than the subject's verbal description.) When the target demarcation team went to a target location which was a pedestrian overpass, for example, the subject said that she saw "a kind of trough up in the air," which she indicated in the upper part of her drawing in Figure 12. She went on to explain that "If you stand where they are standing you will see something like this," indicating the nested squares at the bottom of Figure 12. As it turned out, a judge standing where she indicated would have a view closely resembling what she had drawn, as can be seen from the accompanying photographs of the target location.



In another experiment, the subject described seeing "an open barn-like structure with a pitched roof." She also saw a "kind of slatted side to the structure making light and dark bars on the wall." Her drawing and a photograph of the associated bicycle shed target are shown in Figure 13.

For the entire series of nine, the numerical evaluation based on blind rank ordering of transcripts at each site was significant at $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, and included five direct hits and four second ranks for the target-associated transcripts (see Table 8).


Again, as a backup judging procedure, a panel of five additional SRI scientists not otherwise associated with the research were asked simply to blind match the unedited typed transcripts, with associated drawings, generated by the remote viewer, against the nine target locations which they independently visited in turn. A correct match consisted of a transcript of a given date being matched to the target of that date. Instead of the expected number of one match each per judge, the number of correct matches obtained by the five judges was 5, 3, 3, 2, and 2, respectively. Thus, rather than the expected number of five correct matches from the judges, 15 such matches were obtained.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS TARGET




SA-3183-5


FIGURE 12 SUBJECT S4 DRAWING, DESCRIBED AS "SOME KIND OF DIAGONAL TROUGH UP IN THE AIR"

UNCLASSIFIED


UNCLASSIFIED



BICYCLE SHED TARGET



DETAIL OF BICYCLE SHED



SA-3183-19

FIGURE 13 SUBJECT S4 RESPONSE TO BICYCLE SHED TARGET DESCRIBED AS AN OPEN "BARN-LIKE BUILDING" WITH "SLATS ON THE SIDES" AND A "PITCHED ROOF"

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 8

Distribution of Rankings Assigned to Transcripts Associated
With Each Target Location for Learner Subject (S4)

| Target Location | Distance (km) | Rank of Associated Transcript |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Methodist Church, Palo Alto | 1.9 | 1 |
| Ness Auditorium, Menlo Park | 0.2 | 1 |
| Merry-Go-Round, Palo Alto | 3.4 | 1 |
| Parking Garage, Mountain View | 8.1 | 2 |
| SRI International Courtyard, Menlo Park | 0.2 | 1 |
| Bicycle Shed, Menlo Park | 0.1 | 2 |
| Railroad Trestle Bridge, Palo Alto | 1.3 | 2 |
| Pumpkin Patch, Menlo Park | 1.3 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Overpass, Palo Alto | 5.0 | 2 |
| Total sum of ranks | | 13 ($p=1.8 \times 10^{-6}$) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIEDc. Subjects S2 and S3 (Experienced)



Having completed a series of 18 remote-viewing experiments, nine each with experienced subject S1 and learner/control subject S4, it was apparent that the projected completion of an additional series of nine each for experienced subjects S2 and S3 and learner/control subjects S5 and S6 was beyond the limits imposed by funding and time available. Therefore, on a best-effort basis, it was decided to complete four each with the remaining subjects. To place the judging on a basis comparable to that employed with S1 and S4, the four transcripts each of experienced subjects S2 and S3 were combined into a group of eight for rank order judging, to be compared with the similarly combined results of the learner/control subjects S5 and S6.

The series with experienced subjects S2 and S3 provided a further example of the dichotomy between verbal and drawing responses during an experiment in which two sponsor staff personnel (the COTR and an associate) participated as members of the target demarcation team, the COTR choosing the target. The target, a tennis court, is shown in Figure 14, along with the drawings generated by the subject (S2). In discussing the drawings, the subject indicated that he was uncertain as to the action, but had the impression that the demarcation team was located at a museum in a particular park. In fact, the tennis court was located in that park about 100 yards from the indicated museum. Once again we note the characteristic (discussed earlier) of a resemblance between the target site and certain gestalt elements of the subject's response, especially as regards the drawings, coupled with incomplete or erroneous analysis of the significances. When rank ordering transcripts one through eight at the site, this transcript was ranked second.




A second example from this group, however, indicates the level of precision that can be attained. The target location chosen by the standard double-blind protocol was the Palo Alto City Hall. Subject S3 described a tall building with vertical columns and "set in" windows. (His sketch, together with the photograph of the site is shown in Figure 15.) He said there was a fountain, "but I don't hear it." At the time the target team was at the City Hall during the experiment, the fountain was not running. He also made an effort to draw a replica of the designs

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



TARGET—TENNIS COURTS




SA-3183-18


FIGURE 14 SUBJECT S2 DRAWINGS IN RESPONSE TO TENNIS COURT TARGET

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



SA-3183-14



TARGET—PALO ALTO CITY HALL

FIGURE 15 SUBJECT S3 RESPONSE TO CITY HALL TARGET

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

in the pavement in front of the building, and correctly indicated the number of trees (four) in the sketch.

For the entire series of eight, four each from S2 and S3, the numerical evaluation based on blind-rank ordering of transcripts at each site was significant at $p = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$, and included three direct hits and three second ranks for the target-associated transcripts (see Table 9).

d. Subjects S5 and S6 (Learner/Control)

To complete the series, four experiments each were carried out with learner/control subjects S5 and S6.

The results in this case, taken as a group, did not differ significantly from chance. For the series of eight (judged as a group of seven since one target came up twice, once for each subject) the numerical evaluation based on blind-rank ordering of transcripts at each site was nonsignificant at $p = 0.08$, even though there were two direct hits and two second ranks out of the seven (see Table 10).

One of the direct hits, which occurred with subject S6 on her first experiment, is an example of the "first-time effect" that has been rigorously explored and is well-known to experimenters in the field.⁵ In the narrative, the subject began to describe a large square with a fountain. Two minutes into the experiment she recognized the location and correctly identified it by name (see Figure 16). It should be noted that in the area from which the target locations were drawn there are several other fountains, some of which were in the target pool as well. As an example of the style of the narratives generated during remote viewing experiments with a naive subject, and the part played by the experimenter remaining with the subject in such a case, we have included the entire unedited text of this experiment as Appendix A.

e. Sponsor Subjects (Learner/Control)

Two sponsor staff personnel participated as subjects in five experiments so as to experience the protocols from the subjects' viewpoint. In this role they provide an additional calibration for this part of the program with regard to:

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 9

Distribution of Rankings Assigned to Transcripts Associated
With Each Target Location for Experienced Subjects (S2) and (S3)

| Subject | Target Location | Distance (km) | Rank of Associated Transcript |
|---------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| S2 | BART Station (Transit System), Fremont | 16.1 | 1 |
| S2 | Shielded Room, SRI, Menlo Park | 0.1 | 2 |
| S2 | Tennis Court, Palo Alto | 3.4 | 2 |
| S2 | Golf Course Bridge, Stanford | 3.4 | 2 |
| S3 | City Hall, Palo Alto | 2.0 | 1 |
| S3 | Miniature Golf Course, Menlo Park | 3.0 | 1 |
| S3 | Kiosk in Park, Menlo Park | 0.3 | 3 |
| S3 | Baylands Nature Preserve, Palo Alto | 6.4 | 3 |
| | Total sum of ranks | | 15 ($p=3.8 \times 10^{-4}$) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 10

Distribution of Rankings Assigned to Transcripts Associated
With Each Target Location for Learner Subjects S5 and S6


| Subject | Target Location | Distance (km) | Rank of Associated Transcript |
|---------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| S5 | Pedestrian Overpass, Palo Alto | 5.0 | 3 |
| S5 | Railroad Trestle Bridge, Palo Alto | 1.3 | 6 |
| S5 | Windmill, Portola Valley | 8.5 | 2 |
| S5,S6 | White Plaza, Stanford (2) | 3.8 | 1 |
| S6 | Airport, Palo Alto | 5.5 | 2 |
| S6 | Kiosk in Park, Menlo Park | 0.3 | 5 |
| S6 | Boathouse, Stanford | 4.0 | 1 |
| | Total sum of ranks | | 20 (p=0.08, NS) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



WHITE PLAZA AT STANFORD UNIVERSITY



**SUBJECT DREW WHAT SHE CALLED
"CURVY BENCHES" AND THEN
ANNOUNCED CORRECTLY THAT THE
PLACE WAS "WHITE PLAZA AT STANFORD"**

SA-3183-4

FIGURE 16 SUBJECT S6 DRAWING OF WHITE PLAZA, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

- (a) Indicating the level of proficiency that can be expected from unselected volunteers, and,
- (b) Providing sponsor personnel with firsthand experience against which the results contained in the report can be evaluated.

The first sponsor staff member (V3) participated as a subject in a three-experiment series. All three experiments contained elements descriptive of the associated target locations, the quality of response increasing with practice. The third response is shown in Figure 17, where again, as in the results reported previously, the pattern elements in the drawing appeared to be a closer match than the subject's interpretation of the target object as a cupola.

The second sponsor staff member participated as a subject in two experiments. In his first experiment he generated one of the higher signal-to-noise results we have observed. He began his narrative "There is a red A-frame building and next to it is a large yellow thing (a tree --editor). Now further left there is another A-shape. It looks like a swing-set, but it is pushed down in a gully so I can't see the swings." (All correct--see Figure 18.) He then went on to describe a lock on the front door that he said "looks like it's made of laminated steel, so it must be a Master lock." (Also correct.)



For the series of five, three from the first subject, two from the second, the numerical evaluation based on blind rank ordering of the transcripts at each site was significant at $p = 0.017$, and included three direct hits and one second rank for the target-associated transcripts (see Table 11).

f. Summary of Remote-Viewing Experiments (Standard Protocol)

The descriptions supplied by the subjects in the experiments involving remote viewing of natural targets, although containing inaccuracies, were sufficiently accurate to permit the judges to differentiate among various targets to the degree indicated. A summary tabulation of the statistical evaluations of these experiments, carried out under standard protocol, is presented in Table 12. The overall result, evaluated conservatively on the basis of a judging procedure that ignores



UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



RESPONSES OF VISITING SPONSOR SUBJECT V3

SA-3183-17




MERRY-GO-ROUND TARGET

FIGURE 17 SUBJECT V3 DRAWING OF MERRY-GO-ROUND TARGET

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



WINDMILL TARGET. SPONSOR SUBJECT V2 CORRECTLY DESCRIBED "RED A-FRAME BUILDING WITH DECK, YELLOW TREE, A-FRAME SWING SET, AND GRAY TRANSFORMER," ALL SHOWN IN PICTURE. HE ALSO DESCRIBED "MASTER TYPE LOCK OF LAMINATED STEEL" WHICH IS ON FRONT DOOR OF WINDMILL (NOT SHOWN).

FIGURE 18

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 11

Distribution of Rankings Assigned to Transcripts Associated
With Each Target Location for Visiting Sponsor Subjects V3 and V2

| Subject | Target Location | Distance (km) | Rank of Associated Transcript |
|---------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| V3 | Bridge Over Stream, Menlo Park | 0.3 | 1 |
| V3 | Baylands Nature Preserve, Palo Alto | 6.4 | 2 |
| V3 | Merry-Go-Round, Palo Alto | 3.4 | 1 |
| V2 | Windmill, Portola Valley | 8.5 | 1 |
| V2 | Apartment Swimming Pool, Mountain View | 9.1 | 3 |
| | Total sum of ranks | | 8 (p=0.017) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 12

Summary--Remote Viewing of Natural Targets

| Experienced Subjects | | |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Subject | No. Experiments | p-value, rank order judging |
| S1 | 9 | $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| S2 | $\left. \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \end{array} \right\} 8$ | $p = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| S3 | | |

| Learner/Control Subjects | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Subject | No. Experiments | p-value, rank order judging |
| S4 | 9 | $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| S5 | $\left. \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \end{array} \right\} 8$ | $p = 0.08$ (NS) |
| S6 | | |

| Sponsor Subjects | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Subject | No. Experiments | p-value, rank order judging |
| V3 | $\left. \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\} 5$ | $p = 0.017$ |
| V2 | | |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

transcript quality beyond that necessary to rank order the narratives (vastly underestimating the statistical significance of individual descriptions), clearly indicates the presence of an information channel of useful bit rate. Furthermore, it appears that the primary difference between experienced subjects and naive volunteers is not that the latter never exhibit the faculty, but rather that their results are simply less reliable, more sporadic. Nonetheless, as described earlier, individual transcripts from the latter group of subjects number among some of the best obtained. Such observations indicate a hypothesis that remote viewing may be a latent and widely-distributed perceptual ability.

The following is quoted from a report on an analysis of patterns observed in the remote viewing transcripts. This report was submitted to the researchers by the judge responsible for the independent blind rank-order judging, an individual not otherwise associated with the research.

These observations are based on a survey of the remote viewing transcripts from the SRI experiments. In the process of judging --attempting to match transcripts against targets on the basis of the information in the transcripts--some patterns and regularities in the transcript descriptions became evident, particularly regarding individual styles in remote viewing, and in the perceptual form of the descriptions given by the subjects.

Styles of Response. The transcripts were taken from several different subjects. Comparing the transcripts of one subject with those of another revealed that each person tended to focus on certain aspects of the remote target complex and exclude others, so that each had an individual pattern of response, like a signature.

Subject S3, for example, frequently responded with topographical descriptions, maps, and architectural features of the target locations. Subject S2 often focused on the behavior of the remote experimenter or the sequence of actions he carried out at the target. The transcripts of subject S4, more than those of other subjects, had descriptions of the feel of the location, and experiential or sensory gestalts, e.g., light/dark elements in the scene; indoor/outdoor and enclosed/open distinctions. Prominent features of S1's transcripts were detailed descriptions of what the target persons were concretely experiencing, seeing, or doing, e.g., standing on asphalt blacktop overlooking water; looking at a purple iris.

The range of any individual subject's responses was wide, and anyone might draw a map, or describe the mood of the remote

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

experimenter, but the consistency of each subject's overall approach suggests that just as individual descriptions of a directly viewed scene would differ, so these differences also occur in remote viewing processes.

Nature of the descriptions. The concrete descriptions that appear most commonly in transcripts are at the level of sub-units of the overall scene. For example, when the target was a Xerox copy machine, the responses included (S2) a rolling object (the moving light), ordials and a cover that is lifted (S3), but the machine as a whole was not identified by name or function.

In a few transcripts the subjects correctly identified and named the target. In the case of a computer terminal, the subject (V2) apparently mentally saw the terminal and the relay racks behind it. In the case of targets which were Hoover Tower and White Plaza, the subjects (S1 and S6, respectively) seemed to identify the locations through analysis of their initial images of the elements of the target.

There were also occasional incorrect recognitions; gestalts that were incorrectly named, e.g., swimming pools in a park being identified as water storage tanks at a water filtration plant (S1).

Phenomenological descriptions, e.g., "motion past the experimenter," and "red outlining blue," occurred occasionally, but were not frequent in the transcripts.

The most common perceptual level was thus an intermediate one--the individual elements and items that comprise the target. This is suggestive of a scanning process that takes sample perceptions from within the overall environment.

When the subjects tried to make sense out of these fragmentary impressions, they often resorted to metaphors or constructed an image with a kind of perceptual inference. From a feeling of the target as an "august" and "solemn" building, the subject (S4) said it might be a library. It was a church. A pedestrian overpass above a freeway was described as a conduit (S4). A rapid transit station, elevated above the countryside, was associated with an observatory (S2). When the remote experimenter climbed into a Link trainer, the subject said it was a small place, like a bathroom; perhaps he had locked himself in a closet. These responses seem to be the result of attempts to process partial information and occur similarly in other parapsychological experiments.

When the subjects augmented the verbal transcripts with drawings or sketches, these often expressed the target elements more accurately than the verbal descriptions, and sometimes corresponded with the targets more clearly and precisely than the words of the transcript.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

The descriptions given by the subjects sometimes went beyond what the remote experimenter experienced, at least consciously. For example, one subject (S4) described and drew a belt drive at the top of a drill press, which was not even visible to the remote experimenter who was operating the machine.

Curiously, objects in motion at the remote site were rarely mentioned in the transcript, e.g., trains crossing the railroad trestle target were not described (S4), though the remote experimenter stood very close to them.

Also, in a few cases, the subject descriptions were inaccurate regarding size of structures. A 20 foot courtyard separating two buildings was described (S1) as 200 feet wide, and a small shed was expanded to a barn-like structure (S4).

Blind judging of transcripts. The judging procedure involved examining the transcripts for a given experimental series and attempting to match the transcripts with the correct targets on the basis of their correspondences. The transcripts varied from coherent and accurate descriptions to mixtures of correspondences and non-correspondences. Since a judge did not know a priori which elements of the descriptions were correct and incorrect, the task was complicated, and transcripts often seemed plausibly to match more than one target. A confounding factor in these studies is that many target locations have similarities that seem alike at some level of perception. For example, a radio telescope at the top of a hill, the observation deck of a tower, and a jetty on the edge of a bay all match a transcript description of "looking out over a long distance." A lake, a fountain, and a creek may all result in an image of water for the subject.

In my own judging, the procedure that was most successful was a careful element by element comparison, testing each transcript against every target, using the transcript descriptions and drawings as arguments for or against assigning the transcript to a particular target. In most cases this resulted in either a clear conclusion or at least a ranking of probably matches, and these matches were subjected to statistical analyses.

A subjective viewpoint of the remote viewing process as stated in a report to the researchers by subject S3 likens the difficulties in remote viewing to those occurring in subliminal (low level) or tachistoscopic (high speed) viewing by ordinary sensory modalities. The following is quoted from his report.

- Current Status. Experimentation in viewing of remote targets conducted at SRI has provided data confirming the existence of a paranormal remote viewing ability. Several breakthroughs were needed to uncover the remote viewing possibilities. These have accumulated and are reflected in previous clients' reports. If breakthroughs have tended to expose such ability, subsequent

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

quantitative analysis has also established the existence of certain qualitative problems that need to be resolved if remote viewing abilities are to move from a general to an operative category.

The General Problem. Among the several subjects tested, dependable data resolvable from viewing targets at remote locations seem to have a signal-to-noise ratio in the range of approximately 25 to 75%. This percentage is an estimate and has differed between subjects and between experiments. In experimentation the emergence of even 25% accurate information does establish strategic implications; the occurrence of erroneous or superfluous data in subject responses to the degree that it is currently observed, however, tends to obviate tactical or operational deployment of the discovered ability. For various reasons as described below, the emergence of erroneous data in subjects' responses to given targets has been given the working name of "analytical overlay."

Definition of Analytical Overlay. Accumulated responses from subjects' attempts to view distant targets indicates that the target often is actually viewed, but in some way the target also acts as a prompter for the spontaneous appearance of seemingly irrelevant data. This is especially obvious when the subject's drawing of the target is by observation specifically applicable to the target, but his interpretation, either verbally or in the form of mental image pictures, is far from the mark. Since verbalization, or imagery, presupposes mental analysis, it seems reasonable to assume that we are dealing with automatic analytical functions of some sort, and that hypothetically these are the source of the diluted or erroneous response. Analytical functions are associated with resolving, breaking down, and dissecting incoming information in terms of experience and memory. In sensory perception, this process takes place on an almost automatic basis and is governed by learned logical necessity. Since at the sensory level these processes are continuously taking place at sub-awareness levels, it is often forgotten that logical familiarity is a learned condition, governed by experience applied to memory.

This is easily demonstrable by presenting a person with something he has never seen before. The analytical functions of the mind spontaneously output data-rich memories that assist in identification, either by similarity or differences, with the unfamiliar object. Further, in determining the nature of the unfamiliar object, the analytical processes, busily overlaying sequences of logical possibility, are prompted by sensory (tactile, visual, etc.) appreciation of the object. In this manner, the person eventually is able to logically place the unfamiliar object by means of his total fund of experience and knowledge. In terms of objective space, time, and matter, this entire procedure is anchored by a continual flow of sensory data about the object. A decision-making process buttressed by sensory

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

perception thus takes place.

In the paranormal sense, however, ordinary sensory buttressing is absent since experimental safeguards ensure sensory separation of subject and target. The subject is therefore thrown back solely on the perception and possibilities inherent in the unknown modes of acquiring information that are currently under study. In such case, one of two things can now take place: either the subject can by paranormal modes inflow accurate information on a bit-per-second basis sufficient to allow him to make an accurate or semi-accurate decisional response as to the character or nature of the target; or his response to the target and experimental situation is weak and irresolute or perhaps displaced, at which time the content of multiple analytical processes seem to be selected. When this latter occurs, it is identified as analytical overlay.

Some Observations. It is safe to assume that in experiments where the response did not at all accord with the target, no psi functioning took place and that mental functions of some other nature were offered up by a subject. In examining research results, however, one consistency can easily be identified, this consistency giving rise to the term analytical overlay as contrasted to analytical error. Descriptors pertaining to the target can often be found imbedded in the subject's response to a degree beyond that expected by chance, even when the majority of the response appears to be involved with something else. Since this is so, it seems relevant to hypothesize that the subject is perceiving the target at some level of awareness sufficient to prompt logical mental processing in the subject. The subject's response therefore usually includes not only descriptors relevant to the target, but also other details coming out of the logical analytical comparison doubtlessly going on as he tries to "recognize" the target.

This kind of situation is exactly one that might be expected where a person is treated to only a momentary glance at an unfamiliar object and then asked to determine what it was. A series of analytical statements such as, "looks like this", or "looks like that", or "it is similar to", will probably be volunteered by the experient deprived of a continuing sensory information inflow about the object. The sensory and parasensory situations thus hold in common certain structures that can be studied either in the sensory or in the parasensory function.

With regard to their differences, in ordinary sensory perception, the decision-making response is held in place by continuous sensory perception of the object, and logical deduction and decision depends upon the solidity provided by the sensory faculties. In the paranormal sense, however, we are indeed asking the subject to begin his perception at some as yet unknown point and work simultaneously toward both perception of the object and decision as to what it is.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Since descriptors pertaining to the target can almost always in some form be found imbedded in the subject's response, it seems reasonable to hypothesize that perception of the target is taking place, but in some unaccustomed modality. If this is so, as the data suggest, then the problem is not solely one of parasensory perception, but also one of conversion of the contents of the psi field into constructed form.

Let us assume that a gifted subject is gifted because he can resolve psi-field signals into recognizable mental patterns, which are in turn then converted into experiential, logical sequences. This kind of conversion process resembles that which takes place in the semaphore system or that of Morse code. The thing that is missing in the psi-field conversion process would be, of course, familiarity with the signals emanating from the psi-field matrix. As experiments demonstrate, these signals typically emerge in an unaligned sequence along with the partly logical possibilities volunteered by the analytical process. That this is so is understandable if we observe that it is human nature to depress or suppress the unfamiliar in favor of the familiar; therefore such scattering of the unfamiliar data in favor of the memory-familiar takes place both in the sensory and parasensory functions. In the paranormal case, when the data are signal-wise sufficient to bypass analytical assistance fortuitously being provided by the catalyst of memory, the so-called psi phenomena can result in exceptionally good data. Otherwise, a mixture is obtained.

Summary. Experimental results confirm the probability of abilities that permit identification and description of objects at locations at a distance. The simultaneous inflow of extraneous data termed analytical overlay seems to dilute the correctness of the overall response and detract from the operational form of the remote viewing ability. Enough data about this difficulty has been gathered to establish that it is not necessarily a perceptual problem but in all probability a process problem concerned with the converting of the signal of the psi-field matrix into a correct analytical sequence. It seems reasonable to assume that could the process difficulties be resolved, then the analytical overlay would convert into a positive adjunct of the conversion process, rather than working against it.

Any concept of utilizing remote viewing ability in an operational form has to entertain a minimum as well as a maximum criterion for proficiency. So far, in experimental expectation only the maximum possibility has been entertained. The entire onus of responsibility of achieving the maximum has lain in the expectation of attaining precise information; whereas even minimal efficiency of target perception by the subject might yield enormous clues as to the nature of the target if reviewed by professionals concerned with such a target; the target itself may have no correlate within the subject's logical repertoire

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

and may not be correctly prompted via memory.

Thus, to achieve operational status, both changes in expectation of the ability, as well as an increased familiarity with the problems associated with analytical overlay may lead to results of increasing practical utility.

From the viewpoint of the researchers, we do not yet have an understanding of the nature of the information-bearing signal that a subject perceives. We know only that the subjects commonly report they perceive the signal visually as though looking at the object or place from a position in its immediate neighborhood. Furthermore, the subjects' perceptual viewpoint has mobility in that they are able to shift their point of view to allow them to describe elements of a scene that would not be visible to an observer simply standing at ground level and describing what he sees. (In particular, a subject often describes correctly elements not visible to the target demarcation team.) Finally, motion is in general not perceived; in fact, moving objects often are not seen at all even when nearby static objects are correctly identified.

In comparing the remote-viewing results (a so-called free-response task) with the random number generator results discussed in the next section, we note that from a statistical viewpoint a subject is more likely to describe accurately a remote site, chosen at random from nearby locations, than he is to select correctly one of four random numbers. Our experience with these phenomena lead us to consider that this difference in task performance may stem from fundamental signal-to-noise considerations. Two principal sources of noise in the system apparently are memory and imagination, both of which can give rise to mental pictures of greater clarity than the target to be perceived. In the random number task, a subject can create a perfect mental picture of each of the four possible outputs in his own imagination and then attempt to obtain the correct answer by a mental matching operation. In remote viewing, on the other hand, the subject is apparently more like to approach the task with a blank mind as he attempts to perceive pictorial information from remote locations about which he may have no stored mental data. (Subjects S1, S3, and S4 were unfamiliar with the San Francisco Bay area at the start of experimentation, their homes having been elsewhere.)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Finally, our observation is that most of the correct information that subjects relate to us is of a nonanalytic nature pertaining to shape, form, color, and material rather than to function or name. That is, we often observe the correct description of basic elements and patterns coupled with incomplete or erroneous analysis of function.

In consultation with Dr. Robert Ornstein of the Langley Porter Neuropsychiatric Institute, San Francisco, and with Dr. Ralph Kiernan of the Department of Neurology, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California, we have formed the tentative hypothesis, based on these observed characteristics, that remote viewing may involve a specialization characteristic of the brain's right hemisphere. This possibility, discussed in detail later, is derived from a variety of evidence from clinical and neurosurgical sources, which indicate that the two hemispheres of the human brain are specialized for different cognitive functions, the left hemisphere being predominantly active in verbal and other analytical functioning, the right hemisphere in spatial and other holistic processing.^{6,7}

Further research is necessary to elucidate the relationship between right hemispheric function and paranormal abilities. Nonetheless, we can say at this point that the remote viewing results of the group of subjects at SRI have characteristics in common with performance that require right hemispheric function. The similarities include the highly schematicized drawings of objects in a room or of remote scenes. Verbal identification of these drawings is often highly inaccurate and the drawings themselves are frequently left-right reversed relative to the target configuration. Further, written material generally is not cognized. These characteristics have been seen in left brain-injured patients and in callosal-sectioned patients.

As a result of the above considerations, we have learned to urge our subjects simply to describe what they see as opposed to what they think they are looking at. We have learned that their unanalyzed perceptions are almost always a better guide to the true target than their interpretations of the perceived data.

One should not infer that analytic functioning in the remote viewing mode is never observed, however, as indicated by codeword

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

retrieval in the West Virginia Site experiment discussed in Subsection 1-b, earlier, the recognition of a computer terminal by the sponsor staff member in the technology series, and the recognition of Hoover Tower and White Plaza by subjects S1 and S6, respectively, in the natural target series.

2. Four-State Electronic Random Target Generator

This study provided an opportunity to determine whether the remote-sensing capability could be extended to the perception of the internal state of a piece of electronic equipment. For this purpose, an automated experiment designed around a four-state electronic random target generator was initiated. The solid-state machine, manufactured by Aquarius Electronics, Mendocino, California, has no moving parts and provides no sensory cue to the user as to its target generation.

To determine unambiguously whether a result was meaningful, the following strategy was used. First, so as to discriminate against subject strategies based on machine statistics, four machines were checked for departures from randomness by a statistical analysis of over 10,000 pre-experiment trials, and only the three machines that showed no significant departures from randomness were used. Second, the subjects interacted with the machines to generate the data, the machines being interchanged at arbitrary intervals without the subject's knowledge (to interfere with possible learning strategies associated with even non-significant departures from randomness). Third, for any subject whose score was significant, the statistics of the machines during the successful experiment were tabulated to ensure that the machines' outputs had not departed from randomness in the period in which the significant result was obtained. Fourth, even in the absence of a departure from randomness, the optimum strategy as determined post hoc from the distribution of actual machine outputs was compared with subject strategy. Fifth, a subject generating a good score was asked to repeat the entire experiment one month later under continuous observation by an experimenter. Finally, the entire data analysis was carried out by an independent statistics group at SRI under the direction of Dr. Richard Singleton.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIEDa. Machine Description

The machine configuration provides as a target one of four art slides (reproductions of paintings) chosen randomly ($p = 1/4$) by an electronic random target generator. The generator does not show its choice until the subject indicates his choice to the machine by pressing a button (yellow, green, blue, or red) associated with each art slide (see Figure 19). (The machine has four stable internal states. A 1.0-MHz square-wave oscillator sends pulses to an electronic "scale-of-four" counter which passes through each of its four states 250,000 times per second. The state of the counter is determined by the length of time the oscillator has run--that is, the time between subject choices.) As soon as the subject indicates his choice, the target slide is illuminated to provide visual and auditory (bell if correct) feedback as to the correctness or incorrectness of his choice. Until that time, both subject and experimenter remain ignorant of the machine's choice, so the experiment is of the double-blind type. Five legends at the top of the machine face are illuminated one at a time with increasing correct choices (6, 8, 10, ...) to provide additional reinforcement. The machine choice, subject choice, cumulative trial number, and cumulative hit number are printed automatically on continuous fanfold paper tape. After trial number 25, the machine must be reset manually by depressing a RESET button.

A methodological feature of the machine is that the choice of a target is not forced. That is, a subject may press a PASS button when he wishes not to guess, in which case the machine indicates what its choice was. The machine thus scores neither a hit nor a trial and then goes on to make its next selection. Thus, the subject does not have to guess at targets when he feels that he has no idea as to which to choose.

Under the null hypothesis of random binomial choices with probability $1/4$ and no learning, the probability of observing $\leq k$ successes in n trials is approximated by the probability of a normal distribution value, t ,

$$t \geq \left(k - \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) / (3n/16)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 19 FOUR-STATE RANDOM TARGET GENERATOR USED IN THIS EXPERIMENT
An incorrect choice of target is indicated. Two of the five "encouragement lights" at the top of the machine are illuminated. The printer to the right of the machine records data on fan-fold paper tape.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIEDb. Data from Experiments

Data were collected from subjects S1 through S6. Each subject was asked to complete 100 25-trial runs (i.e., a total of 2500 trials each). The results are tabulated in Table 13. (One subject, S3, declined to complete the 2500-trial run, citing a lack of rapport with the machine and, hence, a lack of motivation for the task.) Of the six subjects, only one (S2) scored significantly above chance. For the 2500 trials, S2 averaged 29.36 hits/100 trials rather than the expected 25/100, a result whose a priori probability under the null hypothesis is $p = 3 \times 10^{-7}$. His scores are plotted in Figure 20.

TABLE 13
Four-State Electronic Random Target Generator Summary

| Subject | Mean Score/100 Trials over 2500 Trials | Binomial Probability |
|------------|---|-------------------------|
| S1 | 25.76 | 0.22 |
| S2 | 29.36 | 3×10^{-7} |
| S3 | 24.67 (750 trials) | 0.60 |
| S4 | 25.76 | 0.22 |
| S5 | 25.20 | 0.33 |
| S2 | 27.88 | 4.8×10^{-4} |
| All trials | 26.47 (15,750 trials) | 1.1×10^{-5} |

The statistics of the machines during the successful run of subject S2 were tabulated for the entire 3483 machine transitions (2500 choices, 983 passes), both by machine and in total. The results, shown in Tables 14 through 16, indicate no significant departures from random expectation during the successful run, and therefore, the significant result cannot be attributed to machine malfunction.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 20 DATA SUMMARY FOR SUBJECT S2
2500-trial experiment with four-state electronic random number generator (significant at $p = 3 \times 10^{-7}$).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 14

Randomness Tests for Machine M1 Output
 During Successful Experimental Series by Subject S2
 (Runs 9 through 16 and 45 through 80)



| | | Buttons | | | | Number of Trials | Chi-Square | Binomial probability |
|---|---|---------|-------|------|-----|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | Yellow | Green | Blue | Red | | | |
| Transitions | Y | 96 | 79 | 88 | 92 | 355 | 1.789 | > 0.50 |
|  | G | 85 | 87 | 86 | 88 | 346 | 0.058 | > 0.99 |
| | B | 85 | 82 | 90 | 87 | 344 | 0.395 | > 0.90 |
| | R | 91 | 91 | 83 | 92 | 357 | 0.591 | > 0.80 |
| Initial states | | 8 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 44 | 2.364 | > 0.50 |
| All states | | 365 | 353 | 356 | 372 | 1446 | 0.622 | > 0.80 |
| Nondiagonal transitions | | 261 | 252 | 257 | 267 | 1037 | 0.466 | > 0.90 |
| Diagonal transitions | | 96 | 87 | 90 | 92 | 365 | 0.468 | > 0.90 |

TABLE 15

Randomness Tests for Machine M2 Output
 During Successful Experimental Series by Subject S2
 (Runs 1 through 8, 17 through 44, and 81 through 100)

| | | Buttons | | | | Number of Trials | Chi-Square | Binomial probability |
|---|---|---------|-------|------|-----|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | Yellow | Green | Blue | Red | | | |
| Transitions | Y | 108 | 120 | 111 | 124 | 463 | 1.458 | > 0.50 |
|  | G | 107 | 131 | 136 | 119 | 493 | 4.095 | > 0.20 |
| | B | 126 | 124 | 138 | 135 | 523 | 1.061 | > 0.70 |
| | R | 118 | 115 | 140 | 129 | 502 | 3.100 | > 0.30 |
| Initial states | | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 56 | 0.714 | > 0.80 |
| All states | | 475 | 505 | 538 | 519 | 2037 | 4.149 | > 0.20 |
| Nondiagonal transitions | | 351 | 359 | 387 | 378 | 1475 | 2.247 | > 0.50 |
| Diagonal transitions | | 108 | 131 | 138 | 129 | 506 | 3.960 | > 0.20 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 16

RANDOMNESS TESTS FOR ENTIRE MACHINE
OUTPUT DURING SUCCESSFUL EXPERIMENTAL RUN

| | Buttons | | | | Number or Trials | Chi-Square | Binomial probability |
|----------------------------|---------|-------|------|-----|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Yellow | Green | Blue | Red | | | |
| Transtions Y | 204 | 199 | 199 | 216 | 818 | 0.944 | > 0.80 |
| To G | 192 | 218 | 222 | 207 | 839 | 2.578 | > 0.30 |
| From B | 211 | 206 | 228 | 222 | 867 | 1.397 | > 0.70 |
| R | 209 | 206 | 223 | 221 | 859 | 1.009 | > 0.70 |
| Initial states | 24 | 29 | 22 | 25 | 100 | 1.040 | > 0.70 |
| All states | 840 | 858 | 894 | 891 | 3483 | 2.364 | > 0.50 |
| Nondiagonal transitions | 612 | 611 | 644 | 645 | 2512 | 1.736 | > 0.50 |
| Diagonal transitions | 204 | 218 | 228 | 221 | 871 | 1.399 | > 0.70 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

With regard to the possibility that the subject developed an optimum strategy based on slight, even though nonsignificant, machine departures from chance expectation, it is sufficient to determine the most favorable strategy based on machine statistics and examine whether use of such a strategy would be capable in principle of producing a result as significant as that produced by the subject.

For machine M1 the optimum strategy, according to Table 17 is: if in the initial state, press green; if yellow, press yellow; otherwise, pass. Use of such a strategy would, in the 44 runs carried out, result in 14 correct initial state selections and a scoring fraction $96/355 = 0.2704$ on the remaining $44 \times 24 = 1056$ transitions, resulting in 300 hits.

For machine M2 the optimum strategy, according to Table 18, is: if in the initial state, press yellow; if red, press blue; otherwise pass. Use of such a strategy would, in the 56 runs carried out, result in 16 correct initial state selections and a scoring fraction $140/502 = 0.2789$ on the remaining $56 \times 24 = 1344$ transitions, resulting in 391 hits. Thus, an optimum strategy derived from the machine distribution post hoc yields a scoring fraction $691/2500 = 0.2764$, significantly less than the observed scoring fraction 0.2936. In any case, it is clear from an examination of the compilation of subject choices (Tables 17 and 18) that subject selections, although extremely nonrandom, differed widely from those strategies favorable to the production of results based on machine statistics. Further, there is no evidence of learning to support the hypothesis that a successful strategy was developed. A more detailed analysis of strategies, confirming these conclusions, was carried out by the sponsor under the direction of the COTR.

When subject S2 was asked to repeat the entire experiment at a later time, he was able to replicate successfully a high mean scoring rate (27.88/100 average over 2500 trials, a result whose a priori probability under the null hypothesis is $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$).

We thus conclude from the machine study that of the six subjects tested, one subject (S2) was able to generate a significant and replicable result. From these results, we conclude that there is evidence for the existence of a human perceptual capability whereby electronically

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 17

SUBJECT S2 SELECTIONS ON MACHINE M1
 DURING SUCCESSFUL EXPERIMENTAL SERIES
 (Runs 9 through 16 and 45 through 80)



| | | Buttons | | | | |
|---|------|---------|-------|------|-----|------|
| | | Yellow | Green | Blue | Red | Pass |
| Transitions | Y | 51 | 47 | 35 | 141 | 73 |
|  | G | 45 | 12 | 13 | 70 | 30 |
| | B | 30 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 16 |
| | R | 149 | 58 | 37 | 82 | 110 |
| | Pass | 73 | 36 | 13 | 108 | 116 |
| Initial states | | 14 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 1 |
| All states | | 362 | 174 | 106 | 458 | 346 |

TABLE 18

SUBJECT S2 SELECTIONS ON MACHINE M2
 DURING SUCCESSFUL EXPERIMENTAL SERIES
 (Runs 1 through 8, 17 through 44, and 81 through 100)

| | | Buttons | | | | |
|---|------|---------|-------|------|-----|------|
| | | Yellow | Green | Blue | Red | Pass |
| Transitions | Y | 67 | 77 | 54 | 179 | 125 |
|  | G | 68 | 2 | 14 | 107 | 38 |
| | B | 50 | 22 | 2 | 40 | 15 |
| | R | 208 | 96 | 38 | 31 | 111 |
| | Pass | 105 | 33 | 22 | 129 | 348 |
| Initial states | | 21 | 7 | 1 | 27 | 0 |
| All states | | 519 | 237 | 131 | 513 | 637 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

stored information can be accessed by means of a perceptual modality not mediated by physical parameters as yet identified.

The characteristics of such a channel can be specified in accordance with the precepts of communication theory. The bit rate associated with the information channel is calculated from⁸

$$R = H(x) \overset{\text{should be}}{=} H_y(x), \quad (1)$$

where $H(x)$ is the uncertainty of the source message containing symbols with an a priori probability p_i

$$H(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i \log_2 p_i, \quad (2)$$

and $H_y(x)$ is the conditional entropy based on the a posteriori probabilities that a received signal was actually transmitted,

$$H_y(x) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 p(i,j) \log_2 p_i(j). \quad (3)$$

For S2's first run, with $p_i = 1/4$, $p_j(j) = 0.2936$, and an average of 30 s/choice, we have a source uncertainty $H(x) = 2$ bits and a calculated bit rate

$$R = 0.007 \text{ bits/symbol}$$

or

$$R/T = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ bits/s}.$$

In a larger study for NASA, devoted specifically to the question of whether learning could take place, 147 subjects were screened.⁹ Of these subjects, six showed a positive learning slope significant at the 0.01 level or better; the binomial probability of this occurring by chance is 3.8×10^{-3} . At the other extreme, no subjects had a negative slope at the 0.01 level or better, in contrast to those six who had a positive slope at the 0.01 level. The slopes of the remaining 141 subjects (448,000 trials) were found to be normally distributed.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

B. Identification of Measurable Characteristics Possessed by Gifted Subjects

1. Medical Evaluation

The medical evaluation of program participants was assigned to the Palo Alto Medical Clinic. Coordination of the program was handled by Dr. Robert Armbruster, Director of the Clinic's Department of Environmental Medicine.

The testing procedures fall into six categories:

- (1) General physical examination, including complete medical and family history.
- (2) Laboratory examinations, including SMA-12 panel blood chemistries, protein electrophoresis, blood lipid profile, urinalysis, serology, blood type and factor, pulmonary function screening, and 12-lead electrocardiogram.
- (3) Neurological examination, including comprehensive and electroencephalogram (sleeping and routine).
- (4) Audiometric examination, including comprehensive, Bekesy bone conduction, speech discrimination, and impedance bridge test.
- (5) Ophthalmologist examination, including comprehensive, card testing, peripheral field test, muscle test, dilation funduscope, and indirect ophthalmoscopic and fundus examination.
- (6) EMI brain scan.

The detailed subject-by-subject test results are on file with the sponsor. Following are the summary evaluations prepared by Dr. Armbruster.



SGFOIA3

UNCLASSIFIED

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

UNCLASSIFIED

SGFOIA3

g. Summary of Medical Evaluation

In summary, it appears that the medical profiling is noncontributory to the study, all subjects showing essentially normal medical profiles without any discernible spread among the subjects.

2. Psychological Evaluation

The psychological evaluation of the program participants was assigned to the Palo Alto Medical Clinic. Coordination of the program was handled by Dr. J.E. Heenan, Chief Clinical Psychologist of the Clinic's Department of Psychiatry. The testing itself was carried out by Dr. Karen Nelson, Clinical Psychologist at the Clinic.

The tests administered included:

- (1) In-depth interviews, including objective events and subjective views relating to the discovery and enhancement of paranormal capacities; socioeconomic, cultural, familial, religious environment; outstanding emotional peaks, traumas; values, motivation, interpersonal style.
- (2) Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)
- (3) Bender Gestalt Visual Motor Test
- (4) Benton Visual Memory Test

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

- (5) Wechsler Memory Scale
- (6) Luscher Color Test
- (7) Strong Vocational Interest Blank
- (8) Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)
- (9) Edwards Personality Preference Schedule (EPPS)
- (10) Rorschach Inkblot
- (11) Thematic Apperception Test (TAT)

The detailed test results for each subject are on file with the COTR. Due to the personal nature of the data we present here only the summary evaluations, first by the clinical psychologist who administered the tests and interviewed the subjects in depth, and second by the chief clinical psychologist who analyzed the data on a blind basis.

a. Evaluation by Clinical Psychologist Administering Tests

The following is quoted from the psychologist's report:

During late summer and early fall, 1974, six subjects were referred to the Clinic for testing for the parapsychology study at Stanford Research Institute. Three of the subjects were designated as sensitive subjects and three of the subjects were designated as controls. It was planned that I would do the testing without knowledge of which subjects were considered sensitive and which subjects were considered controls. However, in the course of my contacts with these subjects, it proved impossible not to know which subjects belonged to which group, since I was to interview each person in depth. Since personal experience with apparently extrasensory perception is a fairly dramatic event, subjects could not avoid talking about these events and still be honest in an in-depth interview. Consequently, a secondary plan was developed in which I would do the psychological testing and write individual reports for each subject, and the Chief Clinical Psychologist, Dr. Heenan, would read the test blind and see whether he could pick out three test records which seemed more similar to each other than the rest, thereby discriminating between sensitive and non-sensitive subjects.

Intellectual Functioning

All of the subjects in this study displayed distinctly above-average intellectual abilities. Most subjects reached the superior range, and several of the subjects reached the gifted range. As it happened, the control subjects tended to show higher average intellectual functioning scores than did sensitive subjects, although the difference could not be said to be significant, given that there were only three subjects in each group. Two of the subjects from the sensitive group showed highly variable

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

subtest scores within their intelligence test battery. That is, some of the subskills would be extremely high and other subskills would be extremely low. The variable patterns shown are consistent with ambivalent motivation as regards learning tasks and academic situations. I was able to spot no consistent trends as to which subskills tended to be high and which subskills tended to be low. For all six subjects, verbal and performance skills tended to be about evenly balanced, and memory skills were approximately what would be expected, given the intelligence scores attained. The number scores on memory tests as well as the performances of the subjects themselves reflect a slight tendency toward better memory for material which is organized logically or which appears in a meaningful context than for rote memory material. In the control group, this tendency seems less pronounced and in fact one subject showed a clear preference for rote memory material. The subjects themselves did not feel that any of the intelligence test material tapped skills or propensities on their part which might be linked to their extrasensory capabilities, and since the patterns of strength and weakness within the test profiles varied so widely, I am inclined to accept their judgment with one possible exception. It is possible that sensitive subjects tend to be holistic perceivers rather than analytic perceivers; that is, to perceive in Gestalt rather than analytic elements. This might underlie the tendency for better short term memory of contextual logical material. Psychological tests which are directly relevant to this difference in perceptual style appear not be standardized as yet and so it is difficult to follow this lead.

Personality Functioning

When looked at from the point of view of psychopathology, the indicators both in projective and in objective testing do not appear to me to show marked trends, either for the six subjects taken together or for the subjects in each group. There does appear to be an interesting similarity in defensive style, particularly when this is taken together with a similarity in interests and vocational aptitude, which can be seen in a large number of the subjects both in sensitive and control groups. To elaborate, all six subjects tended to have high feminine scores on the masculinity-femininity scale of the MMPI. That scale does not measure sexual orientation but rather sex role stereotype. For example, a person who is highly active in expressing his aggression, who is self assertive and who adopts "masculine" interest in, say, sports, mechanics, etc., is likely to get a high masculine score; a person who tends to be fairly passive in expressing aggression, even manipulative, who tends to be interested in the arts, in music, in aesthetic sensitivities, is likely to gain a high feminine score. Both the men and women in this group of subjects tended to have high feminine scores. The trend is seen again in the vocational aptitude survey, the Strong Vocational Interest Blank, wherein all of the subjects tended to achieve high scores in music, art

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

and writing, but particularly in writing was this consistent. The score on writing aptitude appeared to be above average for the general population in each subject and for several of the subjects it was one of the highest scores obtained. These two trends in the objective personality test data can be compared with another trend found in the projective test data, namely on the Rorschach. Here, the responses of the subjects tended to emphasize animal or human movement and to de-emphasize color. This pattern is common in people who tend to be introspective, to have a rich inner fantasy life, and in fact to prefer that kind of expression of their emotions to interpersonal expression. The capacity to stand back from one's feelings, observe them, analyze them, even to savor them, is common among artists and particularly among writers.

Unfortunately, two of the subjects (S1 and S3) from the sensitive group were highly defensive about test-taking and their defensiveness was most pronounced in the projective personality tests. The result was that they gave very minimal records, very few responses, and were close-mouthed in talking about their responses. Hence, the pattern to which I refer can be seen more clearly in the control subjects than in the sensitive subjects even though it appears to occur for all six subjects.

In the course of the testing, the control subjects began to tell me that as they participated in the SRI study, they appeared to be developing more and more sensitivity on the experiments performed and each was not certain that he should be properly classified as a control subject. In talking with Dr. Puthoff, I learned that they did appear to be showing some sensitivity but that their performances were not reliable and so they still could be said to be importantly different from the sensitive subjects. If the sensitive subjects could be induced to be less defensive in test-taking, it is possible that their records would show a pattern which could be distinguished from that of the control subjects. Since that is not the case, we are left with a dilemma. A tendency toward artistic interests, a rich fantasy life and an introversive style of emotional expression may be accidental in all of these six subjects. It may be characteristic of persons who are willing to participate in parapsychological studies. It may be characteristic of persons who have some extrasensory capacity, whether great or small, or it may relate to some other variable which happens to be common to these six subjects.

Should the pattern of emotional style and aesthetic interest prove relevant to extrasensory capacity, it would seem that the Rorschach gets at the most fundamental level of this quality. The objective tests are more likely to be measuring the end products of that fundamental level of emotional expression. Since my reading of projective test material is likely to be colored by my acquaintance with the subjects and what they said about themselves, I will be interested to see whether Dr. Heenan

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

can discern the same pattern, and for my own curiosity I would like to be able to test the sensitive subjects again, without them having read this report, to see whether I could put them more at ease on a second contact and get more productive records from them. Two of these subjects (S1 and S3) said frankly that they were alienated at the thought of psychological testing because their experience was that people with extrasensory capacity were written off as nuts and that psychologists and psychiatrists always examined them with an eye toward any pathology they could discover. If they could be reassured that that was not the point of interest and at the same time not be coached as to what kinds of responses I was interested in, another session of projective testing might be productive.

Karen L. Nelson, PhD
Clinical Psychologist
Palo Alto Medical Clinic

b. Evaluation by Chief Clinical Psychologist (On a Blind Basis)

An effort complementary to the overall analysis performed by Dr. K. Nelson was carried out by Dr. J. Heenan, Chief Clinical Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry, Palo Alto Medical Clinic. He took on as a task the ferreting out of responses to specific test items to determine whether a particular cluster of items might serve as the core of a screening procedure. Dr. Heenan's analysis was carried out on a blind basis, that is, without knowledge of which subjects were labeled sensitive and which were labeled control. The following is quoted from Dr. Heenan's report:

I have finished going over the psychological test data on the six subjects tested and this is a summary of my thoughts, impressions, clinical judgments, guesses and comparisons of various dimensions.

The six persons tested are labeled S1 through S6. Subject S1 would not take the TAT test and did not return the EPPS test, and there is not a Strong vocational interest test in the file on him. I included him in the comparisons on the tests which he did take.

What I did was formulate some hypotheses and then examine the test data, ranking people according to what their tests reflected on those hypotheses, and from that arrived at which subjects might have, according to the hypothesis, a more than ordinary ability to communicate by non-ordinary means. First of all, I examined all the test data rather carefully from a clinical psychologist's point of view and without any specific hypotheses--that is, on the basis of my overall intuition--made guesses, for each battery of tests, whether or not I thought this person would be likely to have unusual abilities. On this basis I guessed subjects S3, S6 and S4 as the most likely ones to have been high achievers

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

in your experiments.

The following is a series of hypotheses on the Rorschach Ink Blot Test and following each hypothesis are the three subjects who best fit that hypothesis from the test data.

HYPOTHESIS #1. White space responses reflect lower ability to use non-ordinary means of communication.

Results: Subjects S3, S6 and S4 have the fewest white space responses and therefore, according to this hypothesis, would have the higher ability among this group.

HYPOTHESIS #2. Preoccupation with minor details (Dd) will be inconsistent with the ability to communicate by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S3, S6 and S4 reflect the least use of minor details in Rorschach responses.

HYPOTHESIS #3. Those persons with the highest percentage of human movement responses will be those most likely to be able to communicate by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S4, S6 and S2 are the three highest in this regard.

HYPOTHESIS #4. The use of instant whole responses will be greater in those persons with the ability to communicate by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S3, S6 and S4 are the highest in this regard.

HYPOTHESIS #5. Using shading responses as an index for anxiety, those who have the most shading responses will do the least well in communicating by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S4, S1 and S2 have the most shading responses.

HYPOTHESIS #6. Those subjects able to communicate best by non-ordinary means will tend to be more childlike in their general approach to life and this will be reflected by higher animal content percent on the Rorschach test.

Results: Subjects S5, S4 and S1.

HYPOTHESIS #7. (This hypothesis is relevant to Hypothesis #6.) Those subjects with the most animal movement responses will tend to be able to communicate more by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S4, S6 and S2.

HYPOTHESIS #8. The persons who most use color in their responses will be most likely to be able to communicate better by non-ordinary means.

Results: There is no spread among the subjects on this particular scoring determinant.

HYPOTHESIS #9. Those subjects using the most emotional determinants will be most likely to be able to communicate by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S3, S6 and S4 have the most use of emotional determinants on the Rorschach Test.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

On the MMPI, the following hypotheses were checked out.

HYPOTHESIS #1. Subjects who show the most unusual configurations on the MMPI will be those most likely to be able to communicate by non-ordinary means (scores above 70).

Results: Subjects S3, S6 and S2.

HYPOTHESIS #2. Those subjects who reflect the most emotional energy as measured by the Ma score will be most likely to communicate by non-ordinary means.

Results: Subjects S6, S3 and S2--the opposite of this hypothesis is that those with the lowest Ma scores were subjects S1, S5 and S4.

HYPOTHESIS #3. Those subjects who show the most interest in human interaction will be most likely to do well in non-ordinary communication as measured by the Si score; the rank among the subjects from highest to lowest is S5, S4, S6, S2, S1, S3. Therefore, subjects S5, S4 and S6, according to this hypothesis, would be the successful ones.

HYPOTHESIS #4. Those subjects showing the most depression would be least likely to be able to communicate by non-ordinary means; the rank on the depression score among the subjects is from highest to lowest--S6, S4, S3, S2, S1, S5, with S6, S4, and S3 being the predicted least likely to do well at your tasks, and subjects S2, S1 and S5 the most likely.

The Wechsler Bellevue Intelligence Scale hypotheses were simple and easy to check. The first hypothesis on the results of the Wechsler, HYPOTHESIS #1, is that higher intelligence as measured by the IQ score will reflect higher ability to communicate by non-ordinary means. Using the Full Scale IQ score, the rank from highest to lowest on IQ is subjects S5, S2, S6, S4, S1, and S3. Therefore, S5, S2, S6, according to this hypothesis, would be the subjects most likely to have succeeded. There is very little difference in the ranking in general, using the verbal IQ and the performance IQ. Taking a closer look at the subtest scores of the Wechsler, the following hypotheses were checked out.

HYPOTHESIS #2 on the subtest scores: Persons with the highest ability in visual motor coordination, as reflected by the Block Design subtest, will be most likely to be able to communicate by non-ordinary means. The rank on the Block Design subtest from high to low is S5, S3, S6, S4, S2, and S1.

HYPOTHESIS #3. Those with the best immediate memory as reflected by the Digit Span subtest will be the most likely to achieve in the non-ordinary communication modality. The rank for subjects from highest to lowest on Digit Span is S3, S6, S4, S5, S2, and S1 with very little spread among them.

Other aspects of the Wechsler which were specifically checked out were the Picture Completion subtest and the Arithmetic subtest. The rank from highest to lowest in Picture Completion is S1, S2, S5, S3, S4, S6, and the rank on the Arithmetic subtest is S5, S4, S2, S3, S1, and S6. I did not have a hypothesis about these particular subtests since they are reflections of

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

higher IQ, which was already covered before.

Careful review of the Strong Vocational Interest Blank results, tabulating various scored categories and profile configuration, revealed no pattern that separated any group of subjects from any other group of subjects. This, however, is a multi-dimensional test with many variables and perhaps a more complex statistical analysis, such as analysis of variants, may show some clusters not visible to this examiner.

On the Bender Gestalt Visual Motor test, the simple hypothesis was made that the higher the ability to reproduce better designs, the more likely would be the person's ability to communicate by non-ordinary means. The Bender test results were ranked according to quality in form, Gestalt and accuracy, and the following ranks were obtained. From highest to lowest, subjects S4, S3, S6, S5, S1, and S2. No other evident material was reflected on the Bender designs.

It appears to me that according to most of the hypotheses I came up with, subjects S3, S6 and S4 are the most likely candidates. The results of the Luscher and TAT tests, after careful examination, do not suggest any systematic means for breaking this group of six into two groups of three. However, on the TAT subjects S3, S6 and S5 appeared to this examiner to reflect more spontaneity and childlike exuberance for living and therefore might be inferred to possess more sensitivity or awareness to non-cognitive dimensions of experience; therefore, I think subjects S3, S6, and S4 are the most likely ones to have done the experiments well. I also note that those who couldn't apparently were learning how, and therefore apparently whatever this ability is, it is a learnable one--of course, if such communication does exist, that should be true since we all come with essentially the same basic equipment.

J.E. Heenan, PhD
Chief Clinical Psychologist
Palo Alto Medical Clinic

On a post hoc basis, we can examine the various hypotheses suggested by Dr. Heenan and determine which ones tend to correlate with observable paranormal functioning. However, given the small sample size, no significant conclusions can be drawn--rather, these points simply suggest hypotheses to be examined in future testing.

On the basis of the remote viewing and random target generator experiments, experienced subjects S1 through S3 and learner/control S4 performed reliably in contrast to learner/control subjects S5 and S6. There were four tests which tended to correlate with this partition in the sense that three of the four successful subjects lacked a trait

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

which was possessed by both of the unsuccessful subjects. These were the traits considered in Rorschach Hypothesis #5, MMPI Hypothesis #3, and WBIS Hypotheses #1 and #2; the four hypotheses suggested by Dr. Heenan were all counterindicated, that is, the responses suggested as probable for successful subjects were found to hold for the unsuccessful ones. On the basis of this small sample, therefore, one might consider investigating the following traits as potentially indicating a lesser ability in paranormal functioning: low anxiety index as indicated by low degree of shading response in the Rorschach, a high degree of interest in human interaction as measured by the Si score of the MMPI, an exceptionally high IQ (gifted range) as measured by the Wechsler Bellevue Intelligence Scale, and excellent visual motor coordination as reflected in the Block Design subtest of the Wechsler Bellevue Intelligence Scale. It must be emphasized, however, that although subjects scoring highest with regard to the above factors did least well in the tests of paranormal functioning, all subjects scored higher than the norm in these psychological factors, so it would be erroneous to extrapolate on the basis of these data that low scoring might indicate paranormal ability. It is simply that extremely high scores are observed to correlate negatively with success on the particular paranormal tasks investigated. Finally, we reiterate that the correlation as observed on the basis of such a small sample may be gratuitous and should therefore only be considered as a basis for further hypothesis testing.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

3. Neuropsychological Evaluation

Neuropsychological profiles on the six subjects were obtained by the administration of the Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychology Test Battery as well as other tests known to be sensitive to brain dysfunction. These tests have proven useful in predicting, for example, both the presence and location of brain damage in a variety of neurological diseases. Since, when no damage is present these tests also reflect abilities dependent on brain function, it was hoped that some meaningful pattern of test performance would emerge for the program subjects. The testing and evaluation was handled by Dr. Ralph Kiernan, Clinical Neuropsychologist, Department of Neurology, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

The following is his evaluation;

All subjects were given the following tests:

- (1) Halstead Category Test
- (2) Tactual Performance Test
- (3) Speech Perception Test
- (4) Seashore Rhythm Test
- (5) Finger Tapping Test
- (6) Trail Making Test
- (7) Knox Cube Test
- (8) Raven Progressive Matrices
- (9) Verbal Concept Attainment Test
- (10) Buschke Memory Test
- (11) Grooved Pegboard Tests

Two additional tests were added after several subjects had been tested and were not administered to all subjects. These were:

- (12) The Gottschaldt Hidden-Figures Test
- (13) The spatial relations subtest of the SRA Primary Mental Abilities Test.

A description of these tests along with subject scores is given in Table 19.

Since other psychological testing was completed previously on these same subjects at the Palo Alto Medical Clinic, the results of two of these tests (The Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale and the Benton Visual Retention Test) were consulted in the overall neuropsychological evaluation.

Very few of the results are common to all six subjects. In fact, the only ones that are common involve general

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 19. NEUROPSYCHOLOGY TEST BATTERY

| Test | Description | Scoring | | | | | | | | | | Y | O |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------|
| | | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | | | | | μ | SD |
| Halstead Category Test | Nonverbal test requiring abstraction of conceptual relationships. Score: Total errors. | 7 | 14 | 33 | 26 | 6 | 28 | | | | | 15 | 15.5 |
| Tactual Performance Test | Requires placement of 10 geometrically shaped blocks in their correct locations on a formboard while blindfolded. Separate RT, LT, and bimanual trials. Score: Total time (minutes). | 16.4 | 11.8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 11.4 | 6.9 | | | | | 11.4 | 14.7 |
| Speech Perception Test | Discrimination of nonword speech sounds. Score: Total errors. | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | | | | | 4 | 5.5 |
| Seashore Rhythm Test | Discrimination of nonverbal rhythms. Score: Number correct. | 27 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 26 | 29 | | | | | 26 | 25.5 |
| Finger Tapping Test | Measure of finger oscillation rate for 10-second period, both RT and LT hand trials. Score: Number taps per 10 seconds. | RT/LT 53/50 | RT/LT 53/49 | RT/LT 48/47 | RT/LT 54/53 | RT/LT 47/47 | RT/LT 48/43 | | | | | 50/43 | 44/39 |
| Trail Making Test (Part A) | Requires connecting numbered circles in order from 1 to 25. Paper and pencil task. Score: Total times (seconds). | 40 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 30 | 27 | | | | | 26 | 33 |
| Trail Making Test (Part B) | Requires connecting alphabetic and numbered circles by alternating 1-A-2-B, and so on. Score: Total time (seconds). | 56 | 50 | 55 | 50 | 54 | 53 | | | | | 62 | 79.5 |
| Knox Cube Test | Measure of attention span and immediate visual memory. Score: Number correct. | 13 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 17 | | | | | 13 | 13 |
| Raven Progressive Matrices | Nonverbal intelligence test involving spatial matrices. Score: Number correct. | 39 | 53 | 49 | 55 | 60 | 54 | | | | | 35 | 42 |
| Verbal Concept Attainment Test | Requires abstraction of verbal conceptual relationships. Score: Number correct. | 22 | 24 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 24 | | | | | 21 | 21 |
| Buschke Memory Test | Requires learning a 20-word list in a maximum of 12 trials with repetition of words omitted after each trial. Score: Maximum number words correctly remembered; List: Number words consistently remembered. | Total: 14/20 List: 8/20 | 17/20 14/20 | 18/20 11/20 | 19/20 16/20 | 20/20 15/20 | 20/20 16/20 | 20/20 (8 trials)(7 trials) | | | | Total / List μ 18/12 SD 3/2* | 20/20 |
| Grooved Pegboard Test | Requires insertion of 25 pegs in their holes in a pegboard. Both RT and LT hand trials. Score: Total time (seconds). | RT/LT 76/74 | RT/LT 69/70 | RT/LT 58/67 | RT/LT 59/67 | RT/LT 72/70 | RT/LT 48/50 | | | | | 61/66 | 70/76 |
| Spatial Relations Subtest of the PMA | Requires mental rotation and identification of figures rotated in two dimensions. Score: Number correct minus number of errors. | - | - | - | - | 60 | 52 | | | | | 28 | 28 |
| Gottschaldt Hidden Figures Test | Requires tracing outline of simple figure hidden within lines of more complex figure. Score: Time and number correct. | Poor | Avg. | - | V. good | Outst. | Outst. | | | | | None Available | 14 |

*Approximate; Y, Age <35; O, Age ≥35.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

intelligence as measured by the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. All subjects were in the bright average to very superior range of intelligence with full scale IQ's ranging from 116 to 134, the average IQ being 125. Performance IQ's tended to be slightly higher than Verbal IQ's (126 average versus 123). All other test performances ranged widely from the mildly below average to the very superior range.

A consistent pattern of test results does emerge, however, when four of the subjects are looked at in a single group. These four subjects are S3 through S6. All tests which depended heavily on spatial abilities were extremely well performed by these subjects. The block design subtest of the WAIS is the most sensitive subtest to brain injuries which disrupt spatial abilities. Although these subjects obtained excellent WAIS scores in general, their near-perfect performances on this subtest are significantly better than most of the other subtest scores. The Tactual Performance Test (TPT) is also very sensitive to brain dysfunction involving spatial abilities. This test was extremely well performed by these subjects with three of them obtaining total times of 7.7 minutes or less. Times of less than eight minutes are very rarely achieved on this test. The TPT and block designs are two of the most sensitive tests to variations in spatial ability. A third test, the spatial relations subtest of the Primary Mental Abilities test, was given to only two of the four subjects in this group. Again, very superior scores (quotient scores greater than 130) were obtained by each. This test is not highly correlated with general intelligence, and high scores indicate special proficiency in visual-spatial ability.

Two additional tests which appear to measure general ability but which depend upon visual-perceptual ability for their correct performance were performed in the superior range. These are the Raven's Progressive Matrices and the Gottschaldt Hidden Figures.

Other test performances varied substantially among these four subjects. Three of the four had difficulty on the Category Test and on the Buschke Memory Test. No sensible interpretation of these results is readily apparent.

The two remaining subjects, S1 and S2, were quite different in their test performances from the above group. S2, who obtained the second highest full scale IQ, did well on the spatial tests described above but not as well as any of the four above. His spatial abilities appeared to be less well developed than his verbal skills. S1 was even less like the group than S2. His spatial test performances were only average for his age, and the TPT and Gottschaldt tests were poorly performed.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

In summary, the single, most compelling conclusion from the test data is that six subjects studied are of significantly above-average intellectual ability. In addition, there is consistent evidence that four of the six subjects were particularly proficient on measures of visual and tactual spatial ability. The performance of tests which measure this ability is most seriously impaired by lesions which involve the right, posterior cerebral hemisphere. There is more than presumptive evidence that normal performance of these tests is mediated by the right hemisphere. Therefore, at least four of the subjects obtained test results consistent with proficiency on these right hemisphere related tasks. It should be pointed out that this finding can be, at best, considered as a basis for hypothesis formation regarding paranormal ability. Verification of such hypotheses would depend on the results of future research.

The test results for S2 are not in conflict with the above interpretation. Those obtained for S1, however, are in conflict with this hypothesis and are not readily reconciled with it.

As pointed out above, further research is necessary to elucidate the relationship between spatial abilities, the right hemisphere and paranormal abilities. Nonetheless, it can be said at this point that many of the tasks performed by the group of subjects at SRI have at least a superficial resemblance to performances which require right hemisphere function. The similarities include the highly schematicized drawings of objects in a room or of remote scenes. Verbal identification of these drawings is often highly inaccurate, and the drawings themselves are frequently left-right reversed relative to the target configuration. Further, written material is generally not cognized. These characteristics have been seen in left brain-injured patients and in callosal sectioned patients.

More relevant, perhaps, than right hemisphere functioning per se are the resemblances to a class of functioning known as associative visual agnosia. Associative visual agnosia involves the inability of a patient to name or otherwise identify objects which he is capable of seeing. Such patients who do not have more generalized intellectual impairment are rare, and only a few have been described in the neurological literature. Several of these patients have demonstrated the ability to copy with pencil and paper the picture or object which they failed to name. It is this quality which impressed me as being similar to the remote viewing performances of the SRI subjects.

In a recent review of such cases¹⁰ five patients were found who had the ability to draw an object without being

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

able to name it. These are the patients reported by Mack et al. (1975)¹⁰, Albert et al. (1975)¹¹, Davidenkov (1956)¹², Rubens and Benson (1971)¹³, and Lhermitte and Beauvois (1973)¹⁴. Drawings and attempted namings of pictured material for one of the patients in the studies is shown in Figure 21.

In attempting to name an object, these patients would generally produce inappropriate names which, nevertheless, reflected some visual form characteristics of the object in question. Their attempts seem forced and made in piecemeal fashion to various characteristics of the picture rather than to the picture as a whole. In similar fashion Teuber's patient (1975)¹⁵ described the figure below as an apple with a worm and wormholes in it.



The above description and many of those in the references clearly illustrate that the patient sees the object and is able to respond to at least some of its visual characteristics. Most of the drawings in the references are sufficiently complete so that an observer would be able to name the object represented. Yet the author of the drawing cannot do this. This type of defective performance was frequently seen in the SRI subjects when they were producing drawings in the remote viewing experiments. Two obvious differences exist, however, between the patients with associative visual agnosia and the SRI subjects. The SRI subjects are able to name objects appropriately when pictures are presented directly to the visual modality. The patients cannot do this, and, in addition, these patients have a variety of other visual disabilities. The latter difference is to be expected since the patients have substantial brain injury.

The location of brain damage in associative visual agnosia is fairly well established. Two disconnections appear necessary in order to produce this symptom. One involves destruction of the left visual area as evidenced by the right homonymous hemianopia invariably found in these patients. The second involves isolation of the right visual area from speech areas in the left hemisphere. This can be the result of extensive destruction of left visual association areas or of damage to the posterior portion of the corpus callosum. The net result of these injuries is that objects can be seen because of visual input to the right hemisphere visual area but that they cannot be named because of isolation of this area from left hemisphere language areas. Use of these objects and the drawing of pictures of them can be accomplished because of intact pathways within the right hemisphere.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 21 DRAWINGS AND INTERPRETATIONS BY ASSOCIATIVE VISUAL AGNOSIA PATIENTS

Copies of line drawings. Patient was unable to identify any before copying. After making copy, his identifications were top left, key — "I still don't know"; top right, pig — "Could be a dog or any other animal"; bottom left, bird — "Could be a beach stump"; bottom right, locomotive — "A wagon or a car of some kind. The larger vehicle is being pulled by the smaller one."

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

It remains to speculate on the meaning of the similarity between the patients described above and the SRI subjects. It should first be noted that the similarities are more than superficial in that the verbal descriptions attempted by some of the SRI subjects bear a striking resemblance in kind to those of the patient shown in Figure 21. It is as if they are struggling with similar difficulties in verbalizing the image which they can readily draw. In this regard the lateralization involved is consistent with other indications of right hemisphere function in the SRI subjects. A highly speculative hypothesis is that during remote viewing the subjects "see" a grossly degraded image which is not distinct enough to encode directly into a verbal label. Hence the piecemeal verbalization similar to that found in patients with associative visual agnosia.

In summary, it would appear that the neuropsychological data are compatible with the hypotheses that (1) information received in a putative remote viewing mode is processed piecemeal in pattern form (consistent with a low bit rate process but not necessarily requiring it) and (2) the errors arise in the processes of attempted integration of the data into larger patterns directed toward verbal labeling.

C. Identification of Neurophysiological Correlates That Relate to Paranormal Activities

This part of the program had as its goal the identification of neurophysiological correlates of paranormal activity. The existence of such correlates is hypothesized on the expectation that, in addition to obtaining overt responses such as verbalizations or 'key presses from a subject, it should be possible to obtain objective evidence of information transfer by direct measurement of some physiological parameter of a subject. Kamiya, Lindsley, Pribram, Silverman, Walter, and others brought together to discuss physiological methods to detect ESP functioning, for example, have suggested that a whole range of electroencephalogram (EEG) responses--such as evoked potentials (EPs), spontaneous EEG, and the contingent negative variation (CNV)--might be sensitive indicators of the detection of remote stimuli not mediated by usual sensory processes.¹⁶

The purpose of this part of the study was twofold: (a) to obtain information about the neurophysiological state associated with paranormal

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

activity in general, and (b) to determine whether physiological correlates could be used as an indicator of paranormal functioning, hopefully to provide indicators that differentiate between correct and incorrect responses to a paranormally applied stimulus so that an independently-determined bias factor could be applied during the generation of data by a subject.

Early experimentation of this type was carried out by Douglas Dean at the Newark College of Engineering. In his search for physiological correlates of information transfer, he used the plethysmograph to measure changes in the blood volume in a finger, a sensitive indicator of autonomic nervous system functioning.¹⁷ A plethysmographic measurement was made on the finger of a subject during paranormal-communication experiments. A sender looked at randomly selected target cards consisting of names known to the subject, together with names unknown to him (selected at random from a telephone book). The names of the known people were contributed by the subject and were to be of emotional significance to him. Dean found significant changes in the chart recording of finger blood volume when the remote sender was looking at those names known to the subject as compared with those names randomly chosen.

Two other early experiments using the physiological approach were also published. The first work by Tart¹⁸ and the later work by Lloyd¹⁹ both follow a similar pattern. Basically, a subject is closeted in an electrically shielded room while his EEG is recorded. Meanwhile, in another laboratory, a second person is stimulated from time to time, and the time for that stimulus is marked on the magnetic tape recording of the subject's EEG. The subject does not know when the remote stimulus periods occur.

At SRI three facilities are in use for the purpose described above. One is a standard EEG facility under the direction of Dr. Charles Rebert, Life Sciences Division. This facility consists of a visually opaque, acoustically and electrically shielded, double-walled steel room, as shown in Figure 22, a Grass Model 5 polygraph, and an Ampex

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



SA-2613-14

FIGURE 22 SHIELDED ROOM USED FOR EEG EXPERIMENTS

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

SP-300 magnetic tape recorder. The second facility is a standard EEG facility under the direction of Dr. Jerry Lukas, head of SRI's Sleep Studies program. This facility consists of two sound-isolated rooms with appropriate signal lead connections, an eight-channel polygraph for recording visually, and a magnetic tape/computer processing/printer readout that provides on-line processing of the polygraph data. In our configuration we obtain a hardcopy printout of five-second averages of eight channels of polygraph information 15 minutes following a 15-minute run. At present we monitor broad band alpha (7 to 14 Hz) and beta (14 to 34 Hz) brainwave components from the left and right occipital regions, galvanic skin response, and two channels of plethysmograph data (blood volume and pulse height).

The third facility is a smaller, semiportable four-channel polygraph with a GSR channel, reflected-light plethysmograph indicating blood volume/pulse height, one channel of unfiltered EEG activity, and a fourth EEG channel with zero-crossing digital filtering. The last permits percent-time measurements in any band, with upper and lower band edge settings in one-hertz increments.

Two lines of investigation were pursued in the SRI program. The first was basic in nature, an effort to determine whether, in a repeatable experiment under laboratory conditions, the remote viewing of a specific stimulus (strobe light in another laboratory) would provide any evidence of EEG correlates. The second involved mid-experiment monitoring of a number of physiological parameters during routine experimentation in remote viewing.

1. Remote Strobe Experiment

The following is a description of the first line of experimentation, the remote viewing of a strobe light stimulus. With regard to choice of stimulus, it was noted that in previous work others had attempted, without success, to detect evoked potential changes in a subject's EEG in response to a single flash stimulus observed by another subject.²⁰ In a discussion of that experiment, Kamiya suggested that because of the unknown temporal characteristics of the information channel, it might be more appropriate to use repetitive bursts of light

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

to increase the probability of detecting information transfer.²¹ Therefore, in our study we chose to use a stroboscopic flash train of ten seconds duration as the remote stimulus.

In the design of the study, we assumed that the application of the remote stimulus would result in responses similar to those obtained under conditions of direct stimulation. For example, when an individual is stimulated with a low-frequency (< 30 Hz) flashing light, the EEG typically shows a decrease in the amplitude of the resting rhythm and a driving of the brain waves at the frequency of the flashes.²² We hypothesized that if we stimulated one subject in this manner (a putative sender) the EEG of another subject in a remote room with no flash present (a receiver) might show changes in narrow band alpha (9 to 11 Hz) activity and possibly an EEG driving similar to that of the sender, either by coupling to the sender's EEG,²³ or by coupling directly to the stimulus.

We informed our subject (S4) that at certain times a light was to be flashed in a sender's eyes in a distant room, and if the subject perceived that event, consciously or unconsciously, it might be evident from changes in his EEG output. The instructions to the subject are in accordance with requirements governing activities with human subjects (see Appendix B). The receiver was seated in the visually opaque, acoustically and electrically shielded double-walled steel room shown in Figure 22. The sender was seated in room about seven meters from the receiver.

A Grass PS-2 photostimulator placed about one meter in front of the sender was used to present flash trains of ten seconds duration. The receiver's EEG activity from the occipital region (Oz), referenced to linked mastoids, was amplified with a Grass 5P-1 preamplifier and associated driver amplifier with a bandpass of 1 to 120 Hz. The EEG data were recorded on magnetic tape with an Ampex SP 300 recorder.

On each trial, a tone burst of fixed frequency was presented to both sender and receiver and was followed in one second by either a ten second train of flashes or a null flash interval presented to the sender. Thirty-six such trials were given in an experimental session, consisting

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

of 12 null trials--no flashes following the tone--12 trials of flashes at 6 fps and 12 trials of flashes at 16 fps, all randomly intermixed, determined by entries from a table of random numbers. Each of the trials generated an 11 second EEG epoch. The last 4 seconds of the epoch was selected for analysis to minimize the desynchronising action of the warning cue. This 4 second segment was subjected to Fourier analysis on a LINC 8 computer.

Spectrum analyses gave no evidence of EEG driving in any receiver, although in control runs the receivers did exhibit driving when physically stimulated with the flashes.

Data from seven sets of 36 trials each were collected from the subject on three separate days. This comprises all the data collected with this subject under the test conditions described above. The alpha band was identified from average spectra, then scores of average power and peak power were obtained from individual trials and subjected to statistical analysis.


Figure 23 shows an overlay of the three averaged spectra from one of the subject's 36-trial runs, displaying differences in alpha activity for the three stimulus conditions.

Mean values for the average power and peak power for each of the seven experimental sets are given in Table 20. The power measures were less in the 16 fps case than in the 0 fps in all seven peak-power measures and in six out of seven average-power measures. Note also the reduced effect in the case in which the subject was informed that no sender was present (Run 3). It seems that overall alpha production was reduced for this run in conjunction with the subject's expressed apprehension about conducting the experiment without a sender. This is in contrast to the case (Run 7) in which the subject was not informed.

Siegel's two-tailed t approximation to the nonparametric randomization test²⁴ was applied to the data from all sets, which included the two sessions in which the sender was removed. Average power on trials associated with the occurrence of 16 fps was significantly less (-24%) than when there were no flashes ($t = 2.09$, d.f. = 118, $p < 0.04$).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



SA-2613-15

FIGURE 23 OCCIPITAL EEG FREQUENCY SPECTRA, 0 TO 20 Hz, OF SUBJECT S4 ACTING AS RECEIVER, SHOWING AMPLITUDE CHANGES IN THE 9 TO 11-Hz BAND AS A FUNCTION OF STROBE FREQUENCY

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 20
 EEG Data for Subject S4 Showing Average Power and Peak Power in the
 9- to 11-Hz Band, as a Function of Flash Frequency and Sender.
 (Each Table Entry is an Average Over 12 Trials)

| Flash Frequency Sender | Average Power | | | Peak Power | | |
|--|---------------|------|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|
| | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 16 |
| J.L. | 94.8 | 84.1 | 76.8 | 357.7 | 329.2 | 289.6 |
| R.T. | 41.3 | 45.5 | 37.0 | 160.7 | 161.0 | 125.0 |
| No Sender (Subject informed) | 25.1 | 35.7 | 28.2 | 87.5 | 95.7 | 81.7 |
| J.L. | 54.2 | 55.3 | 44.8 | 191.4 | 170.5 | 149.3 |
| J.L. | 56.8 | 50.9 | 32.8 | 240.6 | 178.0 | 104.6 |
| R.T. | 39.8 | 24.9 | 30.3 | 145.2 | 74.2 | 122.1 |
| No Sender (Subject not informed) | 86.0 | 53.0 | 52.1 | 318.1 | 180.6 | 202.3 |
| Averages | 56.8 | 49.9 | 43.1 | 214.5 | 169.8 | 153.5 |
| | | -12% | -24% | | -21% | -28% |
| | | | (p < .04) | | | (p < .03) |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

The second measure, peak power, was also significantly less (-28%) in the 16 fps conditions than in the null condition ($t = 2.16$, d.f. = 118, $P < 0.03$). The average response in the 6 fps condition was in the same direction as that associated with 16 fps (-12% in average power, -21% in peak power) but the effect did not reach statistical significance.

As part of the experimental protocol, the subject was asked to indicate a conscious assessment for each trial (via telegraph key) as to the nature of the stimulus; analysis showed these guesses to be at chance. Thus, arousal as evidenced by significant alpha blocking occurred only at the noncognitive level of physiological response. Hence, the experiment provided direct physiological (EEG) evidence of perception of remote stimuli even in the absence of overt cognitive response.

Several control procedures were undertaken to determine if these results were produced by system artifacts or by subtle cueing of the subject. Low-level recordings were made from saline of 12 k Ω resistance in place of the subject, with and without the introduction of 10-Hz, 50- μ V signals from a battery-operated generator. The standard experimental protocol was adhered to and spectral analysis of the results was carried out. There was no evidence in the spectra of activity associated with the flash frequencies, and the 10-Hz signal was not perturbed by the remote occurrence flicker.

In another control procedure, a 5-ft pair of leads was draped across the subject's chair (subject absent). The leads were connected to a Grass P-5 amplifier via its high-impedance input probe. The bandwidth was set 0.1 Hz to 30 KHz with a minimum gain of 200,000. The output of the amplifier was connected to one input of a C.A.T. 400C "averager." Two-second sweeps, triggered at onset of the tone, were taken once every 13 seconds for approximately two hours, for about 550 samples. No difference in noise level between the fore period and the onset of flicker was observed.

Finally, no sounds associated with flicker could be detected in the receiver's chamber.

Three further experimental runs were carried out in the sleep lab under the direction of Dr. Lukas, this time with monitoring of

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

right and left occipital regions. Each experiment consisted of 20 15-second trials, with 10 no-flash trials, and 10 16-Hz trials randomly intermixed. Reduction of alpha activity (arousal response) correlated with remote stimuli was observed as in previous experiments, but essentially only in the right hemisphere (average alpha reduction 16% in right hemisphere, 2% in left, during the 16-Hz trials as compared with the no-flash trials). This tends to support the hypothesis that paraneural functioning might involve right hemispheric specialization, but the sample is too small to provide confirmation without further work.

In comparing the results of our work with that of others, we note that whereas in our experiments we used a remote light flash as a stimulus, Tart¹⁸ in his work used an electrical shock to himself as sender, and Lloyd¹⁹ simply told the sender to think of a red triangle each time a red warning light was illuminated within his view. Lloyd observed a consistent, evoked potential in his subjects; whereas in our experiments and in Tart's, a reduction in amplitude and a desynchronization of alpha was observed, an arousal response. (If a subject is resting in an alpha-dominant condition and he is then stimulated, for example in any direct manner, one will observe a decrease and desynchronization in alpha power.) We consider that these combined results thus provide evidence for the existence of noncognitive awareness of a remote stimulus, and the EEG procedures described appear to be sensitive techniques for detecting the occurrence of such information transfer, even in the absence of overt cognitive response, at least when used to detect discrete arousing stimuli.

2. Mid-Experiment Monitoring of Physiological Parameters During Routine Experimentation in Remote Viewing

In this series of experiments measurements were obtained during a random selection of seven remote viewing experiments. The subject was connected to the physiological recording instruments of the smaller, semiportable four-channel polygraph described above. Baseline and experimental measures of the following observables were made:

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

- (1) Galvanic skin response (GSR) was recorded using finger electrodes taped in place on second and fourth fingers
- (2) Blood volume/pulse height was recorded using a reflected-light plethysmograph
- (3) Unfiltered EEG was recorded from the right occipital region
- (4) Percent-time in alpha (8 to 12 Hz) was recorded on the fourth channel; the alpha filter was a sharp cutoff digital type with essentially zero-pass outside the prescribed bandpass limits.

A sample chart record is shown in Figure 24. (Time runs from right to left.) The traces, top to bottom, are the unfiltered EEG, blood volume/pulse height, GSR, and filtered (alpha) EEG.

During the course of an experiment, the subject was asked to describe his perceptions as to the nature of the remote target. His comments were tape-recorded and noted on the polygraph, along with the time. A correlation was then attempted between those descriptions that were found to be uniquely correct and accurate, and the corresponding sections of polygraph recording.

Seven experiments of this type were carried out. In our investigations we did not find any significant correlations between the observed physiological parameters and the indicators of accuracy in the data.

The failure to observe any physiological correlates of a putative "state" associated with paranormal functioning thus parallels the similar failure to observe any physiological correlates of the putative hypnotic state reported by others. In a survey of the major literature on hypnosis by Sarbin and Slagle, entitled "Hypnosis and Psychophysiological Outcomes"²⁵, they cataloged experiments dealing with measurements of heart rate, hemodynamics and vasomotor functioning, genitourinary functions, gastrointestinal functions, endocrine and metabolic functions, cutaneous functions, dermal excretions, skin temperature, electrodermal changes, evoked potentials, spontaneous EEG activity, rapid eye movements, slow eye movements, optokinetic nystagmus, changes

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 24 POLYGRAPH DATA

Correct verbal description given during time interval t_{AB} .

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

in pupillary diameter, and ocular anatomy. Their conclusion is that "there is no evidence for a physiological process that could serve as an independent criterion of the postulated hypnotic state." Similarly, we found no evidence in the physiological processes that we monitored that could serve as an indicator of the postulated paranormal state beyond the general EEG arousal response observed for discrete stimulus conditions.

D. Identification of the Nature of Paranormal Phenomena and Energy

This portion of the program was devoted to efforts to understand the nature and scope of paranormal phenomena, including investigation of the physical laws underlying the phenomena.

1. Experiments with Physical Apparatus

a. Experiments with Geiger Counter

A series of experiments were conducted with subject S1 to determine whether a Geiger counter in the γ -ray mode (i.e., beta shield in place) would register subject-directed efforts.

The output of a Geiger counter,* fed into a Monsanto Model 1020 counter/timer, indicated that the background count due to cosmic rays was approximately 35 counts/minute. Experimental protocol required the subject to try to change the registered count by concentration on the Geiger counter probe from a distance of about 0.5 m. Each run consisted of 15 60-s trials, with 10-s separations between the trials. Preceding each run was a control run of equal duration.

The results, shown in Table 21, indicate no effect of statistical significance, either in the mean or standard deviation of counts.

Table 21

GEIGER COUNTER EXPERIMENT SUMMARY

| Run | Control Runs | | Experimental Runs | |
|-----|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| 1 | 36.07 | 5.73 | 35.33 | 6.00 |
| 2 | 34.87 | 6.23 | 33.87 | 7.27 |
| 3 | 33.87 | 5.88 | 34.00 | 5.25 |
| 4 | 35.20 | 5.09 | 35.67 | 5.77 |

* OCDM Item No. CD V-700, Model No. 66, Electro-Neutronics, Inc., Oakland, California.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

b. Experiments with Laser-Monitored Torsion Pendulum

In this series of experiments we examined the possibility that a subject may be able to exert a physical influence on a remotely located mechanical system. The target was a torsion pendulum suspended by a metal fiber inside a sealed glass bell jar. The pendulum consists of three 100-g balls arranged symmetrically at 120° angles on a 2-cm radius. The entire apparatus is shock mounted, and protected from air currents by the bell jar.

The angular position of the pendulum is measured by means of an optical readout system. The system consists of a laser beam from a low-power argon laser* reflected from a small mirror on the pendulum onto a position-sensing silicon detector† 1.5 m from the pendulum. The detector yields an output voltage proportional to spot position. The output from the detector is monitored by a chart recorder‡, which provides a continuous sine wave record of pendulum position.

The system exhibits a sensitivity of approximately 10 μ rad. Under typical experimental conditions, random acoustical fluctuations drive the pendulum in its torsional normal mode of 10-s period to a level ~100 μ rad angular deviation. During control runs the pendulum executes harmonic motion with a maximum variation in amplitude of $\pm 10\%$ over an hour period. Sudden vibrational perturbations in the environment (artifacts) produce oscillation of the pendulum in the vertical plane at 0.1 Hz.

The subject is asked, as a mental task, to affect the pendulum motion, the results of which are available as feedback from the chart recorder. The subject is then encouraged to work with the pendulum from a distance of 1 m, observing effects being produced. If satisfied that there is a possibility of producing effects, the subject is removed to a room 22 m down the hall with three intervening office spaces to determine whether effects can be produced from a remote location. The subject is provided feedback at the remote location either by closed circuit video or by a second chart recorder in parallel with

* Spectra Physical Model 262.

† United Detector Technology Model SC/10.

‡ Brush Model Mark 200.

UNCLASSIFIED

the recorder in the enclosed target laboratory. The remote aspect was instituted both to prevent artifactual effects from body heat, motion, and the like, and also to determine whether energy can be coupled via the remote-viewing channel to a remote location.*

In an experiment, timing of subject efforts to increase or decrease oscillation amplitude are determined by an experimenter utilizing a randomization protocol described in Appendix C. Each experiment lasts one hour and consists of six five-minute work periods alternated with six five-minute rest periods.

Although there appeared to be some evidence in pilot studies that a subject could, by concentration, increase or decrease pendulum motion on command, data taken in three controlled experiments produced 11 changes in the correct direction out of 18 tries, a result nonsignificant at $p = 0.24$ by exact binomial calculation.

c. Experiments with Superconducting Differential Magnetometer (Gradiometer)

One of the first psychoenergetically produced physical effects observed by SRI personnel in early research (1972) was the apparent perturbation of a Josephson effect magnetometer.²⁷ The conditions of that pilot study, involving a few hours use of an instrument committed to other research, prevented a proper investigation. The number of data samples was too few to permit meaningful statistical analysis, and the lack of readily available multiple recording equipment prevented investigation of possible "recorder only" effects.

At the suggestion of the sponsor, a series of experiments was carried out using the superconducting second-derivative gradiometer[†] shown in Figure 25.

* Both experimental evidence and theoretical work indicate that distance may not be a strong factor in paranormal phenomena. See, for example, "Foundations of Paraphysical and Parapsychological Phenomena," by E.H. Walker, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.²⁶

[†] Develco Model 8805, Develco, Inc., Mountain View, California.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 25 SUPERCONDUCTING DIFFERENTIAL MAGNETOMETER

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Basically, the gradiometer is a four-coil Josephson effect magnetometer device consisting of a pair of coil pairs wound so as to provide a series connection of two opposing first-derivative gradiometers, yielding a second-derivative gradiometer (that is, a device sensitive only to second- and higher-order derivative fields). As a result, the device is relatively insensitive to uniform fields and to uniform gradients. This arrangement allows for sensitive measurement of fields from nearby sources while discriminating against relatively uniform magnetic fields produced by remote sources. The device is ordinarily used to measure magnetic fields originating from processes within the human body, such as action currents in the heart that produce magnetocardiograms. The sensitive tip of the instrument is simply placed near the body area of interest.

In our application, however, the subject is located in an adjoining laboratory at a distance of 4 m from the gradiometer probe. As a result the subject is located in a zone of relative insensitivity; for example, standing up, sitting down, leaning forward, and arm and leg movements produce no signals. From this location the subject is asked, as a mental task, to affect the probe. The results of his efforts are available to him as feedback from three sources: an oscilloscope, a panel meter, and a chart recorder, the latter providing a permanent record.

A protocol for subject participation was instituted as follows. The subject removes all metal objects from his clothing and body, and the effects of body movements are checked at the start of each experimental period. The subject then works with the machine in a learning mode, observing effects being produced, if any, via feedback from the instrumentation. Once satisfied that a possibility exists of producing effects on command under experimenter control, the experimenter announces the start of the experiments. A randomization protocol (discussed in Appendix C) is then used to generate ten ON (subject activity) and OFF (subject no activity) periods of equal length (e.g., 25 s each as determined by the experimenter).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

The trace from the chart recording of a sample run (Run 1, Subject S1) is shown in Figure 26. The randomly generated ON (activity) trials occurred in periods 2, 8, and 9. As observed, signals appear in each of these three periods. The signal appearing in period 9 was strong enough to cause loss of continuous tracking. This latter type of signal can be the result of an exceptionally strong flux change or an RF burst, whether subject-generated or artifactual*, and is handled on the basis of statistical correlation as discussed below. An artifact due to the passage of a truck in the parking lot adjacent to the laboratory (under continuous surveillance by the experimenter) is noted in period 6. The signals recorded in periods 2 and 8 correspond to an input of approximately 1.6×10^{-9} Gauss/cm² (second derivative $\partial^2 B_z / \partial z^2$), which is equivalent to approximately 3.5×10^{-7} Gauss referred to one pickup coil.

The interpretation of such observations must be subjected to careful analysis. For example, the emphasis on "corresponds to" is based on the following: although the probe is designed to register magnetic fields, and the simplest hypothesis is that an observed signal is such, in a task as potentially complex as willed perturbation effects one must be cautious about assigning a given observed effect to a specific cause. One can only conclude that generation of a magnetic field is the most probable cause, without presuming to identify a particular source. With regard to signal display, the signal was observed simultaneously on three recording devices at different stages of the electronics, and thus a "recorder only" effect can be considered low probability, although an electronics interference effect ahead of all display cannot be ruled out. We therefore treat the magnetic cause as tentative, although most probable, and concentrate our attention on whether a correlation exists between system disturbances and subject efforts.

Thirteen ten-trial runs were obtained with S1. Each of the ten trials in the run lasted 50 seconds†, the activity/no-activity

* RF interference effects are sometimes in evidence due to noise bursts from other instrumentation.

† With the exception of the first run where 25-second trials were used.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 26 GRADIOMETER DATA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

command for each trial being identified by the randomization technique discussed in Appendix C. In the $13 \times 10 = 130$ trials, consisting of a random distribution of 64 activity and 66 no-activity periods, 63 events of signal-to-noise ratio greater than unity were observed. Of these 63 events, 42 were distributed among the activity periods, 21 among the no-activity periods, a correlation significant at the $p = 0.004$ level.

Subjects S2 and S6 also interacted with the device. Although subject efforts and observed perturbations sometimes coincided, activity was generally low and did not appear to be the signature of correlated activity under control. A controlled ten-trial run with Subjects S2 and two such runs with Subject S6 yielded nonsignificant results.

We therefore conclude that for Subject S1 the observed number of precisely timed events in pilot work coupled with the statistically significant ($p = 0.004$) correlation between subject effort and signal output in controlled runs indicate a highly probable cause-effect relationship. Thus it appears that a subject can interact with a second derivative magnetic gradiometer of sensitivity on the order of 10^{-9} Gauss/cm² from a distance of 4 m. Further work would be required to determine the precise nature of the interaction, although given the equipment design the generation of a magnetic field is the most probable mechanism.

A successful independent replication of this experiment has been carried out by Dr. Richard Jarrard, Geology Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, using a single-coil cryogenic magnetometer.* The experiments, carried out with the subject in a room located 50 ft diagonally across a courtyard from the magnetometer room, resulted in events distributed across work and rest periods in ratio $>3:1$, respectively, paralleling our results.²⁸

d. Discussion of Physical Perturbation Effects

One significance of the perturbation of remote sensitive equipment lies in the indication that the remote-sensing channel may

* Superconducting Technology Cryogenic Magnetometer.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

possess a bilateral aspect. That is, there is the possibility that an information-bearing signal can be coupled from an individual to a remote location as well as in reverse, thus implying that the information channel under consideration may sustain information transfer in either direction.

The above concept has a rigorous basis in quantum theory in the so-called "observer problem," the effect of an observer on experimental measurement. In quantum theory it is recognized that although the evolution of a physical system proceeds deterministically on the basis of Schrodinger's equation (or its equivalent), the result of a calculation is not in general the prediction of a well-defined value for some experimental variable. Rather, it is the prediction of a range of possibilities with a certain distribution of probabilities. In a given measurement, however, some particular value for a variable is actually obtained, which implies that an additional event--so-called state vector collapse--must take place during the measurement process itself and in a manner that is unpredictable except probabilistically. Analysis of the significance of this latter process leads inescapably to the conclusion that to the degree that consciousness is involved in observation and measurement (and it always is), to that degree consciousness must also be seen to interact with the physical environment and to participate in the collapse of the state vector. Efforts to extract quantum theory from this conclusion by, for example, an infinite regression of measuring apparatus, have proved unsuccessful. These conclusions, arrived at by theorists such as Wigner,²⁹ imply the possibility of nontrivial coupling between consciousness and quantum states of the physical environment at an extremely fundamental level. Such a realization has led to theories of paranormal phenomena modeled on the basis of this so-called "observer problem" in quantum theory.²⁶

The phenomena implied by the observer problem are generally unobservable on the gross macroscopic scale for statistical reasons. This is codified in the thermodynamic concept that for an isolated system entropy (disorder) on the average increases, effectively masking the microscopic observer effects. It is just this requirement of

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

isolation, however, that can be expected to be weakened under conditions of efforts at paranormal perturbation via the remote-sensing channel, and it can be argued that observer effects would be maximally operative in just those situations where the intrusion of consciousness as an ordering phenomenon could result in a significant local reversal of entropy increase.

These considerations lead to the following series of conceptualizations or hypotheses around which future experiments can be designed.

(1) Researchers in the area of willed perturbation effects appear to be plagued by results whose amplitudes have a signal-to-noise ratio near unity, regardless of the process or mechanism involved. This may indicate that, rather than simple perversity, what is being articulated by the experimental results is a coherence phenomena involving partial mobilization of system noise, as if the components of the noise spectrum had been brought into phase coherence, and thus the magnitude constraint. The subject would thus appear to act as a local negentropic (that is, entropy-decreasing) source. If true, it may be more advantageous as a practical matter to work with extremely noisy systems, rather than with highly constrained or organized systems, so as to maximize possible effects due to the introduction of order.

(2) Willed perturbation effects often appear to be more the result of coincidence than the effect of a well-defined cause. Again, rather than being the result of the perversity of nature, the observed goal-oriented synchronicity may indicate that physical systems are more easily manipulated

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

at the global level of boundary conditions and constraints rather than at the level of mechanism. Thus, the apparency that a given result may be explained away by a coincidental but "natural" event needs to be explored more fully. Unexpected but natural causes may be the effect of a series of causal links, outside the defined experimental boundaries but representing an unforeseen line of least resistance. At worst, such causal links may in fact be unobservable in the sense of the hidden variables concept in quantum theory, but nevertheless act as instruments of the will.

(3) Willed perturbation effects appear to be intrinsically spontaneous; i.e., it is difficult to evoke such effects "on cue," with the result that the phenomenon is often considered to not be under good control, and therefore not amenable to controlled experimentation. This difficulty is so pronounced that it is likely that we are observing some macroscopic analog of a quantum transition, an event similarly unpredictable in time except as a probability function. If the analogy is correct, experimentation in this area simply needs to be treated in the manner of, for example, weak photon experiments.

(4) Possibly related to Item (3), the more closely one attempts to observe willed perturbation effects, the less likely one is to see them, a factor considered by many to support hypotheses of poor observation, fraud, and the like. To a sophisticated observer, however, simple dismissal does

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

not stand up under scrutiny. Invoking again the idea of a microscopic analog of a quantum transition, we may, as observers of delicate phenomena, be witnesses to observer effects generally associated with the uncertainty principle. Paradoxically, from the subject's viewpoint, the production of the phenomena may also be an observer effect, perturbing as it does the expected behavior of a piece of instrumentation. In this model the scrutiny of psychokinetic phenomena under laboratory conditions could in principle be considered to be a collective phenomena involving interfering observer effects in a manner known to occur at the microscopic quantum level.

(5) Finally, it may be useful as a guiding principle to continually recognize that all of the phenomena we deal with in macroscopic psychoneuroenergetics are totally permissible at the microscopic level within the framework of physics as presently understood. It is simply that time reversibility, tunneling through barriers, simultaneous multiple-state occupation, and so on are generally unobservable as gross macroscopic phenomena for statistical reasons only, as codified in the concept of increasing disorder (entropy). Therefore, it may be appropriate to consider an individual with psychokinetic abilities primarily as a source of ordering phenomena of sufficient magnitude so as to restructure the otherwise random statistics of the macroscopic environment.

2. Discussion of Possible "Mechanisms" in Remote Viewing

With regard to the wider problem of the remote-viewing channel itself, beyond the specific aspects of equipment perturbation via

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

this channel there is considerable current interest in quantum theory in the implications brought on by the observation^{30,31} of nonlocal correlation or "quantum interconnectedness" (to use Bohm's term³²) of distant parts of quantum systems of macroscopic dimensions. Bell's theorem³³ emphasizes that no theory of reality compatible with quantum theory can require spatially separated events to be independent,³⁴ but must permit interconnectedness of distant events in a manner that is "contrary" to "commonsense" concepts.^{35,36} This prediction has been experimentally tested and confirmed in the recent experiments of, for example, Freedman and Clauser.^{30,31} E.H. Walker and O. Costa de Beauregard, independently proposing theories of paranormal functioning based on quantum concepts, argue that observer effects open the door to the possibility of nontrivial coupling between consciousness and the environment, and that the nonlocality principle permits such coupling to transcend spatial and temporal barriers.^{26,37}

An alternative hypothesis (that is, alternative to the specifically quantum hypothesis) has been put forward by I.M. Kogan, Chairman of the Bioinformation Section of the Moscow Board of the Popov Society, USSR. He is a Soviet engineer who until 1969 published extensively in the open literature on the theory of paranormal communication.³⁸⁻⁴¹ His hypothesis is that information transfer under conditions of sensory shielding is mediated by extremely-low-frequency (ELF) electromagnetic waves in the 300- to 1000-km region, a proposal which does not seem to be ruled out by any obvious physical or biological facts. Experimental support for the hypothesis is claimed on the basis of: slower than inverse-square attenuation, compatible with source-per-cipient distances lying in the induction field range as opposed to the radiation field range; observed low bit rates (0.005 to 0.1 bit/s) compatible with the information-carrying capacity of ELF waves; apparent ineffectiveness of ordinary electromagnetic shielding as an attenuator; and standard antenna calculations entailing biologically generated currents yielding results compatible with observed signal-to-noise ratios.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

M. Persinger, Psychophysiology Laboratory, Laurentian University, Toronto, Canada, has narrowed the ELF hypothesis to the suggestion that the 7.8-Hz "Shumann waves", and their harmonics propagating along the earth-ionosphere waveguide duct, may be responsible. Such an hypothesis is compatible with driving by brain-wave currents, and leads to certain hypotheses, such as asymmetry between east-west and west-east propagation, preferred experimental times (midnight to 4 a.m.), and expected negative correlation between success and the U index (a measure of geomagnetic disturbance throughout the world). Persinger claims initial support for these factors on the basis of a literature search.^{42,43}

On the negative side with regard to a straightforward ELF interpretation as a blanket hypothesis are: (a) apparent real-time descriptions of remote activities in sufficient detail to require a channel capacity in all probability greater than that allowed by a conventional modulation of an ELF signal; (b) lack of a proposed mechanism for coding and decoding the information onto the proposed ELF carrier; and (c) apparent precognition data. The hypothesis must nonetheless remain open at this stage of research, since it is conceivable that counterindication (a) may eventually be circumvented on the basis that the apparent high bit rate results from a mixture of low-bit-rate input and high-bit-rate "filling in the blanks" from imagination; counterindication (b) is common to a number of normal perceptual tasks and may therefore simply reflect a lack of sophistication on our part with regard to perceptual functioning;⁴⁴ and counterindication (c) may be accommodated by an ELF hypothesis if advanced waves as well as retarded waves are admitted.^{27,45} Experimentation to determine whether the ELF hypothesis is viable can be carried out by the use of ELF sources as targets, by the study of parametric dependence on propagational directions and diurnal timing, and by the exploration of interference effects caused by creation of a high-intensity ELF environment during experimentation, all of which are under consideration as part of a proposed follow-up program in our laboratory.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

The above arguments are not intended to indicate that we understand the precise nature of the information channel coupling remote events and human perception. Rather, we intend only to show that modern theory is not without resources that can be brought to bear on the problems at hand, and it is our expectation that these problems will, with further work, yield to analysis and specification.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED3. Communication Theory Approach to Channel Utilization

Independent of the mechanisms that may be involved in remote sensing, observation of the phenomenon implies the existence of an information channel in the information-theoretic sense. Since such channels are amenable to analysis on the basis of communication theory techniques, channel characteristics, such as bit rate, can be determined independent of a well-defined underlying theory in the sense that thermodynamic concepts can be applied to the analysis of systems independent of underlying mechanisms. Therefore, the collection of data under specified conditions permits headway to be made despite the formidable work that needs to be done to clarify the underlying bases of the phenomena.

One useful application of the communication channel concept was the utilization of such a channel for error-free transmission of information by the use of redundancy coding. The experiment was carried out by Dr. Milan Ryzl, a chemist with the Institute of Biology of the Czechoslovakian Academy of Science. He reasoned that a paranormal channel exhibits the attributes of a communication channel perturbed by noise, and that redundancy coding could be used to combat the effects of the noisy channel in a straightforward application of communication theory.⁸ Ryzl had an assistant randomly select five groups of three decimal digits each. These 15 digits were then encoded into binary form and translated into a sequence of green and white cards sealed in opaque envelopes. With the use of a subject who has produced highly significant results with many contemporary researchers,⁴⁶⁻⁵¹ he was able, by means of redundant calling and an elaborate majority vote protocol, to correctly identify all 15 numbers, a result significant at $p = 10^{-15}$. The experiment required 19,350 calls, averaging nine seconds per call. The hit rate for individual calls was 61.9 percent, 11,978 hits and 7,372 misses.^{4†}

[†]Note added in proof. It has been brought to our attention that a similar procedure was used to transmit without error the word "peace," in International Morse Code; J.C. Carpenter "Toward the Effective Utilization of Enhanced Weak-Signal ESP Effects," presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, New York, Jan. 27, 1975.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

As discussed in the section on the random target generator, the bit rate is calculated from

$$R = H(x) - H_y(x) ,$$

where $H(x)$ is the uncertainty of the source message containing symbols with a priori probability p_i

$$H(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i \log_2 p_i ,$$

and $H_y(x)$ is the conditional entropy based on the a posteriori probabilities that a received signal was actually transmitted,

$$H_y(x) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 p(i,j) \log_2 p_{i,j}(j) .$$

For the above run, with $p_i = 1/2$, $p_j(j) = 0.619$, and an average time of nine seconds per choice, we have a source uncertainty $H(x) = 1$ bit and a calculated bit rate

$$R = 0.041 \text{ bits/symbol}$$

or

$$R/T = 0.0046 \text{ bits/second.}$$

Since the 15-digit number (49.8 bits) was actually transmitted at the rate of 2.9×10^{-4} bits per second, an increase in bit rate by a factor of about 20 could be expected on the basis of a coding scheme more optimum than that used in the experiments. The actual bit rate is roughly the same as that observed in our random target generator experiment discussed earlier.

An excellent redundancy coding technique for a communication channel is the sequential sampling procedure used earlier in Section II-B for the sorting of SW from non-SW cards. In this application of the sequential sampling procedure, one would first express the message to be sent as a series of binary digits, encoded, for example, as shown in Table 22. The sequential method then gives a rule of procedure for making one of three possible decisions following the receipt of each bit: accept 1 as the bit being transmitted; reject 1 as the bit being transmitted

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 22

Five-Bit Code for Alphanumeric Characters

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| E | 00000 | Y | 01000 |
| T | 11111 | G,J | 10111 |
| N | 00001 | W | 01001 |
| R | 11110 | V | 10110 |
| I | 00010 | B | 01010 |
| O | 11101 | Ø | 10101 |
| A | 00011 | 1 | 01011 |
| S,X,Z | 11100 | 2 | 10100 |
| D | 00100 | 3 | 01100 |
| H | 11011 | 4 | 10011 |
| L | 00101 | 5 | 01101 |
| C,K,Q | 11010 | 6 | 10010 |
| F | 00110 | 7 | 01110 |
| P | 11001 | 8 | 10001 |
| U | 00111 | 9 | 01111 |
| M | 11000 | . | 10000 |

Note: Alphabet characters listed in order of decreasing frequency in English text. See, for example, A. Sinkov, Elementary Cryptanalysis -- A Mathematical Approach.⁵² (The low frequency letters, X,Z,K,Q, and J have been grouped with similar characters to provide space for numerics in a five-bit code.) In consideration of the uneven distribution of letter frequencies in English text, this code is chosen such that 0 and 1 have equal probability.

(i.e., accept 0); or continue transmission of the bit under consideration.

As discussed earlier, use of the sequential sampling procedure requires the specification of parameters that are determined on the basis of the following considerations. Assume that a message bit (0 or 1) is being transmitted. In the absence of a priori knowledge, we may assume equal probability ($p = 0.5$) for the two possibilities (0,1) if an encoding procedure like that of Table 22 is used. Therefore, from the standpoint of the receiver, the probability of correctly identifying the bit being transmitted is $p = 0.5$ because of chance alone. An operative remote

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

sensing channel could then be expected to alter the probability of correct identification to a value $p = 0.5 + \psi$, where the parameter ψ satisfies $0 < |\psi| < 0.5$. (The quantity may be positive or negative, depending on whether the paranormal channel results in so-called psi-hitting or psi-missing.) Good psi functioning on a repetitive task is observed to result in $\psi = 0.12$, as reported by Ryzl.⁴ Therefore, to indicate the design procedure, let us assume a baseline psi parameter $\psi_b = 0.1$ and design a communication system on this basis.

The question to be addressed is whether, upon repeated transmission, a given message bit is labeled a "1" at a low rate p_0 commensurate with the hypothesis H_0 that the bit in question is a "0", or at a higher rate p_1 commensurate with the hypothesis H_1 that the bit in question is indeed a "1". The decision making process requires the specification of four parameters:

- p_0 : The probability of labeling incorrectly a "0" message bit as a "1". The probability of labeling correctly a "0" as a "0" is $p = 0.5 + \psi_b = 0.6$. Therefore, the probability of labeling incorrectly a "0" as a "1" is $1-p = 0.4 = p_0$.
- p_1 : The probability of labeling correctly a "1" message bit as a "1", given by $p_1 = 0.5 + \psi_b = 0.6$.
- α : The probability of rejecting a correct identification for a "0" (Type I error). We shall take $\alpha = 0.01$.
- β : The probability of accepting an incorrect identification for a "1" (Type II error). We shall take $\beta = 0.01$.

With the parameters thus specified, the sequential sampling procedure provides for construction of a decision graph as shown in Figure 27. The equations for the upper and lower limit lines are, respectively,


$$\Sigma_1 = d_1 + sn \quad ,$$

$$\Sigma_0 = -d_0 + sn \quad ,$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log \frac{1-\beta}{\alpha}}{\log \frac{p_1}{p_0} \frac{1-p_0}{1-p_1}} \quad ,$$

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TA-760525-8

FIGURE 27 ENHANCEMENT OF SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO BY SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING PROCEDURE ($p_0 = 0.4$, $p_1 = 0.6$, $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.01$)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

$$d_0 = \frac{\log \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}}{\log \frac{p_1}{p_0} \frac{1-p_0}{1-p_1}},$$

and

$$s = \frac{\log \frac{1-p_0}{1-p_1}}{\log \frac{p_1}{p_0} \frac{1-p_0}{1-p_1}}$$

A cumulative record of receiver-generated responses to the target bit is compiled until either the upper or lower limit line is reached, at which point a decision is made to accept 0 or 1 as the bit being transmitted.

Channel reliability (probability of correctly determining message being transmitted) as a function of operative psi parameter ψ is plotted in Figure 28. As observed, the sequential sampling procedure can result in 90 percent or greater reliability with psi parameters of the order of a few percent. Figure 29 indicates the average number of trials required to reach a decision on a given message bit. The average number of trials falls off rapidly as a function of increasing psi parameters ψ .


Implementation of the sequential sampling procedure requires the transmission of a message coded in binary digits. Therefore, the target space must consist of dichotomous elements such as the white and green cards used in the experiments by Ryzl.

In operation, a sequence corresponding to the target bit (0 or 1) is sent and the cumulative entries are made (Figure 27) until a decision is reached to accept either a 1 or 0 as the bit being transmitted. At a prearranged time, the next sequence is begun and continues as above until the entire message has been received. A useful alternative, which relieves the percipient of the burden of being aware of his self-contradiction from trial to trial, consists of cycling through the entire message repetitively, entering each response on its associated graph until a decision has been reached on all message bits.

From the results obtained in such experiments, the channel bit rate can be ascertained for the system configuration under consideration.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



TA-760525-9

FIGURE 28 RELIABILITY CURVE FOR SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING
PROCEDURE ($p_0 = 0.4$, $p_1 = 0.6$, $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.01$)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




FIGURE 29 AVERAGE SAMPLE NUMBER
FOR SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING
PROCEDURE ($p_0 = 0.4$, $p_1 = 0.6$,
 $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 0.01$)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Furthermore, bit rates for other degrees of reliability (i.e., for other P_0, P_1, α , and β) can be estimated by construction of other decision curves over the same data base and thus provide a measure of the bit rate per degree of reliability.

In summary, the procedures described here can provide a specification of the characteristics of a remote sensing channel under well-defined conditions. These procedures also provide for a determination of the feasibility of such a channel for particular applications.

4. Soviet Efforts

This discussion would be incomplete if we did not mention certain aspects of the current state of research in the USSR. Since the 1930s in the laboratory of L. Vasiliev (Leningrad Institute for Brain Research), there has been an interest in the use of paranormal communication as a method of influencing the behavior of a person at a distance. In Vasiliev's book Experiments in Mental Suggestion,⁵³ he makes it clear that the bulk of his laboratory's experiments were aimed at long-distance communication and what we would today call behavior modification; for example, putting people to sleep at a distance through hypnosis.

The behavior modification type of experiment has been carried out in recent times by I.M. Kogan. He was concerned with three principal kinds of experiments: mental suggestion without hypnosis over short distances, in which the percipient attempts to identify an object; mental awakening over short distances, in which a subject is awakened from a hypnotic sleep at the "beamed" suggestion from the hypnotist; and long-range (intercity) paranormal communication.³⁹ Kogan's main interest has been to quantify the channel capacity of the paranormal channel. He finds that the bit rate decreases from 0.1 bits per second for laboratory experiments to 0.005 bits per second for his 1000-km intercity experiments.

As indicated earlier, in the USSR serious consideration is given to the hypothesis that paranormal communication is mediated by extremely-low-frequency (ELF) electromagnetic propagation. In general, the entire field of paranormal research in the USSR is part of a larger one concerned with the interaction between electromagnetic fields and living organisms.^{54,55}

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

At the First International Congress on Parapsychology and Psychotronics in Prague, Czechoslovakia, in 1973, for example, Kholodov spoke at length about the susceptibility of living systems to extremely low-level ac and dc fields. He described conditioning effects on the behavior of fish from the application of 10 to 100 μW of RF to their tank.⁵⁶ The USSR take these data seriously in that the Soviet safety requirements for steady-state microwave exposure set limits at 10 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, whereas the United States has set a steady-state limit of 10 mW/cm^2 .⁵⁷ Kholodov spoke also about the nonthermal effects of microwaves on animals' central nervous systems. His experiments were very carefully carried out and are characteristic of a new dimension in paranormal research both in the USSR and elsewhere.

The increasing importance of this area in Soviet research was indicated recently when the Soviet Psychological Association issued an unprecedented position paper calling on the Soviet Academy of Sciences to step up efforts in this area.⁵⁸ The Association recommended that the newly formed Psychological Institute within the Soviet Academy of Sciences and the Psychological Institute of the Academy of Pedagogical Sciences review the area and consider the creation of a new laboratory within one of the institutes to study persons with unusual abilities. They also recommended a comprehensive evaluation of experiments and theory by the Academy of Sciences' Institute of Biophysics and Institute for the Problems of Information Transmission.

5. Conclusions

"It is the province of natural science to investigate nature, impartially and without prejudice."⁵⁹ Nowhere in scientific inquiry has this dictum met as great a challenge as in the area of so-called paranormal perception, the detection of remote stimuli not mediated by the usual sensory processes. Such phenomena, although under scientific consideration for over a century, have historically been fraught with unreliability and controversy, and validation of the phenomena by accepted scientific methodology has been slow in coming. Even so, a recent survey conducted by the British publication New Scientist revealed that 67 percent of nearly 1500 responding readers (the majority of whom are working

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

scientists and technologists) considered paranormal perception to be an established fact or a likely possibility, and 88 percent held the investigation of paranormal perception to be a legitimate scientific undertaking.⁶⁰

A review of the literature reveals that although well-conducted experiments by reputable researchers yielding reproducible results were begun over a century ago (e.g., Sir William Crookes' study of D.D. Home, 1860s),^{61,62} many consider the study of these phenomena as only recently emerging from the realm of quasi-science. One reason for this is that, despite experimental results, no satisfactory theoretical construct had been advanced to correlate data or to predict new experimental outcomes. Consequently, the area in question remained for a long time in the recipe state reminiscent of electrodynamics before the unification brought about by the work of Ampere, Faraday, and Maxwell. Since the early work, however, we have seen the development of quantum theory, information theory, and neurophysiological research, and these disciplines provide powerful conceptual tools that appear to bear directly on the issue. In fact, several leading physicists are now of the opinion that, contrary to "common sense" notions, these phenomena are not at all inconsistent with the framework of modern physics: the often-held view that observations of this type are a priori incompatible with known laws is erroneous, such a concept being based on the naive realism prevalent before the development of quantum theory. In the emerging view it is accepted that research in this area can be conducted so as to uncover not just a catalog of interesting events, but rather patterns of cause-effect relationships of the type that lend themselves to analysis and hypothesis in the forms with which we are familiar in the physical sciences.

Accordingly, we consider it important to continue data collection and to encourage others to do likewise; investigations such as those reported here need replication and extension under as wide a variety of rigorously controlled conditions as possible.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

IV PROGRAM SUMMARY

As a result of exploratory research on human perception carried out in SRI's Electronics and Bioengineering Laboratory, we initiated an investigation of a perceptual channel whereby individuals can access by means of mental imagery and describe randomly-chosen remote sites located several miles or more away.⁶³ In this final report, we document the study at SRI of this human information-accessing capability that we call "remote viewing," the characteristics of which appear to fall outside the range of well-understood perceptual or information-processing abilities. This phenomenon is one of a broad class of abilities of certain individuals to access by means of mental processes and describe information sources blocked from ordinary perception and generally accepted as secure against such access. Individuals exhibiting this faculty include not only SRI subjects, but visiting staff members of the sponsoring organization who participated as subjects so as to critique the protocol.

The program was divided into two categories of approximately equal effort--applied research and basic research. The applied research effort explored the operational utility of the above perceptual abilities. The basic research effort was directed toward identification of the characteristics of individuals possessing such abilities and the determination of neurophysiological correlates and basic mechanisms involved in such functioning.

The phenomenon we investigated most extensively was the ability of individuals to view remote geographical locations (up to several thousand kilometers away), given only coordinates (latitude and longitude) or a person on whom to target. We have worked with a number of individuals, including sponsor personnel, whose remote perceptual abilities have been developed sufficiently to allow them at times to describe correctly --often in great detail--geographical or technical material, such as buildings, roads, laboratory apparatus, and the like.

The development at SRI of successful experimental procedures to elicit this capability has evolved to the point where (a) visiting personnel of the sponsoring organization without any previous exposure to such

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

concepts have performed well under controlled laboratory conditions (that is, generated target descriptions of sufficiently high quality to permit blind matching of descriptions to targets by independent judges), and (b) subjects trained over a two-year period have performed well under operational conditions (that is, provided data of operational significance later verified by independent sources). Our data thus indicate that both specially selected and unselected persons can be assisted in developing remote perceptual abilities to a level of useful information transfer.

Furthermore, the data, accumulated from over 50 experiments with more than a half dozen subjects, indicates the following: a) the phenomenon is not a sensitive function of distance over a several-km range and is still operative over a several thousand km range; b) Faraday cage shielding does not appear to degrade the quality or accuracy of perception; c) most of the correct information that subjects relate is of a nonanalytic nature pertaining to shape, form, color, and material rather than to function or name--(this aspect suggests a hypothesis that information transmission under conditions of sensory shielding may be mediated primarily by the brain's right hemisphere); and d) the principal difference between experienced subjects and naive volunteers is not that the naive never exhibit the faculty, but rather that their results are simply less reliable--(this observation suggests the hypothesis that remote viewing may be a latent and widely distributed though repressed perceptual ability).

The primary achievement of the SRI program was thus the elicitation of high-quality remote viewing by individuals who agreed to act as subjects. Criticism of this claim could in principle be put forward on the basis of three potential flaws: (1) the study could involve naivete in protocol that permits various forms of cueing, intentional or unintentional; (2) the experiments discussed could be selected out of a larger pool of experiments of which many are of poorer quality; (3) data for the reported experiments could be edited to show only the matching elements, the nonmatching elements being discarded.

All three criticisms, however, are invalid. First, with regard to cueing, the use of double-blind protocols ensures that none of the persons in contact with the subject can be aware of the target. Second, selection

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

of experiments for reporting did not take place; every experiment was entered as performed on a master log and is included in the statistical evaluations. Third, data associated with a given experiment remain unedited; all data associated with an experiment are tape recorded and included unedited in the data package to be judged, evaluated, and so on. Finally, the entire unedited file of tape recordings, transcripts, and drawings for every experiment is available to the COTR and others in the scientific community for independent analysis.

Although the precise nature of the information channel coupling remote events and human perception is not yet understood, certain concepts in information theory, quantum theory, and neurophysiological research appear to bear directly on the issue. Therefore, our working assumption is that the phenomenon of interest is consistent with modern scientific thought, and can therefore be expected to yield to the scientific method. Further, it is recognized that communication theory provides powerful techniques, such as the use of redundancy coding to improve signal-to-noise ratio, which can be employed to pursue special purpose application of the remote sensing channel independent of an understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

Finally, it is concluded by the research contractors (SRI) that the development of experimental procedures and the accrual of experience in three years of successful effort constitutes an asset that could be utilized in the future both for operational needs and for training others in the development and use of the remote-sensing capability.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

REFERENCES

1. R. Morris, "An Exact Method for Evaluating Preferentially Matched Free-Response Material," J. Amer. Soc. for Psychical Research, vol. 66, No. 4, p. 401 (October 1972).
2. N. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, vol. 1, (2nd Ed.), p. 98 (John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1950).
3. P. Hoel, Introduction to Mathematical Statistics, 2nd edition, p. 27 (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, New York, 1954).
4. M. Ryzl, "A Model for Parapsychological Communication," J. Parapsychology, vol. 30, pp. 18-31 (March 1966).
5. W. Scherer, "Spontaneity as a Factor in ESP," J. ASPR, vol. 12, pp. 126-147 (1948).
6. R. Ornstein, The Nature of Human Consciousness, Chapter 7 and 8, (W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, California, 1973).
7. R.W. Sperry, "Cerebral Organization and Behavior," Science, vol. 133, pp. 1749-1757 (1961).
8. C. Shannon and W. Weaver, The Mathematical Theory of Communication (University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois, 1949).
9. R. Targ, P. Cole, and H. Puthoff, "Techniques to Enhance Man/Machine Communication," final report, NASA Contract NAS7-100, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California (June 1974).
10. J.L. Mack, et. al, "Associative Visual Agnosia and its Related Deficits," presented to the third annual meeting of the International Neuropsychology Society, Tampa, 1975.
11. M.L. Albert, et. al, "Associative Visual Agnosia without Alexia," Neurology, vol. 25, pp. 322-326 (1975).
12. S.N. Davidenkov, Clinical Lectures in Nervous Diseases (State Publishing House of Medical Literature, Leningrad, 1956).
13. A.B. Rubens and D.F. Benson, "Associative Visual Agnosia," Arch. Neurol. vol. 24, pp. 305-316 (1971).
14. F. Lhermitte and M.F. Beauvois, "A Visual-Speech Disconnection Syndrome," Brain, vol. 96, pp. 695-714 (1973).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

15. H.L. Teuber, personal communication from Dr. William Langston.
16. Proceedings of an International Conference on Methodology in PSI Research, Roberto Cavanna, ed., (Parapsychology Foundation, New York, New York, 1970).
17. E. Douglas Dean, "Plethysmograph Recordings as ESP Responses," Intnl. J. Neuropsychiatry, vol. 2 (September 1966).
18. C. Tart, "Physiological Correlates of PSI Cognition," Intnl. J. Parapsychology, No. 4 (1963).
19. D.H. Lloyd, "Objective Events in the Brain Correlating with Psychic Phenomena," New Horizons, vol. 1, No. 2 (Summer 1973).
20. J. Silverman and M.S. Buchsbaum, "Perceptual Correlates of Consciousness; a Conceptual Model and its Technical Implications for PSI Research," Psi Favorable States of Consciousness, R. Cavanna, ed., pp. 143-169 (Parapsychology Foundation, New York, New York, 1970).
21. J. Kamiya, "Comment to Silverman and Buchsbaum," Psi Favorable States of Consciousness, R. Cavanna, ed., ppl. 158-159 (Parapsychology Foundation, New York, New York, 1970).
22. D. Hill and G. Parr, Electroencephalography. A Symposium on its Various Aspects (The Macmillan Company, New York, New York, 1963).
23. T.D. Duane and T. Behrendt, "Extrasensory Electroencephalographic Induction Between Identical Twins," Science, vol. 150, p. 367 (1965).
24. S. Siegel, Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences, pp. 152-156 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1956).
25. T. Sarbin and R. Slagle, "Hypnosis and Psychophysiological Outcomes," Hypnosis: Research Developments and Perspectives, E. Fromm and R. Shor, ed., pp. 185-214 (Aldine Publishing Company, Chicago, Illinois 1972).
26. E.H. Walker, "Foundations of Paraphysical and Parapsychological Phenomena," in Quantum Physics and Parapsychology, L. Oteri, ed., pp. 1-53 (Parapsychology Foundation, Inc., New York, 1975).
27. H. Puthoff and R. Targ, in Psychic Exploration--A Challenge for Science, J. White, ed., pp. 522-542 (G.P. Putnam's Sons, New York, New York, 1974).
28. R. Jarrard, K. Corcoran, R. Mayfield, and R. Morris, "Psychokinesis Experiments with a Cryogenic Magnetometer," Research brief presented at the 18th Annual Convention of the Parapsychological Assoc., Santa Barbara, August 1975.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

29. E.P. Wigner, "The Problem of Measurement," Am. J. Physics, vol. 31, No. 1, p. 6 (1963).
30. J.J. Freedman and J.F. Clauser, "Experimental Test of Local Hidden Variable Theories," Phys. Rev. Letters, vol. 28, p. 938 (3 April 1972).
31. J.F. Clauser and M.A. Horne, "Experimental Consequences of Objective Local Theories," Phys. Rev. D., vol. 10, No. 2, p. 526 (15 July 1974).
32. D. Bohm and B. Hiley, "On the Intuitive Understanding of Non-locality as Implied by Quantum Theory," Preprint, Birkbeck College, London, England (February 1974).
33. J.S. Bell, "On the Problem of Hidden Variables in Quantum Theory," Rev. Modern Physics, vol. 38, No. 3, p. 447 (July 1966).
34. H. Stapp, "Theory of Reality," Lawrence-Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-3837, University of California, Berkeley, California (April 1975).
35. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, "Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality be Considered Complete?," Phys. Rev., vol. 47, p. 777 (15 May 1935).
36. R.H. Dicke and J.P. Wittke, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, Chapter 7 (Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Massachusetts, 1960).
- ✓ 37. O. Costa de Beauregard, "Time Symmetry and Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics," Foundations of Physics (in press), Lecture delivered at the Boston Colloquium for the Philosophy of Science, February, 1974.
38. I.M. Kogan, "Is Telepathy Possible?" Radio Engineering, vol. 21, No. 1, p. 75 (January 1966).
39. I.M. Kogan, "Telepathy, Hypotheses and Observations," Radio Engineering, vol. 22, No. 1, p. 141 (January 1967).
- ✓ 40. I.M. Kogan, "Information Theory Analysis of Telepathic Communication Experiments," Radio Engineering, vol. 23, No. 3, p. 122 (March 1968).
41. I.M. Kogan, "The Information Theory Aspect of Telepathy," RAND publication, p. 4145, Santa Monica, California (July 1969).
- ✓ 42. M.A. Persinger, "ELF Waves and ESP," New Horizons Transactions of the Toronto Society for Psychical Research, vol. 1, No. 5 (January 1975).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

- ✓ 43. M.A. Persinger, The Paranormal, Part II: Mechanisms and Models, (M.S.S. Information Corp., New York, New York, 1974).
- 44. B. Julesz, Foundations of Cyclopean Perception (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1971).
- ✓ 45. G. Feinberg, "Precognition--a Memory of Things Future?," Proc. Conf. on Quantum Physics and Parapsychology, Geneva, Switzerland (Parapsychology Foundation, New York, New York, 1975).
- 46. M. Ryzl and J. Pratt, "A Repeated-Calling ESP Test with Sealed Cards," J. Parapsychology, vol. 27, pp. 161-174 (1963).
- 47. M. Ryzl and J. Pratt, "A Further Confirmation of Stabilized ESP Performance in a Selected Subject," J. Parapsychology, vol. 27, pp. 73-83 (1963).
- 48. J. Pratt, "Preliminary Experiments with a 'Borrowed' ESP Subject," J. Amer. Soc. Psych. Res., vol. 42, pp. 333-345 (1964).
- 49. J. Pratt and J. Blom, "A Confirmatory Experiment with 'Borrowed' Outstanding ESP Subject," J. Amer. Soc. Psych. Res., vol. 42, pp. 381-388 (1964).
- 50. W.G. Roll and J.G. Pratt, "An ESP Test with Aluminum Targets," J. Amer. Soc. Psych. Res., vol. 62, pp. 381-387 (1968).
- 51. J. Pratt, "A Decade of Research with a Selected ESP Subject: An Overview and Reappraisal of the Work with Pavel Stepanek," Proc. Amer. Soc. Psych. Res., vol. 30 (1973).
- 52. A. Sinkov, Elementary Cryptanalysis--a Mathematical Approach (Random House, New York, New York, 1968).
- ✓ 53. L.L. Vasiliev, Experiments in Mental Suggestion, (ISMI Publications Hampshire, England, 1963).
- 54. A.S. Presman, Electromagnetic Fields and Life (Plenum Press, New York, New York, 1970).
- ✓ 55. Influence of Magnetic Fields on Biological Objects, Y.A. Kholodov, ed., JPRS 63038, NTIS, Springfield, Virginia (September 24, 1974).
- ✓ 56. -Y.A. Kholodov, "Investigation of the Direct Effect of Magnetic Fields on the Central Nervous System," Proc. of the First Conference on Psychotronic Research, JPRS L/5022-1 and 2 (September 6, 1974).
- 57. D. Mennie, "Consumer Electronics," IEEE Spectrum, pp. 34-35 (March 1975).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

58. W.P. Zinchenko, et. al., "Parapsychology: Fiction or Reality?," Questions of Philosophy, vol. 9, pp. 128-136 (1973).
59. Science and ESP, J.R. Smythies, ed., (Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, England, 1967).
60. C. Evans, "Parapsychology--What the Questionnaire Revealed," New Scientist, pp. 209 (January 25, 1973).
61. Alan Gauld, The Founders of Psychical Research (Schocken Books, New York, New York, 1968).
62. Wm. Crookes, Researches in the Phenomena of Spittitualism, J. Burns, London, England, 1874.
63. R. Targ and H. Puthoff, "Information Transmission Under Conditions of Sensory Shielding," Nature, vol. 252, No. 5476, pp. 602-607 (October 18, 1974).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

APPENDIX A

Remote Viewing Transcript
for Subject S6 Learner/Control, First Experiment

Following is the unedited transcript of the first experiment with learner/control S6, an SRI volunteer, a mathematician in the computer science laboratory, without any previous experience in remote viewing. The target, determined by random procedure, was White's Plaza, a plaza with a fountain at Stanford University. The capitalized words are the experimenter's statements and questions. As is our standard protocol, the experiment with the subject is kept ignorant of the specific target visited as well as of the contents of the target pool.

* * *

TODAY IS MONDAY, OCTOBER 7TH. IT IS 11:00 AND THIS IS A REMOTE VIEWING EXPERIMENT WITH RUSS TARG, S6, AND HAL PUTHOFF. IN THIS EXPERIMENT HAL WILL DRIVE TO A REMOTE SITE CHOSEN BY A RANDOM PROCESS, S6 WILL BE THE REMOTE VIEWER, AND RUSS TARG IS THE MONITOR (EXPERIMENTER). WE EXPECT THIS EXPERIMENT TO START AT TWENTY MINUTES AFTER ELEVEN AND RUN FOR FIFTEEN MINUTES.

IT IS JUST ABOUT TWENTY MINUTES AFTER ELEVEN AND HAL SHOULD BE AT HIS TARGET LOCATION BY NOW.

WHY DON'T YOU TELL ME WHAT KIND OF PICTURE YOU SEE AND WHAT YOU THINK HE MIGHT BE DOING OR EXPERIENCING.

The first thing that came to mind was some sort of a large, square kind of a shape. Like Hal was in front of it. It was a...not a building or something, it was a square. I don't know if it was a window, but something like that so that the bottom line of it was not at the ground. About where his waist was, at least. That's what it seemed to me.

It seems outdoors somehow. Tree.

DOES HAL SEEM TO BE LOOKING AT THAT SQUARE?

I don't know. The first impression was that he wasn't, but I have a sense that whatever it was was something one might look

A-1

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

at. I don't know if it would be a sign, but something that one might look at.

CAN YOU TELL IF IT IS ON THE GROUND OR VERTICAL?

It seemed vertical.

I don't have a sense that it was part of anything particular. It might be on a building or part of a building, but I don't know. There was a tree outside, but I also got the impression of cement. I don't have the impression of very many people or traffic either. I have the sense that he is sort of walking back and forth. I don't have any more explicit picture than that.

CAN YOU MOVE INTO WHERE HE IS STANDING AND TRY TO SEE WHAT HE IS LOOKING AT?

I picked up he was touching something---something rough. Maybe warm and rough. Something possibly like cement.

IT IS TWENTY-FOUR MINUTES AFTER ELEVEN.

CAN YOU CHANGE YOUR POINT OF VIEW AND MOVE ABOVE THE SCENE SO YOU CAN GET A BIGGER PICTURE OF WHAT'S THERE?

I still see some trees and some sort of pavement or something like that. Might be a courtyard. The thing that came to mind was it might be one of the plazas at Stanford campus or something like that, cement. Some kinds of landscaping.

I said Stanford campus when I started to see some things in White Plaza, but I think that is misleading.

I have the sense that he's not moving around too much. That it's in a small area.

I guess I'll go ahead and say it, but I'm afraid I'm just putting on my impressions from Stanford campus. I had the impression of a fountain. There are two in the plaza, and it seemed that Hal was possibly near the, what they call Mem Claw.

WHAT IS THAT?

A-2

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

It's a fountain that looks rather like a claw. It's a black sculpture. And it has benches around it made of cement.

ARE THERE ANY BUILDINGS AT THE PLACE YOU WERE LOOKING AT? ARE THERE ANY BUILDINGS? YOU DESCRIBED A KIND OF A COURTYARD. USUALLY AT SOME PLACES THERE SHOULD BE A BUILDING, LARGE OR SMALL THAT THE COURTYARD IS ABOUT.

LOOK AT THE END OR THE SIDES OF THE COURTYARD. IS THERE ANYTHING TO BE SEEN?

I have a sense that there are buildings. It's not solid buildings. I mean there are some around the periphery and I have a sense that none of them are very tall. Maybe mostly one story, maybe an occasional two-story one.

DO YOU HAVE ANY BETTER IDEA OF WHAT YOUR SQUARE WAS THAT YOU SAW AT THE OUTSET?

No. I could hazard different kinds of guesses.

DOES IT SEEM PART OF THIS SCENE?

It...I think it could be. It could almost be a bulletin board or something with notices on it maybe.

Or something that people were expected to look at. Maybe a window with things in it that people were expected to look at.

WHAT KIND OF TREES DO YOU SEE IN THIS PLACE?

I don't know what kind they are. The impression was that they were shade trees and not terribly big. Maybe 12 feet of trunk and then a certain amount of branches above that. So that the branches have maybe a 12-foot diameter, or something. Not real big trees.

NEW TREES RATHER THAN OLD TREES?

Yeah, maybe five or ten years old, but not real old ones.

IS THERE ANYTHING INTERESTING ABOUT THE PAVEMENT?

No. It seems to be not terribly new or terribly old. Not very

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

interesting. There seems to be some bits of landscaping around. Little patches of grass around the edges and peripheries. Maybe some flowers. But, not lush.

YOU SAW SOME BENCHES. DO YOU WANT TO TELL ME ABOUT THEM?

Well, that's my unsure feeling about this fountain. There was some kind of benches of cement. Curved benches, it felt like. They were of rough cement.

WHAT DO YOU THINK HAL IS DOING WHILE HE IS THERE?

I have a sense that he is looking at things trying to project them. Looking at different things and sort of walking back and forth not covering a whole lot of territory. Sometimes standing still while he looks around.

I just had the impression of him talking, and I almost sense that it was being recorded or something. I don't know if he has a tape recorder, but if it's not that, then he is saying something because it needed to be remembered.

IT'S 11:33. HE'S JUST PROBABLY GETTING READY TO COME BACK.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

APPENDIX B

INSTRUCTIONS TO SUBJECT: EEG EXPERIMENT*

The purpose of these experiments is to determine whether stimuli (flashing lights, geographical locations, and so on) located in adjoining laboratories or at more distant locales can be perceived, even though the signals are so low due to intervening walls, distance, and the like, as ordinarily to be considered blocked from the visual modes of perception. In addition to obtaining oral responses, we will also from time to time be measuring physiological parameters with standard apparatus (for example, EEG) to determine whether there is evidence for subliminal perception as registered by physiological correlates, even in the absence of conscious perception.

There is no risk associated with these tests, and the only discomfort expected is that attendant to sitting quietly in a darkened room for 30-minute test intervals.

During the experimentation feel free to ask any questions that come to mind as to the procedures, purposes, results, and so on associated with the study.

As with all our activity you are free to withdraw consent and to discontinue participation in the project at any time without prejudice.

*This statement is required by the SRI Administration Manual Topic 812, "Requirements Governing Activities with Human Subjects."

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

APPENDIX C

UNIVERSAL RANDOMIZATION PROTOCOL

It was deemed desirable in our work to establish a universal randomization protocol independent of the particular experiment under consideration. The only exceptions were to be automated experiments where target selection is determined by radioactive decay or electronic randomization.

The randomization procedure is designed around a ten-unit base, e.g., ten targets, ten work periods, and so on. A ten-digit sequence governing an experiment is blind to both experimenter and subject, and is uncovered by means of the following procedure. A three-page RAND Table of Random Digits (Table C-1) is entered to obtain a ten-digit sequence, the entrance point being determined by throws of a die,* the first 1, 2, or 3 determining page, the next 1, 2, 3, or 4 determining column block, the following 1, 2, 3, or 4 determining row block, and the final throw determining from which of the first six rows in the block the ten-digit sequence is to be taken. An opaque card with a single-digit window is then moved across the row to uncover digits one at a time. If a multiplicity of targets exist, the digits 0 through 9 are employed directly. If a binary command is required (e.g., increase/decrease or activity/no activity) the parity of the digit (even or odd) is employed.

*A technique found in control runs to produce a distribution of die faces differing nonsignificantly from chance expectation.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE C-1

Table of Random Digits

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 11 16 43 63 18 | 75 06 13 76 74 | 40 60 31 61 52 | 83 23 53 73 61 |
| 21 21 59 17 91 | 76 83 15 86 78 | 40 94 15 35 85 | 69 95 86 09 16 |
| 10 43 84 44 82 | 66 55 83 76 49 | 73 50 58 34 72 | 55 95 31 79 57 |
| 36 79 22 62 36 | 33 26 66 65 83 | 39 41 21 60 13 | 11 44 28 93 20 |
| 73 94 40 47 73 | 12 03 25 14 14 | 57 99 47 67 48 | 54 62 74 85 11 |
| 49 56 31 28 72 | 14 06 39 31 04 | 61 83 45 91 99 | 15 46 98 22 85 |
| 64 20 84 82 37 | 41 70 17 31 17 | 91 40 27 72 27 | 79 51 62 10 07 |
| 51 48 67 28 75 | 38 60 52 93 41 | 58 29 98 38 80 | 20 12 51 07 94 |
| 99 75 62 63 60 | 64 51 61 79 71 | 40 68 49 99 48 | 33 88 07 64 13 |
| 71 32 55 52 17 | 13 01 57 29 07 | 75 97 86 42 98 | 08 07 46 20 55 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 65 28 59 71 98 | 12 13 85 30 10 | 34 55 63 98 61 | 88 26 77 60 68 |
| 17 26 45 73 27 | 38 22 42 93 01 | 65 99 05 70 48 | 25 06 77 75 71 |
| 95 63 99 97 54 | 31 19 99 25 58 | 16 38 11 50 69 | 25 41 68 78 75 |
| 61 55 57 64 04 | 86 21 01 18 08 | 52 45 88 88 80 | 78 35 26 79 13 |
| 78 13 79 87 68 | 04 68 98 71 30 | 33 00 78 56 07 | 92 00 84 48 97 |
| 62 49 09 92 15 | 84 98 72 87 59 | 38 71 23 15 12 | 08 58 86 14 90 |
| 24 21 66 34 44 | 21 28 30 70 44 | 58 72 20 36 78 | 19 18 66 96 02 |
| 16 97 59 54 28 | 33 22 65 59 03 | 26 18 86 94 97 | 51 35 14 77 99 |
| 59 13 83 95 42 | 71 16 85 76 09 | 12 89 35 40 48 | 07 25 58 61 49 |
| 29 47 85 96 52 | 50 41 43 19 66 | 33 18 68 13 46 | 85 09 53 72 82 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 96 15 59 50 09 | 27 42 97 29 18 | 79 89 32 94 48 | 88 39 25 42 11 |
| 29 62 16 65 83 | 62 96 61 24 68 | 48 44 91 51 02 | 44 12 61 94 38 |
| 12 63 97 52 91 | 71 02 01 72 65 | 94 20 50 42 59 | 68 98 35 05 61 |
| 14 54 43 71 34 | 54 71 40 24 01 | 38 64 80 92 78 | 81 31 37 74 00 |
| 83 40 38 88 27 | 09 83 41 13 33 | 04 29 24 60 28 | 75 66 62 69 54 |
| 67 64 20 52 04 | 30 69 74 48 06 | 17 02 64 97 37 | 85 87 51 21 39 |
| 64 04 19 90 11 | 61 04 02 73 09 | 48 07 07 68 48 | 02 53 19 77 37 |
| 17 04 89 45 23 | 97 44 45 99 04 | 30 15 99 54 50 | 83 77 84 61 15 |
| 93 03 98 94 16 | 52 79 51 06 31 | 12 14 89 22 31 | 31 36 16 06 50 |
| 82 24 43 43 92 | 96 60 71 72 20 | 73 83 87 70 67 | 24 86 39 75 76 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 96 99 05 52 44 | 70 69 32 52 55 | 73 54 74 37 59 | 95 63 23 95 55 |
| 09 11 97 48 03 | 97 30 38 87 01 | 07 27 79 32 17 | 79 42 12 17 69 |
| 57 66 64 12 04 | 47 58 97 83 64 | 65 12 84 83 34 | 07 49 32 80 98 |
| 46 49 26 15 94 | 26 72 95 82 72 | 38 71 66 13 80 | 60 21 20 50 99 |
| 08 43 31 91 72 | 08 32 02 08 39 | 31 92 17 64 58 | 73 72 00 86 57 |
| 10 01 17 50 04 | 86 05 44 11 90 | 57 23 82 74 64 | 61 48 75 23 29 |
| 92 42 06 54 31 | 16 53 00 55 47 | 24 21 94 10 90 | 08 53 16 15 78 |
| 35 54 25 58 65 | 07 30 44 70 10 | 31 30 94 93 87 | 02 33 00 24 76 |
| 86 59 52 62 47 | 18 55 22 94 91 | 20 75 09 70 24 | 72 61 96 66 28 |
| 72 11 53 49 85 | 58 03 69 91 37 | 28 53 78 43 95 | 26 65 43 78 51 |

Source: The RAND Corporation

C-2

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE C-1 (Continued)

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 07 42 85 88 63 | 96 02 38 89 36 | 97 92 94 12 20 | 86 43 19 44 85 |
| 35 37 92 79 22 | 28 90 65 50 13 | 40 56 83 32 22 | 40 48 69 11 22 |
| 10 98 22 28 07 | 10 92 02 62 99 | 41 48 39 29 35 | 17 06 17 82 52 |
| 90 12 73 33 41 | 77 80 61 24 46 | 93 04 06 64 76 | 24 99 04 10 99 |
| 63 00 21 29 90 | 23 51 06 87 74 | 76 86 93 93 00 | 84 97 80 75 04 |
| 40 77 98 63 82 | 48 45 46 52 69 | 02 98 25 79 91 | 50 76 59 19 30 |
| 43 21 61 26 08 | 18 16 78 46 31 | 94 47 97 65 00 | 39 17 00 66 29 |
| 96 16 76 43 75 | 74 10 89 36 43 | 52 29 17 58 22 | 95 96 69 09 47 |
| 70 97 56 26 93 | 35 68 47 26 07 | 03 68 40 36 00 | 52 83 15 53 81 |
| 85 81 26 18 75 | 23 57 07 57 54 | 58 93 92 83 66 | 86 76 56 74 65 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 37 10 06 24 92 | 63 64 24 76 38 | 54 72 35 65 27 | 53 07 63 82 35 |
| 53 40 61 38 55 | 38 51 92 95 00 | 84 82 88 12 48 | 25 54 83 40 75 |
| 55 17 28 15 56 | 18 85 65 90 43 | 65 79 90 19 14 | 81 36 30 51 73 |
| 40 35 38 48 07 | 47 76 74 68 90 | 87 91 73 85 49 | 48 21 37 17 08 |
| 18 89 90 96 12 | 77 54 15 76 75 | 26 90 78 81 73 | 71 18 92 83 77 |
| 68 14 12 53 40 | 92 55 11 13 26 | 68 05 26 54 22 | 88 46 00 63 52 |
| 51 55 99 11 59 | 81 31 06 32 51 | 42 58 76 81 49 | 88 14 79 97 00 |
| 92 21 43 33 86 | 73 45 97 93 59 | 97 17 65 54 16 | 67 64 20 50 51 |
| 15 08 95 05 57 | 33 16 68 70 94 | 53 29 58 71 33 | 38 26 49 47 08 |
| 96 46 10 06 04 | 11 12 02 22 54 | 23 01 19 41 08 | 29 19 66 51 87 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 28 17 74 41 11 | 15 70 57 38 35 | 75 76 84 95 49 | 24 54 36 32 85 |
| 66 95 34 47 37 | 81 12 70 74 93 | 86 66 87 03 41 | 66 46 07 56 48 |
| 19 71 22 72 63 | 84 57 54 98 20 | 56 72 77 20 36 | 50 34 73 35 21 |
| 68 75 66 47 57 | 19 98 79 22 22 | 27 93 67 80 10 | 09 61 70 44 08 |
| 75 02 26 53 32 | 98 60 62 94 51 | 31 99 46 90 72 | 37 35 49 30 25 |
| 11 32 37 00 69 | 90 26 98 92 66 | 02 98 59 53 03 | 15 18 25 01 66 |
| 55 20 86 34 70 | 18 15 82 52 83 | 89 96 51 02 06 | 95 83 09 54 06 |
| 11 47 40 87 86 | 05 59 46 70 45 | 45 58 72 96 11 | 98 57 94 24 81 |
| 81 42 28 68 42 | 60 99 77 96 69 | 01 07 10 85 30 | 74 30 57 75 09 |
| 21 77 17 59 63 | 23 15 19 02 74 | 90 20 96 85 21 | 14 29 33 91 94 |

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 42 27 81 21 60 | 32 57 61 42 78 | 04 98 26 84 70 | 27 87 51 54 80 |
| 17 69 76 01 14 | 63 24 73 20 96 | 19 74 02 46 37 | 97 37 73 21 12 |
| 05 68 63 02 43 | 34 13 40 29 36 | 50 19 77 98 69 | 86 49 76 87 09 |
| 52 99 24 66 50 | 89 91 05 73 95 | 46 95 46 75 36 | 28 96 88 19 36 |
| 94 51 89 39 84 | 81 47 86 77 50 | 82 54 96 26 76 | 31 12 34 98 99 |
| 00 18 47 21 86 | 78 90 67 54 80 | 61 79 88 16 00 | 80 01 88 47 42 |
| 87 46 26 31 65 | 79 81 66 16 30 | 57 66 62 90 55 | 46 51 80 14 87 |
| 88 69 25 87 16 | 12 27 34 81 76 | 29 80 56 49 94 | 66 87 26 22 30 |
| 20 09 44 29 62 | 41 38 21 67 68 | 06 71 13 49 39 | 19 59 97 62 47 |
| 60 93 58 15 04 | 50 52 08 21 53 | 13 93 44 68 85 | 58 31 58 83 66 |

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE C-1 (Continued)

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 51 | 39 | 28 | 59 | 36 | 43 | 89 | 85 | 05 | 96 | 28 | 54 | 99 | 83 | 27 | 99 | 94 | 32 | 53 | 77 |
| 54 | 23 | 94 | 19 | 18 | 79 | 52 | 64 | 62 | 74 | 40 | 87 | 16 | 18 | 03 | 25 | 76 | 75 | 54 | 84 |
| 57 | 89 | 27 | 33 | 94 | 07 | 16 | 09 | 02 | 62 | 47 | 70 | 43 | 83 | 55 | 71 | 70 | 88 | 01 | 17 |
| 02 | 33 | 07 | 47 | 36 | 53 | 27 | 44 | 44 | 68 | 62 | 61 | 11 | 96 | 98 | 09 | 30 | 42 | 92 | 65 |
| 76 | 11 | 52 | 92 | 47 | 55 | 34 | 25 | 12 | 99 | 03 | 04 | 78 | 39 | 81 | 11 | 91 | 60 | 92 | 67 |
| 63 | 31 | 28 | 18 | 86 | 29 | 08 | 52 | 01 | 01 | 26 | 46 | 05 | 05 | 01 | 31 | 73 | 11 | 89 | 38 |
| 27 | 63 | 22 | 15 | 70 | 34 | 27 | 45 | 64 | 26 | 01 | 76 | 42 | 59 | 59 | 69 | 29 | 38 | 98 | 75 |
| 06 | 33 | 56 | 21 | 11 | 44 | 01 | 45 | 25 | 67 | 11 | 76 | 25 | 48 | 06 | 02 | 65 | 15 | 29 | 12 |
| 64 | 14 | 28 | 76 | 76 | 21 | 35 | 88 | 87 | 73 | 31 | 73 | 63 | 16 | 95 | 11 | 52 | 36 | 42 | 13 |
| 28 | 43 | 62 | 54 | 68 | 75 | 23 | 57 | 53 | 70 | 97 | 15 | 54 | 87 | 06 | 52 | 23 | 92 | 18 | 31 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 09 | 52 | 28 | 38 | 55 | 85 | 97 | 31 | 58 | 88 | 31 | 18 | 14 | 96 | 72 | 17 | 23 | 70 | 40 | 24 |
| 93 | 71 | 41 | 54 | 14 | 93 | 71 | 20 | 27 | 42 | 32 | 11 | 58 | 26 | 83 | 67 | 18 | 28 | 90 | 30 |
| 15 | 68 | 15 | 35 | 99 | 58 | 18 | 57 | 38 | 40 | 07 | 06 | 87 | 59 | 47 | 71 | 74 | 36 | 92 | 85 |
| 77 | 71 | 22 | 39 | 14 | 08 | 90 | 74 | 37 | 68 | 26 | 62 | 27 | 41 | 84 | 75 | 16 | 69 | 67 | 48 |
| 78 | 45 | 35 | 48 | 44 | 61 | 50 | 90 | 12 | 45 | 02 | 80 | 55 | 26 | 76 | 22 | 51 | 94 | 78 | 48 |
| 24 | 86 | 06 | 82 | 84 | 19 | 36 | 72 | 90 | 73 | 32 | 30 | 15 | 87 | 01 | 04 | 19 | 33 | 01 | 42 |
| 37 | 28 | 40 | 68 | 44 | 78 | 88 | 75 | 72 | 76 | 26 | 33 | 95 | 69 | 09 | 39 | 33 | 14 | 21 | 01 |
| 35 | 48 | 85 | 24 | 73 | 37 | 63 | 43 | 25 | 69 | 95 | 27 | 40 | 95 | 08 | 81 | 01 | 24 | 24 | 13 |
| 51 | 59 | 55 | 99 | 09 | 35 | 22 | 34 | 49 | 91 | 24 | 27 | 53 | 96 | 32 | 09 | 77 | 79 | 88 | 00 |
| 90 | 66 | 03 | 51 | 71 | 30 | 02 | 19 | 11 | 20 | 36 | 11 | 64 | 21 | 28 | 65 | 40 | 19 | 41 | 99 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 47 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 08 | 20 | 30 | 08 | 71 | 88 | 96 | 19 | 50 | 70 | 59 | 13 | 26 | 63 | 13 | 89 |
| 13 | 35 | 00 | 84 | 14 | 64 | 04 | 99 | 43 | 77 | 22 | 40 | 89 | 49 | 58 | 19 | 09 | 55 | 80 | 35 |
| 33 | 00 | 69 | 26 | 90 | 69 | 24 | 89 | 74 | 43 | 53 | 89 | 62 | 35 | 08 | 16 | 22 | 75 | 69 | 29 |
| 55 | 21 | 66 | 38 | 86 | 06 | 80 | 41 | 18 | 61 | 22 | 56 | 50 | 24 | 75 | 00 | 25 | 87 | 90 | 18 |
| 21 | 99 | 12 | 62 | 28 | 14 | 80 | 11 | 91 | 92 | 49 | 43 | 82 | 07 | 72 | 60 | 84 | 66 | 97 | 32 |
| 71 | 02 | 52 | 82 | 12 | 10 | 47 | 42 | 75 | 22 | 65 | 62 | 03 | 46 | 84 | 00 | 21 | 00 | 48 | 63 |
| 65 | 52 | 21 | 52 | 42 | 84 | 55 | 47 | 45 | 60 | 20 | 24 | 62 | 69 | 41 | 41 | 29 | 80 | 47 | 63 |
| 27 | 97 | 55 | 49 | 23 | 90 | 65 | 00 | 61 | 70 | 09 | 43 | 30 | 91 | 67 | 35 | 16 | 63 | 27 | 31 |
| 07 | 30 | 00 | 97 | 04 | 36 | 09 | 96 | 15 | 77 | 95 | 55 | 27 | 34 | 56 | 16 | 57 | 88 | 81 | 40 |
| 54 | 35 | 71 | 36 | 89 | 19 | 56 | 90 | 38 | 14 | 76 | 05 | 30 | 51 | 50 | 69 | 12 | 56 | 94 | 42 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 00 | 97 | 70 | 44 | 81 | 42 | 04 | 40 | 86 | 49 | 34 | 82 | 23 | 58 | 43 | 78 | 46 | 88 | 23 | 80 |
| 13 | 92 | 07 | 87 | 61 | 12 | 31 | 19 | 28 | 08 | 07 | 75 | 30 | 40 | 73 | 58 | 52 | 08 | 00 | 22 |
| 08 | 39 | 53 | 70 | 43 | 37 | 88 | 03 | 41 | 72 | 04 | 20 | 49 | 44 | 34 | 62 | 79 | 88 | 19 | 02 |
| 46 | 16 | 66 | 72 | 06 | 01 | 61 | 94 | 37 | 69 | 96 | 77 | 01 | 94 | 40 | 29 | 70 | 04 | 20 | 93 |
| 87 | 76 | 77 | 76 | 07 | 03 | 74 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 65 | 98 | 96 | 28 | 43 | 10 | 91 | 73 | 44 | 58 |
| 29 | 88 | 09 | 52 | 88 | 21 | 64 | 44 | 65 | 87 | 06 | 64 | 49 | 47 | 84 | 66 | 99 | 56 | 18 | 12 |
| 36 | 24 | 83 | 66 | 66 | 14 | 89 | 45 | 92 | 73 | 88 | 95 | 04 | 60 | 77 | 34 | 65 | 11 | 20 | 38 |
| 12 | 38 | 62 | 96 | 56 | 30 | 47 | 42 | 59 | 64 | 21 | 48 | 29 | 54 | 22 | 02 | 00 | 23 | 36 | 71 |
| 52 | 06 | 87 | 38 | 01 | 52 | 18 | 81 | 94 | 91 | 55 | 13 | 76 | 10 | 39 | 02 | 00 | 66 | 99 | 13 |
| 41 | 72 | 75 | 21 | 71 | 56 | 71 | 90 | 60 | 54 | 98 | 44 | 18 | 15 | 29 | 59 | 60 | 76 | 52 | 25 |

C-4

UNCLASSIFIED